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Francisco-Ortega et al. (2022) designated an illustration published by Houttuyn (1779: t. 69, f. 2) as the lectotype of Erigeron capensis Houtt. (Asteraceae). Prior to the lectotype designation, we did make a web search to make sure that the name was not typified before. Unfortunately, the typification information displayed in the IPNI (2022) is incomplete and did not have type information on this name, and we overlooked a previous publication by Wijnands et al. (2017) in which a specimen housed in the Cape Herbarium (G-PREL [G00818097]) was designated as the lectotype of this name. The specimen was apparently collected by the German field botanist Johann Andreas Auge (1711–c.1805). The protologue of E. capensis does not refer to any collections made by Auge but solely to the illustration that Francisco-Ortega et al. (2022) designated as the lectotype. As indicated by Wijnands & al. (2017: 174); however, this illustration was clearly prepared based on G00818097 as the reference, and this specimen therefore qualifies as original material for lectotypification. This situation pertaining to a cited illustration matching the uncited specimen is addressed in Shenzhen Code Art. 9 Ex. 4 (Turland et al. 2018):

“Ex. 4. Adansonia grandidieri Baill. (in Grandidier, Hist. Phys. Madagascar 34: t. 79B bis, fig. 2 & t. 79E, fig. 1. 1893) was validly published when accompanied solely by two illustrations with analysis (see Art. 38.8). Baum (in Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 82: 447. 1995) designated one of the sheets of Grevé 275 (flowering specimen in P [barcode P00037169]), which he presumed to be the very specimen from which most or all of the components of t. 79E, fig. 1 were drawn, as the lectotype of this name.”
Therefore, our later designation of the illustration as the lectotype of *Erigeron capensis* is superfluous, and the correct lectotypification citation is:

*Erigeron capensis* Houtt., Nat. Hist., Deel [Part] 2, 10: 629, t. 69, fig. 2 (June 1779) [as ‘capense’]
Type: lectotype designated by Callmander in Wijnands et al. (2017: 174): South Africa: Cape, without date, J. A. Auge s.n. (?): G-PREL (G00818097).
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