



Citation: Masungsong L.A., Banaticla-Hilario M.C., Belarmino M.M., Buot-Jr I.E. (2023) Application of leaf architecture characters in delineating selected species and infraspecific taxa of Genus *Cucumis* L. (Cucurbitaceae). *Webbia. Journal of Plant Taxonomy and Geography*78(2): 135-143. doi: 10.36253/jopt-14833

Received: June 18, 2023

Accepted: July 29, 2023

Published: October10, 2023

Copyright: © 2023 Masungsong L.A., Banaticla-Hilario M.C., Belarmino M.M., Buot-Jr I.E. This is an open access, peer-reviewed article published by Firenze University Press (http://www.fupress.com/webbia) and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Competing Interests: The Author(s) declare(s) no conflict of interest.

Editor: Alessio Papini

ORCID

LAM: 0000-0002-3563-7664 MCB-H: 0000-0001-9340-1601 IEB-Jr: 0000-0002-2450-2713

Applications of leaf architecture characters in delineating selected species and infraspecific taxa of Genus *Cucumis* L. (Cucurbitaceae)

Lailani A. Masungsong^{1,*}, Maria Celeste Banaticla-Hilario¹, Marilyn M. Belarmino², Inocencio E. Buot Jr.¹

¹ Plant Biology Division, Institute of Biological Sciences, College of Arts and Sciences, University of the Philippines Los Baños, Laguna, 4031, Philippines

² Genetic Resource Division, Hortanova Research Center, East West Seed Company Inc., Lipa City Batangas, 4217, Philippines

*Corresponding author. E-mail: lamasungsong@up.edu.ph

Abstract. Leaf venation characters of twelve (12) Cucumis taxa, comprised of eight species, three subspecies, and three varieties were examined to determine patterns of leaf architectural characters and delineate these accessions at the species, subspecies, and variety level. The leaf architecture of twenty-seven accessions representing six taxa were examined and described in this study. Additionally, data on the leaf architecture of another six taxa (represented by 57 accessions) published by Masungsong et al. (2022) were incorporated in the analysis. Results revealed that the twelve (12) taxa of Cucumis primarily varied in blade class, apex angle, primary vein size, secondary vein spacing, tertiary vein angle to primary vein, and areole development. These variations were found consistent with Cucumis species examined in previous studies. A dichotomous key was constructed for the twelve Cucumis taxa using leaf characters derived. Cluster analysis (based on UPGMA) formed two major clusters at 0.130 Gower distance. Cluster I includes Cucumis melo subsp. melo, C. pustulatus, C. melo var. flexuosus, C. melo subsp. agrestis, and C, melo var. texanus which exhibited secondary vein spacing that increases towards the base. while Cluster II is composed of the remaining Cucumis taxa, all displaying irregular secondary vein spacing. Furthermore, subclusters were formed based on tertiary vein angle in relation to primary vein, blade class, apex angle, and primary vein size. Results showed that utilizing leaf architecture is an effective technique to describe, characterize and delineate closely related taxa with many similar characters.

Keywords: Cucumis, Cucurbitaceae, leaf architecture, leaf venation, species delineation.

INTRODUCTION

Plant reproductive structures (flowers and fruits) are the most common and widely used taxonomic features for the identification and classification of plant species. However, these structures can be challenging to investigate due to their seasonality (da Silva et al. 2015). The use of plant vegetative characters such as the leaves are similarly important since they are present throughout the plant's life span (Buot 2020; Masungsong et al. 2019a). Leaf architecture is one of the most valuable tools that is being utilized in many recent taxonomic studies (Buot 2020). It is very significant in describing, classifying, and identifying taxa with confusing characters regardless of its often-debated high phenotypic plasticity. Although some leaf features like shape and size may be plastic, venation patterns are genetically fixed according to Roth-Nebelsick et al. (2001) and Huiet et al. (2018).

Leaf architectural characters have been proven useful in delineating species of Philippine *Cinnamomum* Schaeff. (Celadiña et al. 2012), *Camellia* L. (Lu H et al., 2012), *Terminalia* L. (Baroga 2014), *Saxifraga* Tourn. ex L. (Zhang 2015), *Bauhinia* Plum. ex L. (Lin et al. 2015), *Diplazium* Sw. (Conda et al., 2017), *Adiantum* L. (Huiet et al., 2018), *Dioscorea* Plum. ex L. (Antonio and Buot 2021), and *Hoya* R. Br. (Salvaña and Buot 2014; Villareal and Buot 2015; Jumawan and Buot 2016; Torrefiel and Buot, 2017; Tan and Buot 2018; Baltazar and Buot, 2019; Paguntalan and Buot 2019). These researches revealed that leaf architecture has enormous potential as a tool for solving taxonomic problems.

The genus *Cucumis* comprises a variety of cultivated species classified as food crops. *Cucumis sativus* and *C. melo* are just two of the many economically important members of the genus. Several leaf architectural studies on *Cucumis* have been conducted and contributed to the identification, classification, and delineation of the genus (Rao and Rao 2015; Masungsong et al. 2019a; Masungsong et al. 2019b; Averion-Masungsong and Buot 2020; Masungsong et al. 2022).

Nevertheless, a significant number of *Cucumis* species remain taxonomically problematic in terms of identification and classification due to overlapping general morphology. Currently, there are seventeen (17) *Cucumis* species that are conserved in the Hortanova Farm and Research Center (HFRC) gene bank of Eastwest Seed Company Inc. Identification of these species has increasingly become a challenge when reproductive structures are absent.

This study assessed the diversity of leaf architectural characters from various accessions of twelve (12) *Cucumis* taxa, including eight (8) species, three (3) subspecies, and three (3) varieties. It analyzed the leaf architecture of six (6) taxa that were not included in the previous *Cucumis* leaf architecture studies of Masungsong et al. (2019a), Masungsong et al. (2019b), Averion-Masungsong and Buot (2020); and Masungsong et al. (2022). By combining the current research results with that of previous studies, the accessions were classified and the species, subspecies, and varieties of *Cucumis* were delineated based on leaf architecture.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Leaf sample collection

Twenty-seven (27) accessions representing six (6) *Cucumis* taxa with previously unexamined leaf architecture (Table 1), were systematically planted at the HRFC, East West Seed Company Inc. screen house, in Lipa City, Batangas Philippines. Three (3) leaves from three (3) standing crops, were collected from each accession. A total of two hundred forty-three (243) leaves were collected, pressed, dried, and observed under a dissecting microscope. Herbarium specimens were prepared from each accession, then deposited at the Plant Biology Division Herbarium (PBDH), Institute of Biological Sciences, University of the Philippines, Los Baños.

Secondary data

In the work of Masungsong et.al. (2022), fifty-seven (57) accessions representing six (6) taxa of *Cucumis* were examined. The leaf architecture descriptions of *C. melo* subsp. *agrestis* (Naudin) Pangalo, *C. melo* var. *flexuosus* (L.) Naudin, *C. melo* var. *texanus* Naudin, *C. sativus* L., *C. sativus* var. *hardwickii* (Royle) Gabaev, and *C. zambianus* Widrl, J.H.Kirkbr., Ghebret. & K.R.Reitsma were reported and therefore will not be elaborated on in this paper. Nevertheless, the data on these six taxa will be incorporated in the analysis to provide a more comprehensive coverage of the genus *Cucumis*.

Leaf venation analysis

Twelve (12) general leaf- and eleven (11) venation characters used in previous *Cucumis* studies were also observed and measured in this study. This is to ensure consistent classification for all the *Cucumis* taxa and accessions used. The leaf venation characters were based from Hickey's (1973), LAWG's (1999), and Elli's et al. (2009) leaf architectural descriptors. Leaf architecture data generated from this study were combined with data from the study of Masungsong et al. (2022) to construct a dichotomous key to twelve *Cucumis* taxa. The combined data was also used to perform cluster analysis based on the Unweighted Pair Group Method using Averages (UPGMA) and Gower distance correlation, using the PAleontological Statistics (4.04) software.

Accession number	Accession name	Scientific name	Country of origin	
GB-00250	PI 203974	C. africanus L.f. South Africa		
GB-00253	PI 299571 (563)	C. africanus L.f.	South Africa	
GB-00518	Ames 23562 (JEWM 064)	C. africanus L.f.	South Africa	
GB-00525	PI 542126 (JT48)	C. africanus L.f.	Zimbabwe	
GB-00526	PI 542127 (JT53)	C. africanus L.f.	Botswana	
GB-00446	140836	C. dipsaceus Ehrenb. ex Spach	Zimbabwe	
GB-00576	PI 236468	C. dipsaceus Ehrenb. ex Spach	Ethiopia	
.GB-00577	PI 390450 (Bolsa de toro)	C. dipsaceus Ehrenb. ex Spach	Ecuador	
GB-00578	PI 441993 (IVT 0163)	C. dipsaceus Ehrenb. ex Spach	The Netherlands	
GB-00579	PI 504553	C. dipsaceus Ehrenb. ex Spach	Kenya	
GB-00257	PI/ 273192 (19077)	C. ficifolius A.Rich.	South Africa	
GB-00258	PI 299570 (416)	C. ficifolius A.Rich.	South Africa	
GB-00259	PI 299572 (605)	C. ficifolius A.Rich.	South Africa	
GB-00488	140890	C. ficifolius A.Rich.	France	
GB-01396	WMR 29	C. melo subsp. melo L.	Iran	
GB-01397	Cantaloup d'Alger	C. melo subsp. melo L.	Iran	
GB-01398	Santon	C. melo subsp. melo L.	Iran	
GB-01399	Naples	C. melo subsp. melo L.	Iran	
GB-01400	Bale	C. melo subsp. melo L.	Guatemala	
GB-00411	PI 203977	C. myriocarpus subsp. myriocarpus Naudin	South Africa	
GB-00412	PI 409732 (2602)	C. myriocarpus subsp. myriocarpus Naudin	South Africa	
GB-00413	PI 532627 (79)	C. myriocarpus subsp. myriocarpus Naudin	Zimbabwe	
GB-00414	PI 532628 (85)	C. myriocarpus subsp. myriocarpus Naudin	Zimbabwe	
GB-00415	PI 542137 (517)	C. myriocarpus subsp. myriocarpus Naudin	Botswana	
GB-00642	PI 343699 (No. 1)	C. pustulatus Naudin ex Hook.f.	Nigeria	
GB-00643	PI 343700 (No. 2)	C. pustulatus Naudin ex Hook.f.	Nigeria	
GB-00644	PI 343701 (No. 3)	C. pustulatus Naudin ex Hook.f.	Nigeria	

Table 1. Cucumis taxa and accessions examined in the study.

RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS

General leaf characters and venation characters of Cucumis species

Based on the data gathered from a total of eightyfour (84) accessions (27 from this study and 57 from the study of Masungsong et al. (2022), the twelve (12) *Cucumis* taxa six (6) from this study and six (6) from Masungsong et al. (2022) share many common leaf architecture traits (Table 2 and Masungsong et al. (2022). In fact, all twelve taxa displayed the same traits in nine (9) out of the twelve (12) general leaf characters and eleven (7) out of the eleven (11) leaf venation characters.

The unifying features of the leaves of these *Cucumis* taxa are: simple leaf organization, orbiculate and symmetrical lamina, convex apex, lobate base with wide obtuse angle, serrated leaf margins, spinose tooth apex, palmate lobation, actinodromous (i.e., arising from the same point of origin) suprabasal primary veins that are

straight-branched, craspedodromous (i.e., pinnately arranged and terminating at the leaf margins) secondary veins with two-pair acute basal secondaries, alternate percurrent tertiary veins, regular, polygonal and reticulate quaternary veins, and looped marginal ultimate venation. These shared features can be used to distinguish the leaves of *Cucumis* species from other genera.

Variations of leaf characters were observed in their blade class and apex angle. Among the 12 taxa, only *C. ficifolius* exhibited a microphyll to notophyll blade class while other species have mesophyll to macrophyll blade class (*C. zambianus*, *C. melo* var. *flexuosus*, *C. sativus* var. *hardwickii*, and *C. melo* subsp. *agrestis*), mesophyll blade class (*C. sativus*, *C. pustulatus*, *C. melo* subsp. *melo* and *C. melo* var. *texanus*) and strictly notophyll blade class (*C. dipsaceus*, *C. africanus*, and *C. myriocarpus* subsp. *myriocarpus*). Blade class has been used to delineate species, infraspecific taxa, and accessions. Similar to the study of Masungsong et al. (2019b) it differentiated *C. anguria* from *C. anguria* var. *longaculeatus*. However,

Characters C. pustulatus C. dipsaceus C. ficifolius C. melo ssp. melo C. africanus C. myriocarpus ssp. myriocarpus General leaf characters Leaf organization Simple		Species						
General leaf characters LaG organization Simple Orbiculate Orbiculate Orbiculate Orbiculate Orbiculate Symmetrical Symital Catuad Catuad	Characters	C. pustulatus	C. dipsaceus	C. ficifolius	C. melo ssp. melo	C. africanus	C. myriocarpus ssp. myriocarpus	
Leaf organizationSimpleSimpleSimpleSimpleSimpleSimpleSimpleSimpleSimpleSimpleSimpleSimpleSimpleOrbiculateSymmetricalSymmetricalSymmetricalSymmetricalSymmetricalSymmetricalSymmetricalSymmetricalSymmetricalSymmetricalSymmetricalSymmetricalOrbiculateOrbiculateOutophyllMicrophyll </td <td>General leaf characters</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	General leaf characters							
Blade shapeOrbiculate	Leaf organization	Simple	Simple	Simple	Simple	Simple	Simple	
Blade symmetrySymmetricalSymmet	Blade shape	Orbiculate	Orbiculate	Orbiculate	Orbiculate	Orbiculate	Orbiculate	
Length: Width ratio $1.00 - 1.25$ $0.97 - 1.16$ $0.85 - 1.26$ $0.88 - 1.11$ $0.97 - 1.60$ $0.95 - 1.53$ Blade classMesophyllNotophyllMicrophyll to mesophyllMesophyllNotophyllNotophyllApex shapeConvexConvexConvexConvexConvexConvexConvexApex angleOdd-lobedOdd-lobedOdd-lobedOdd-lobedOdd-lobedOdd-lobedOdd-lobedBase shapeLobateLobateLobateLobateLobateLobateLobateLobateLobateBase angleWide obtuseWide obtuseWide obtuseWide obtuseWide obtuseWide obtuseWide obtuseMarginSerrateSerrateSerrateSerrateSerrateSerrateSerrateLobationPalmately lobedPalmately lobedPalmately lobedPalmately lobedPalmately lobedPalmately lobedVenationPalmately lobedPalmately lobedSuprabasalActinodromousActinodromousSuprabasalsuprabasalSizeweakmoderateWeak to moderateweakmoderateWeak to stoutCourseStraight branchedStraight branchedStraight branchedStraight branchedStraight branchedSizeweakmoderateWeak to moderateweakmoderateWeak to stoutCourseStraight branchedStraight branchedStraight branchedStraight branchedStraight branchedSizeweakmoderate<	Blade symmetry	Symmetrical	Symmetrical	Symmetrical	Symmetrical	Symmetrical	Symmetrical	
Blade class Mesophyll Notophyll Microphyll mesophyll mesophyll Mesophyll mesophyll Mesophyll mesophyll Notophyll Notophyll Notophyll Apex shape Convex Convex <td>Length: Width ratio</td> <td>1.00 - 1.25</td> <td>0.97 - 1.16</td> <td>0.85 - 1.26</td> <td>0.88 - 1.11</td> <td>0.97 - 1.60</td> <td>0.95 - 1.53</td>	Length: Width ratio	1.00 - 1.25	0.97 - 1.16	0.85 - 1.26	0.88 - 1.11	0.97 - 1.60	0.95 - 1.53	
Apex shapeConvexConvexConvexConvexConvexConvexConvexConvexApex angleOdd-lobedIcobateLobateLobateLobateLobateLobateLobateLobateLobateLobateLobateLobateLobateLobateIcobateSerrate	Blade class	Mesophyll	Notophyll	Microphyll to mesophyll	Mesophyll	Notophyll	Notophyll	
Apex angleOdd-lobed obtuseOdd-lobed obtuseOdd-lobed obtuseOdd-lobed obtuseOdd-lobed obtuseOdd-lobed acuteOdd-lobed acuteBase shapeLobateLobateLobateLobateLobateLobateLobateLobateBase angleWide obtuseWide obtuseWide obtuseWide obtuseWide obtuseWide obtuseWide obtuseMarginSerrateSerrateSerrateSerrateSerrateSerrateSerrateTooth apexSpinoseSpinoseSpinoseSpinoseSpinoseSpinoseSpinoseLobationPalmately lobedPalmately lobedPalmately lobedPalmately lobedPalmately lobedPalmately lobedVenationPrimary veinActinodromous suprabasalActinodromous suprabasalActinodromous suprabasalActinodromous suprabasalActinodromous suprabasalActinodromous suprabasalActinodromous suprabasalActinodromous suprabasalActinodromous suprabasalActinodromous suprabasalActinodromous suprabasalActinodromous suprabasalActinodromous suprabasalActinodromous 	Apex shape	Convex	Convex	Convex	Convex	Convex	Convex	
Base shapeLobateLobateLobateLobateLobateLobateLobateLobateBase angleWide obtuseWide obtuseSerrateSer	Apex angle	Odd-lobed obtuse	Odd-lobed obtuse	Odd-lobed obtuse	Odd-lobed obtuse	Odd-lobed acute	Odd-lobed acute	
Base angleWide obtuseWide obtuseMide obtuseMide obtuseMide obtuseMide obtuseMide obtuseSerrate <th< td=""><td>Base shape</td><td>Lobate</td><td>Lobate</td><td>Lobate</td><td>Lobate</td><td>Lobate</td><td>Lobate</td></th<>	Base shape	Lobate	Lobate	Lobate	Lobate	Lobate	Lobate	
MarginSerrateSerrateSerrateSerrateSerrateSerrateSerrateSerrateSerrateTooth apexSpinoseSpinoseSpinoseSpinoseSpinoseSpinoseSpinoseSpinoseLobationPalmately lobedPalmately	Base angle	Wide obtuse						
Tooth apexSpinoseSpinoseSpinoseSpinoseSpinoseSpinoseSpinoseSpinoseLobationPalmately lobedPalmately	Margin	Serrate	Serrate	Serrate	Serrate	Serrate	Serrate	
LobationPalmately lobedPalmately lobedPalmately lobedPalmately lobedPalmately lobedPalmately lobedVenationPrimary veinCategoryActinodromous suprabasalActinodromous suprabasalActinodromous suprabasalActinodromous suprabasalActinodromous suprabasalActinodromous suprabasalSizeweakmoderateWeak to moderateweakmoderateWeak to stoutCourseStraight branchedStraight branchedStraight branchedStraight branchedStraight branchedCategoryCraspedodromous Craspedodromous Craspedodromous Craspedodromous Craspedodromous Craspedodromous Craspedodromous CraspedodromousCraspedodromous CraspedodromousCraspedodromous CraspedodromousSpacingIncreasingIrregularIrregularIncreasingIrregularIrregularAngle categoryTwo pair acuteTwo pair acuteTwo pair acuteTwo pair acuteTwo pair acutebasal secondariesbasal secondariesbasal secondariesbasal secondariesbasal secondaries <i>Tertiary vein</i> AlternateAlternateAlternateAlternateAngle to primaryobtuseobtuseobtuseobtuseobtuseobtuseobtuseQuaternary vein categoryRegular polygonal Regular p	Tooth apex	Spinose	Spinose	Spinose	Spinose	Spinose	Spinose	
Venation Primary vein Category Actinodromous Actinodromous Actinodromous Actinodromous Actinodromous Actinodromous Actinodromous Suprabasal suprabasal suprabasal suprabasal suprabasal suprabasal suprabasal suprabasal suprabasal suprabasal Size weak moderate Weak to stout Course Straight branched Straight branche	Lobation	Palmately lobed						
Primary veinCategoryActinodromous suprabasalActinodromous suprabasalActinodromous suprabasalActinodromous suprabasalActinodromous suprabasalActinodromous suprabasalActinodromous suprabasalSizeweakmoderateWeak to moderateweakmoderateWeak to stoutCourseStraight branchedStraight branchedStraight branchedStraight branchedStraight branchedStraight branchedSecondary vein </td <td>Venation</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Venation							
CategoryActinodromous suprabasalActinodromous SuprabasalActinodromous SuprabasalActinodromous SuprabasalActinodromous SuprabasalActinodromous SuprabasalActinodromous SuprabasalActinodromous SuprabasalActinodromous SuprabasalActinodromous SuprabasalActinodromous SuprabasalActinodromous SuprabasalActinodromous SuprabasalActinodromous <b< td=""><td>Primary vein</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></b<>	Primary vein							
SizeweakmoderateWeak to moderateweakmoderateWeak to stoutCourseStraight branchedStraight branc	Category	Actinodromous suprabasal	Actinodromous suprabasal	Actinodromous suprabasal	Actinodromous suprabasal	Actinodromous suprabasal	Actinodromous suprabasal	
CourseStraight branchedStraight bran	Size	weak	moderate	Weak to moderate	weak	moderate	Weak to stout	
Secondary veinCategoryCraspedodromous Craspedodromous Craspedodremote Scaledromous Craspedodremote Category Category	Course	Straight branched						
CategoryCraspedodromous Craspedodromous Craspedodres (Secondaries Spacing)Pangle categoryIncreasing Two pair acute Two pair acute basal secondaries (Patrasperior Patrasperior	Secondary vein							
SpacingIncreasing towards baseIrregularIncreasing spacingIrregularIncreasing towards baseIrregularIrregularAngle categoryTwo pair acute basal secondariesTwo pair acute 	Category	Craspedodromous	Craspedodromous	Craspedodromous	Craspedodromous	Craspedodromous	Craspedodromous	
Angle categoryTwo pair acute basal secondariesTwo pair acute basal se	Spacing	Increasing towards base	Irregular spacing	Irregular spacing	Increasing towards base	Irregular spacing	Irregular spacing	
Tertiary vein Category Alternate Percurrent	Angle category	Two pair acute basal secondaries						
CategoryAlternate percurrentAlternate 	Tertiary vein							
Angle to primaryobtuseobtuseobtuseobtuseobtuseQuaternary vein categoryRegular polygonal Regular polygona	Category	Alternate percurrent	Alternate percurrent	Alternate percurrent	Alternate percurrent	Alternate percurrent	Alternate percurrent	
Quaternary vein category Regular polygonal R	Angle to primary	obtuse	obtuse	obtuse	obtuse	obtuse	obtuse	
Marginal ultimate venationLoopedLoopedLoopedLoopedLoopedAreole developmentWell developedWell developedWell developedWell developedWell developed	Quaternary vein category	Regular polygonal reticulate						
Areole development Well developed Well developed Well developed Well developed Well developed	Marginal ultimate venation	Looped	Looped	Looped	Looped	Looped	Looped	
	Areole development	Well developed						

 Table 2. Leaf architecture traits of six species of Cucumis based on twenty-seven (27) accessions.

two accessions of *C. anguria* displayed a different blade class clustering them with *C. anguria* var. *longaculeatus* implying the close relationship of the two aforementioned varieties. Some leaf architecture studies were also able to delineate species using blade class (Baroga and Buot 2014; Kpadehyea and Buot 2014; Tan and Buot 2018; Antonio and Buot 2021). Moreso, blade class was used as an identification tool for *Glycine max* (L.) Merr. accessions (Chen and Nelson 2004). However, in this study blade class alone is not enough to distinguish species as we observed different types of blade class in *C. melo* subspecies and varieties, as well as in *C. sativus* varieties.

All the *Cucumis* taxa exhibited odd-lobed and obtuse apex angles with the exception of *C. melo* var. *flexuosus* (obtuse apex angle), *C. melo* var. *texanus* (obtuse to odd-lobed obtuse apex angle), and *C. sativus* and *C. sativus* var. *hardwickii* (odd-lobed acute apex angle). Similar with the result of this study, diversity of apex angle is also observed by Masungsong et al. (2019a, 2019b) in other *Cucumis* species. The apex angle of odd-

lobed leaves can represent the degree of lobation in *Cucumis* (Nandyal et al. 2013).

Variations were observed in their primary vein size, secondary vein spacing, tertiary vein angle in relation to primary vein category, and areolation. In terms of primary vein size, the *Cucumis* accessions can be grouped into four: (1) those having weak primary vein size (*C. melo* subsp. *agrestis*, *C. melo* var. *texanus*, *C. melo* var. *flexuosus*, *C. zambianus*, *C. sativus*, *C. sativus* var. *hardwickii*, *C. pustulatus*, and *C. melo* ssp. melo), (2) moderate primary vein size (*C. dipsaceus* and *C. africanus*), (3) weak to moderate primary vein size (*C. myriocarpus* subsp. *myriocarpus*). Hence, primary vein size can be used to delineate *C. ficifolius* and *C. myriocarpus* subsp. *myriocarpus* from the rest of the taxa.

Studies have shown that primary vein size and other primary vein characteristics can be used in classifying species, as demonstrated in Podocarpus L. Her. ex Pers. (Salvaña et al. 2018) and Anthurium Schott subsections (Mantovani et al. 2009). Two groups were formed on the basis of secondary vein spacing. Cucumis pustulatus and all the subspecies and varieties of C. melo have increasing spacing towards the base secondary vein while other species have irregular secondary vein spacing. These two character states were also observed in other Cucumis species (Masungsong et al. 2019b). Just like apex angle, secondary vein spacing is associated to lobation. The extent of lobation is inversely proportional to leaf surface area. Lobation is important in discerning specific vein patterns in the leaf and it can also reflect evolutionary relationships between taxa (Yang et al. 2022).

Most of the Cucumis taxa display obtuse tertiary vein angle to primary vein while C. melo subsp. agrestis, C. melo subsp. texanus, C. melo subsp. flexuosus and C. zambianus have an acute tertiary vein angle to primary vein. This character delineated C. metuliferus from C. myriocarpus as observed in the study of Masungsong et al. (2019a, 2019b). Nelson and Dengler (1997) also indicated that intra- and interspecific variations can be seen in higher vein orders like tertiary veins. For the areole development, C. zambianus accessions can be distinguished as they have moderately developed areolation while the other taxa have well-developed areolation. Areolation was also cited by Kpadehyea and Buot (2014) as one of the most useful leaf characters in differentiating species and infraspecific taxa of Mussaenda Burm. ex L. in the Philippines.

A dichotomous key was produced, using the leaf architecture descriptions of the examined taxa. The usefulness of leaf architecture, especially venation characters in delineating and describing *Cucumis* species is apparent. Similar findings were reported by Celadiña et al. (2012) in Philippine *Cinnamomum* species, Conda et al. (2017) in selected *Diplazium* species, Salvaña and Buot (2014) in *Hoya* species, and Pulan and Buot (2014) in Philippine *Shorea* Roxb. ex C.F. Gaertn. species.

Key to twelve taxa of *Cucumis* L. based on leaf architecture

1.	2° vein craspedodromous with irregular spacing2
2.	Convex apex shape, odd lobed obtuse apex angle
2.	Convex apex shape, odd lobed acute apex angle7
3.	3° vein alternate percurrent with obtuse 3° vein angle to 1°
3.	3° vein alternate percurrent with acute 3° vein angle to 1°
4.	1° vein actinodromous suprabasal, with moderate vein size
4.	1° vein actinodromous suprabasal, with weak to moderate or weak to stout vein size6
5.	Palmately lobed, notophyll with 0.97 to 1.16 L:W ratio
5.	Palmately lobed, notophyll with 0.97 to 1.60 L:W ratio C. africanus
6.	Weak to moderate 1° vein size
6.	Weak to stout 1° vein size
7.	Well-developed areolation, macrophyll with 0.95 to 1.17 L:W ratio
7.	Well-developed areolation, macrophyll with 1.03 to 1.12 L:W ratio <i>C. sativus</i> var. <i>hardwickii</i>
1.	2° vein craspedodromous with increasing towards the base spacing
8.	Convex apex shape with odd lobed obtuse apex angle9
8.	Convex apex shape with obtuse apex angle10
9.	3° vein alternate percurrent with obtuse 3° vein angle to 1°
9.	3° vein alternate percurrent with acute 3° vein angle to 1°
10.	4° vein regular polygonal reticulate, mesophyll with 0.97 to 1.03 L:W ratio
10.	4° vein regular polygonal reticulate, mesophyll with 0.99 to 1.06 L:W ratio

- 11. Symmetrical, orbiculate, mesophyll with 0.88 to 1.11 L/W ratio...... *C. melo* subsp. *melo*

Species and infraspecific delineation of Cucumis based on leaf architecture

Cluster analysis classified the eighty-four (84) accessions into two major clusters. The UPGMA dendrogram with a cophenetic correlation of 0.9031 and Gower distance of 0.130 is shown in Figure 1. Cluster I includes all accessions of C. melo var. flexuosus, C. melo var. texanus, C. pustulatus, C. melo ssp. melo and C. melo subsp. agrestis characterized by secondary vein spacing increasing towards the base. On the other hand, Cluster II is composed of all accessions of C. sativus, C. sativus var. hardwickii, C. africanus, C. ficifolius, C. myriocarpus subsp. myriocarpus and C. zambianus with irregular secondary vein spacing. This result is congruent with the results of the previous study (Masungsong et al. 2019a 2019b) wherein all the studied Cucumis species and accessions were primarily delineated on the basis of secondary vein spacing. Thus, secondary vein spacing can be used as a delineating character of Cucumis species and infraspecific taxa.

The regularity in the spacing of leaf veins is a remarkable trait, especially in recently evolved monocots and dicots wherein reticulate venation is apparent despite the differences in leaf shape. On account of its regularity, it is a stable character that can be used in distinguishing taxonomic groups. There are observed differences in the uniformity of the veins which can either be primary, secondary, tertiary, or higher vein orders (Nelson and Dengler 1997). The spacing indicates the strength of the support on the blade. Leaves with palmate lobation need shorter spacing of secondary veins to support the lobed part since it is relatively distant from the midvein and petiole. Increasing spacing of secondary veins towards the base implies that the petiole provides support to the blade.

In the first cluster, *C. melo* subsp. *melo* and *C. pus-tulatus* formed a separate sub-cluster due to the similarity in tertiary vein angle in relation to primary vein which is obtuse. *Cucumis melo* var. *flexuosus* (accessions 601 and 603) and *C. melo* subsp. *agrestis* (accessions 510, 503, 466, and 487) formed another sub-cluster as blade class for these accessions were similar (macrophyll blade class). Blade class is mainly based on the leaf surface area, with macrophyll leaves having a larger surface area than microphyll leaves. Blade classes can be used as a

tool in identifying the different accessions of *Cucumis*. This character has been proven to be useful in the classification of *Glycine max* accessions (Chen and Nelson 2004). The set of leaf characters used in this study was able to separate all the subspecific taxa of *C. melo* from the rest of the species, except for *C. pustulatus*. The subclusters correspond to the different subspecies and varieties of *C. melo*. As seen in Figure 1, accessions of *C. melo* subsp. *melo* and *C. pustulatus* are very similar to each other. It might be worth re-examining the two accessions of *C. pustulatus* to check for possible misclassification or mislabeling of the plant materials used.

In the second cluster, C. zambianus accessions formed a separate sub-cluster for having an acute tertiary vein angle in relation to primary vein and apex angle separates accessions of this species into two. Cucumis myriocarpus subsp. myriocarpus accessions 412 and 414 were separated from the other accessions of the species for having an acute tertiary vein angle in relation to primary vein. Accessions of C. africanus (250, 253, 518, 525, 526), C. dipsaceus (446, 576, 577, 578, 579), C. ficifolius (488, 257, 258, 259), C. myriocarpus subsp. myriocarpus (411, 413, 415) formed a separate sub-cluster due to similarity in apex angle (odd lobed obtuse). Sub-cluster formed by C. sativus and C. sativus var. hardwickii accessions was supported by similarity in primary vein size which is weak. While clustering of accessions from the same species was clearly observed in C. zambianus and C. sativus (with the two varieties joining in one subcluster), C. africanus and C. dipsaceus appear to have very similar leaf character traits. Some accessions of different species were observed to form a group with other species. Cucumis myriocarpus subsp. myriocarpus accessions 411, 413, and 415 were separated from accessions 412 and 414 due to tertiary vein angle in relation to primary vein. This implies that, within the same species, the tertiary vein angle in relation to primary vein may differ which can cause a range of character states general to all accession of the species. It can be indicated, based on the result that C. myriocarpus subsp. myriocarpus accessions have acute to obtuse tertiary vein angle in relation to primary vein. In terms of blade class, C. myriocarpus subsp. myriocarpus accession 411 also separated from other accessions of the species. Similar to tertiary vein angle in relation to primary vein, it can also be indicated that C. myriocarpus subsp. myriocarpus accessions have a range of blade classes from notophyll to mesophyll. Similarly, C. ficifolius accession 488 and 258 separated from accession 257 and 259 for exhibiting notophyll blade class and weak primary vein size. This result also implies that there is a range of character states for the aforementioned leaf



Figure 1. Unweighted Pair Group Method using Averages (UPGMA) dendrogram based on Gower distance (0.130), of eighty-four accessions representing twelve taxa of *Cucumis*: two major clusters formed based on secondary vein spacing; Cluster I: *Cucumis* species accessions with increasing spacing towards the base secondary vein (\blacksquare) and Cluster II: *Cucumis* species accessions with irregular spacing secondary vein (\blacksquare).

architectural characters of *C. ficifolius*. As evidenced in the dendrogram, this cluster analysis results where some accessions of *Cucumis* species grouped with other accessions of other *Cucumis* species were attributed to their leaf characters' similarities and differences therefore can be considered as a range of character states for the different *Cucumis* species and accessions. Nevertheless, similarities of leaf architectural characters of this species support assigning these accessions under the same species.

The distinct leaf characters obtained, the dichotomous key constructed, and the results of the cluster analysis for the 12 *Cucumis* taxa examined proved the reliability of leaf architecture as a tool in identifying and classifying species within the genus and at a certain level, subspecies and varieties within the same species.

CONCLUSION

The *Cucumis* accessions used in this study can be classified at the species- and infra-specific levels using leaf architecture, especially venation patterns. This approach can be successfully used to identify *Cucumis* species and accessions, as well as other morphologically similar species. In order to solve the issue of resource and space conservation, it can also act as a guide for gene banks, seed firms, and repositories where various accessions of species are preserved thus, aids in planning and management.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We appreciate the leaf samples of the *Cucumis* taxa and accessions considered in this study provided by the Hortanova Farm and Research Center, Eastwest Seed Company, Inc. in Lipa City, Batangas, Philippines, as well as the financial support provided by the Office of the Vice Chancellor for Research and Extension, University of the Philippines Los Baños, through the Basic Research Program for the year 2020.

REFERENCES

- Antonio MA, Buot Jr. IE. 2021. Characterization and delineation of two infraspecific taxa of *Dioscorea* esculenta (Lour.) Burkill: The leaf architecture approach. Biodiversitas. 22: 1783-1789. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d220423
- Averion-Masungsong L, Buot Jr. IE. 2020 Resolving Taxonomic Confusion through Leaf Architecture: The Case of Genus *Cucumis* L. Journal on New Biological Reports. 9(1): 86-93.DOI:https://www.researchtrend. net/jnbr/pdf/Resolving%20Taxonomic%20Confusion%20through%20Leaf%20Architecture.pdf
- Baltazar AMP, Buot Jr. IE. 2019. Resolving Taxonomic Confusion between *Hoya cumingiana* Decne. and *Hoya densifolia* Turcz. (Apocynaceae) using Leaf

Architectural Analysis. The Thailand Natural History Museum Journal. 13(2): 77-89.

- Baroga JB, Buot Jr. IE. 2014. Leaf architecture of ten species of Philippine *Terminalia* Linn. (Combretaceae). International Research Journal of Biological Sciences. 3: 83-88.
- Buot, Jr. IE. 2020. Leaf Architecture as a Promising Tool in Confirming Identity of Confusing Plant Taxa. Journal of Nature Studies. 19(1): 134-143.
- Celadiña DA, Buot Jr. IE, Madulid DA, Evangelista TT, Tandang DA. 2012. Leaf architecture of selected Philippine *Cinnamomum* Schaeff. (Lauraceae) species. The Thailand Natural History Museum Journal. 6: 89-111.
- Chen Y, Nelson RL. 2004. Evaluation and Classification of Leaflet Shape and Size in Wild Soybean. Crop Science. 44: 671-677.
- Conda JM, Buot Jr. IE, Escobin RP. 2017. Leaf Architecture of Selected Philippine *Diplazium* Swartz Species (Athyriaceae). The Thailand Natural History Museum Journal. 11(2): 57-75.
- da Silva NR, Florindo JB, Gomez MC, Rossatto DR, Kolb RM, Bruno OM. 2015. Plant Identification Based on Leaf Midrib Cross-Section Images Using Fractal Descriptors. PLoS ONE. 10(6). doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.01300142.
- Ellis B, Daly DC, Hickey LJ, Johnson KR, Mitchell JD, Wilf P, Wing SL. 2009. Manual of Leaf Architecture. The New York Botanical Garden Press, Ithaca, New York.
- Hickey LJ. 1973. Classification of the architecture of dicotyledonous leaves. American Journal of Botany. 60: 17-33.
- Huiet L, Li FW, Kao TT, Prado J, Smith AR, Schuettpelz E, Pryer, KM. 2018. A worldwide phylogeny Adiantum (Pteridaceae) reveals remarkable convergent evolution in leaf blade architecture. Taxon. 67(3): 488–502.
- Jumawan JH, Buot Jr. IE. 2016. Numerical taxonomic analysis in leaf architectural traits of some *Hoya* R. BR. species (Apocynaceae) from Philippines. Bangladesh Journal of Plant Taxonomy. 23(2): 199-207.
- Kpadehyea JT, IE Buot Jr. 2014. Leaf architecture of two species and nine infraspecific taxa of the Philippine *Mussaenda* Linn. (Rubiaceae): Conservation concerns. International Research Journal 101 of Biological Sciences. 3(10): 13–21.
- Leaf Architecture Working Group (LAWG). 1999. Manual of Leaf Architecture Morphological Description and Categorization of Dicotyledonous and Netveined Monocotyledonous angiosperms. Smithsonian Institute, Washington DC.

- Lin Y, Wong WO, Shi G, Shen S, Li Z. 2015. Bilobate leaves of *Bauhinia* (Leguminosae, Caesalpinioideae, Cercideae) from the middle Miocene of Fujian Province, southeastern China and their biogeographic implications. BMC Evolutionary Biology. 15: 252. doi:10.1186/s12862-015-0540-9.
- Lu H, Jiang W, Ghiassi M, Lee S, Nitin M. 2012. Classification of *Camellia* (Theaceae) Species Using Leaf Architecture Variations and Pattern Recognition Techniques. PLoS ONE. 7(1): e29704. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0029704.
- Mantovani A, Estefani Pereira T, Nandruz Coelho MA. 2009. Leaf midrib outline as a diagnostic character for taxonomy in *Anthurium* section *Urospadix* subsection *Flavescentiviridia* (Araceae). Hoehnea. 36(2): 269-277.
- Masungsong LA, Belarmino MM, Buot Jr. IE. 2019a. Delineation of the selected *Cucumis* L. species and accessions using leaf architecture characters. Biodiversitas. 20: 629-635.
- Masungsong LA, Torreta NK, Belarmino MM, Borromeo TH, Buot Jr. IE. 2019b. Leaf Architectural Variations among Species and Accessions of Genus *Cucumis* L. The Thailand Natural History Museum Journal. 13(2): 91-101.
- Masungsong LA, Alcala AA, Buot IE Jr., Belarmino MM. 2022. Classifying fifty-seven *Cucumis* (Cucurbitaceae) accessions into six species using leaf architectural traits. Biodiversitas. 23: 4006-4017.
- Nandyal SS, Anami BS, Govardhan A. 2013. Base and apex angles and margin types-based identification and classification from medicinal plants' leaves images. International Journal of Computational Vision Robotics. 3: 197.
- Nelson T, Dengler N. 1997. Leaf vascular pattern formation. Plant Cell. 9: 1121-1135.
- Paguntalan DP, Buot Jr. IE. 2019. Short Communication: Investigation of leaf architectural patterns: Implications in delineating taxonomically controversial *Hoya merrillii* Schlechter and *Hoya quinquenervia* Warburg. Biodiversitas. 20: 833-839.
- Pulan DE, Buot Jr. IE. 2014. Leaf Architecture of Philippine Shorea species (Dipterocarpaceae). International Research Journal of Biological Sciences. 3(5): 19-26.
- Rao SRS, Rao SS. 2015. Leaf Architectural Studies in Some Cucurbitaceae. Journal of the Indian Botanical Society. 94(1-2): 64-72.
- Roth-Nebelsick A, Uhl D, Mosbrugger V, Kerp H. 2001. Evolution and function of leaf venation architecture: A review. Annals of Botany. 87(5): 553–566.
- Salvaña FRP, Buot Jr. IE. 2014. Leaf architectural study of Hoya coriacea, Hoya halconensis and Hoya buotii

(Apocynaceae). International Research Journal of Biological Sciences. 3: 37-44.

- Salvaña FRP, Gruezo WSm, Hadsall AS. 2018. Recent Taxonomic Notes and New Distribution Localities of *Podocarpus* Pers. Species in the Philippines. Sibbaldia: The International Journal of Botanic Garden Horticulture. 16: 99-120. https://doi.org/10.24823/ Sibbaldia.2018.250
- Tan JMP, Buot Jr. IE. 2018. Delineating Two Species Hoya benguetensis Schltr. and Hoya ilagiorum Kloppenb., Siar & Cajano (Apocynaceae): A Leaf Architectural Approach. The Thailand Natural History Museum Journal. 12(2): 103-109.
- Torrefiel JT, Buot Jr. IE. 2017. *Hoya carandangiana, Hoya bicolensis*, and *Hoya camphorifolia* (Apocynaceae) species delineation: Insights from leaf architecture. The Thailand Natural History Museum Journal. 11: 35-44.
- Villareal AMM, Buot Jr. IE. 2015. Leaf Architecture of *Hoya incrassata Warb. and Hoya crassicaulis Elmer x* Kloppenb. (Apocynaceae): Taxonomic Identification and Conservation Concerns. IAMURE International Journal of Ecology and Conservation. 15: 203-213.
- Yang K., Wu J, Li X. Pang X, Yuan Y, Qi G, Yang M. 2022. Intraspecific leaf morphological variation in *Quercus dentata* Thunb.: a comparison of traditional and geometric morphometric methods, a pilot study. Journal of Forestry Reserch. doi.org/10.1007/s11676-022-01452-x.
- Zhang Z, Xia N, Gornall RJ. 2015. Leaf venation patterns in the genus *Saxifraga* (Saxifragaceae). Phytotaxa. 221(2): 123–136. doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.221.2.2