



Citation: Delprete, P.G. (2024). Supplement to the Rubiaceae in the Flora dos Estados de Goiás e Tocantins, Brazil: Nomenclatural revision, typifications, new records, new identifications, and new combinations. *Webbia. Journal of Plant Taxonomy and Geography* 79(1): 53-182. doi: 10.36253/jopt-15948

Received: December 1, 2023

Accepted: March 7, 2024

Published: March 19, 2024

Copyright: ©2024 Delprete, P.G. This is an open access, peer-reviewed article published by Firenze University Press (<http://www.fupress.com/webbia>) and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Competing Interests: The Author(s) declare(s) no conflict of interest.

Editor: Riccardo M. Baldini

ORCID

PGD: 0000-0001-5844-3945

Supplement to the Rubiaceae in the *Flora dos Estados de Goiás e Tocantins*, Brazil: Nomenclatural revision, typifications, new records, new identifications, and new combinations

PIERO G. DELPRETE

AMAP Lab, IRD, CNRS, CIRAD, INRA, Université de Montpellier, 34398 Montpellier, France; AMAP Lab, IRD, Herbar de Guyane, B.P. 90165, 97323 Cayenne, French Guiana, France

E-mail: piero.delprete@ird.fr

Abstract. The contribution of the family Rubiaceae for the *Flora dos Estados de Goiás e Tocantins – Coleção Rizzo* (FGT), published in November 2010, includes the treatment of 61 genera and 245 species. Nomenclatural types were cited in various ways, depending on the information and photographs available to the author before 2008. For numerous names, lectotype designations were not accompanied by “here designated” or a similar expression, which is required by the *International Code of Nomenclature* starting from 1 January 2001, therefore those designations are not valid. Also, the barcodes of type specimens were not cited in that treatment, because at that time, it was not common practice to cite that information, especially in floristic treatments, and also because barcodes were not yet assigned to specimens in many herbaria. The type citations are here corrected, type citations correctly cited with additional information added, and designate lectotypes or neotypes of names that still need to be designated, are designated. A total of 81 lectotypifications and 11 neotypifications are here presented. A list of names newly typified is available in Appendix 1. Updates of the taxonomic and systematic changes that occurred during the last 15 years, are provided. Major changes regarding generic delimitations, mostly in the tribes Palicoureeae, Psychotriaceae, and Spermacoceae, have recently been proposed, and numerous new combinations have recently been published by several authors, which are here included. Following those recent generic rearrangements, three new combinations in *Palicourea* are here published, namely *P. leiocarpa*, *P. stachyoides*, and *P. subtriflora*.

Keywords: Brazil, Cerrado, historical collections, Neotropics, nomenclature, Rubiaceae, typification.

Resumo. A contribuição da família Rubiaceae para a *Flora dos Estados de Goiás e Tocantins – Coleção Rizzo* (FGT), publicada em novembro 2010, inclui o tratamento dos 61 gêneros e 245 espécies. Os tipos nomenclaturais foram citados de várias formas, dependendo da informação disponível ao autor até 2008. Por muitos nomes, os lectótipos foram designados, porém, em muitos casos não foram acompanhadas por “aqui escolhido” ou uma expressão similar, que é um requerimento do *Código Interna-*

cional de Nomenclatura a partir do 1 de Janeiro de 2001; então aquelas designações não são validas. Também, os *barcodes* (códigos de barras) dos espécimens tipo não foram citados naquele tratamento, porque naquela época não era pratica comum de citar essa informação, principalmente em tratados florísticos, e também porque naquela época os *barcodes* ainda não foram atribuídos aos espécimens de muitos herbários. As citações dos tipos das Rubiaceae da FGT são aqui corrigidas, as citações dos tipos coretamente citados com informação adicional, e os lectótipos ou neótipos dos nomes que ainda precisam serem designados. Um total de 81 lectotipificações e 11 neotipificações são aqui apresentadas. A lista dos nomes aqui tipificados é disponível na Apêndice 1. Este trabalho também providencia uma atualização das mudanças taxonômicas e sistemáticas que ocorreram durante os últimos 15 anos. Importantes mudanças relativas a delimitações de gêneros, principalmente nas tribos Palicoureeae, Psychotriae e Spermacoceae, foram recentemente propostas, e numerosas novas combinações foram recentemente publicadas por vários autores, as quais são aqui incluídas. De acordo com estes recentes rearranjos genéricos, tres novas combinações em *Palicourea* são aqui publicadas, a saber *P. leiocarpa*, *P. stachyoides* e *P. subtriflora*.

Palavras-chave: Brasil, Cerrado, Neotropicos, nomenclatura, coleções historicas, Rubiaceae, tipificação.

INTRODUCTION

Before the 1960s, the floristic composition of the States of Goiás and Tocantins, Central-Western Brazil, was mostly known through the historical collections of European botanists travelling during the 1800s and the first decades of 1900, principally those of Johann Pohl (1976), Augustin de Saint-Hilaire (1944), Ernst Ule (1894, 2003), Auguste François Glaziou (1905, 1906, 1907, 1909a, 1909b, 1910, 1911), and George Gardner (1975). Starting from 1822, in Colonial Brazil, the region was called the Province of Goyaz, and 1889, when Brazil became a republic, it was re-named the State of Goiás. In 1988 the State of Goiás was divided into two states, the southern portion remained the State of Goiás, and the northern portion became the State of Tocantins. Ecologically, the modern state of Goiás and the southern portion of the state of Tocantins are within the Cerrado Biome, and the northern portion of the state of Tocantins is within the Amazon Region. The specimens collected during the historical expeditions of 1800s and through the first decades of 1900s are mostly present in European and North American herbaria, which in the 1960s were difficult to be studied by Brazilian botanists, due to the excessive costs of travelling.

The original state of Goiás also included the area that nowadays corresponds to the Federal District, where Brasília, the capital of Brazil, is currently located. Up to the 1960s, Central-Western Brazil was a vast region that needed to be developed and connected by main roads with the rest of the country. This general developmental policy was initiated by President Getúlio Vargas (1882–1954) with the program “March to the West” (“*Marcha para o Oeste*”) during 1937–1945, to stimulate economic and agricultural growth in the Central-Western Region. The program was continued by the following President, Juscelino Kubitshek (1902–1976),

who founded the city of Brasilia on 21 April 1960, with the objective of moving the capital from Rio de Janeiro to the center of Brazil. That general move stimulated numerous local initiatives of political and economic development, as aggressive agricultural expansion, building of infrastructures and main roads, the flourishing of academic education, scientific research, and environmental conservation. On 11 January 1961, President Juscelino Kubitshek established the two national parks present in the state of Goiás, the *Parque Nacional das Emas* and the *Parque Nacional da Chapada dos Veadeiros*. On 15 December 1961, President João Goulart (1919–1976) inaugurated the University of Brasília.

In 1960, Howard Samuel Irwin (1928–2019) was employed by the New York Botanical Garden, Bronx, New York. Shortly after his appointment, Irwin initiated the project *Flora of the Brazilian Planalto*, an international collaboration composed by the New York Botanical Garden and several Brazilian institutions, and most importantly the recently founded University of Brasilia. Irwin was the founder, coordinator, and main collector of this project from 1964 to 1972. During those eight years, in collaboration with several Brazilian and foreign botanists, he gathered 32,195 collections (*Irwin et al.* 5001–37196; with up to 10 duplicates for each number) in the states of Goiás (which in those days included the state of Tocantins), Minas Gerais, and Bahia, and the Federal District. In 1971, Irwin was nominated Executive Director of the New York Botanical Garden, and in 1972 he passed the project *Flora of the Brazilian Planalto* to William “Bill” Russell Anderson (1942–2013), who had already accompanied Irwin in collecting expeditions during previous years for the project. During 1973–1975 Anderson gathered, in collaboration with several Brazilian and foreign botanists, 5,424 collections (*Anderson et al.* 6200–11624; with up to 10 duplicates for each number) in the states of Pará, Mato Grosso, Goiás,

Minas Gerais, Rio de Janeiro and São Paulo. The collections realized during that project were distributed to more than 25 herbaria in Brazil and abroad (the first set of duplicates was deposited at UB, and the second set at NY), with the objective to send specimens to family specialists for identification. The field books of Irwin and Anderson are kept in NY, and have been regularly updated as identifications of the numerous specialists arrived at NY. The examination of those field books is extremely important in solving cases of ambiguous or erroneous information present on the specimen labels. It should be emphasized that due to the gatherings of Irwin and Anderson being preserved in NY, it is the largest plant collection from the States of Goiás and Tocantins outside Brazil.

At the end of the 1960s, José Ângelo Rizzo (Feb. 1931–Nov. 2018), Professor at the Federal University of Goiás, Goiânia, initiated, coordinated, and realized a collection plan for the State of Goiás, which at that time still included the modern State of Tocantins. The collection plan was presented in the first volume of the series *Flora do Estado de Goiás – Coleção Rizzo* (hereafter FGT), with the subtitle *Plano de Coleção* (Rizzo, 1981). The botanical collections were made by Rizzo and collaborators in 28 stations distributed throughout the state of Goiás, from 1968 to 1974, producing 9,605 gatherings. In 1988 the State of Goiás was divided into two states; hence, out of the 28 collecting stations, 17 are in the State of Goiás, and 11 are in the State of Tocantins. A set of specimen duplicates collected during the project *Flora of the Brazilian Planalto* was not deposited at UFG, because Rizzo had the objective to limit the specimens in that herbarium to his own collections, which explains the phrase “*Coleção Rizzo*” in the title of the floristic series that he coordinated.

After the 1980s, the botanical collections in the states of Goiás and Tocantins continued to increase, as a result of several collecting programs realized by Brazilian and foreign botanists, and the specimens gathered in these two states are mostly deposited in the herbaria of Goiânia (UFG), Brasília (CEN, IBGE, and UB), Rio de Janeiro (RB), New York (NY), Kew (K), and Utrecht (U). Rizzo distributed duplicates of his collections to numerous specialists working in Brazilian and foreign institutions for the preparation of plant families for the series *Flora do Estado de Goiás – Coleção Rizzo*.

Starting from 1981, Rizzo coordinated the publication of the series *Flora do Estado de Goiás – Coleção Rizzo* (hereafter FGT). The first 14 volumes, published during 1981–1991, had this title. From volume 15, published in 1993, and onwards, due to the division of Goiás into two states, the series was re-named *Flora dos Estados de*

Goiás e Tocantins – Coleção Rizzo. The volumes of the floristic series were published at a steady pace, reaching volume 50 (family Simaroubaceae; Devecchi & Pirani, 2021), published in 2021, three years after Rizzo’s passing away, as he assisted in the editing of the last three volumes while he was still alive. A biography of José Ângelo Rizzo was published by Galli (2017). The FGT series is currently coordinated by Vera Lucia Gomes Klein, who is also the current Director of the UFG Herbarium.

HISTORY OF THE RUBIACEAE TREATMENT OF THE *FLORA DOS ESTADOS DE GOIÁS E TOCANTINS* (FGT)

In July 1997, during the Brazilian National Botanical Congress in Crato, state of Ceará, Prof. José Ângelo Rizzo invited me to contribute the treatment of the Rubiaceae family for the FGT. At that time I was recently employed as a researcher at the New York Botanical Garden. Without hesitation, I accepted his invitation. During that event, he also invited me to visit the state of Goiás. I arrived there in October 1997, and Rizzo showed me the local herbarium and accompanied me on two short excursions in the state. One was in the Bosque Auguste de Saint-Hilaire, one of the few forest remnants present in the state, which is within the Campus II of the Federal University of Goiás, Goiânia. The other excursion was on the Serra Dourada State Park, at ca. 175 km from Goiânia, which is mostly rocky outcrops on arenitic rocks, where I had the occasion to study savanna vegetation of *cerrado sensu stricto* (woody savanna) and *campos rupestres* (rocky outcrops) for the first time. After those brief excursions, I made a rapid assessment of the Rubiaceae specimens present in the UFG herbarium, and produced a preliminary checklist of 38 genera and 140 species present in the states of Goiás and Tocantins. By the end of 1997, after my return to New York, I started to organize the FGT Rubiaceae project and invited several specialists to contribute several genera.

In 1998, after compiling the data available and integrating all the collaborators in the team that had agreed to collaborate with me, I submitted to the CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico) and IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente) a project with the title “*Taxonomic study of the Rubiaceae of the Federal District, and of the states of Goiás, Tocantins, Ceará, and Santa Catarina*”, with José Ângelo Rizzo (Goiás and Tocantins), Taciana Cavalcanti (Federal District), Francisca Simões Cavalcanti (Ceará) and Ademir Reis (Santa Catarina) as state coordinators, and Francisca Simões Cavalcanti as general coordina-

tor. The same year, the project was approved by both the CNPq and the IBAMA. Particularly important was the approval of IBAMA to collect on the Chapada dos Veadeiros National Park and in the Emas National Park, both in the state of Goiás. That year, I started my botanical collections in the states of Goiás and Tocantins, accompanied by José Ângelo Rizzo, Vera Lúcia Gomes-Klein and Heleno Dias Ferreira, with the economic support of the Federal University of Goiás and New York Botanical Garden. During 1999–2000, I studied the specimens from the states of Goiás and Tocantins in the NY herbarium. In 2003, I selected a large loan of Rubiaceae specimens in NY to be sent to UFG.

During 2004–2008, I worked as a Visiting Scientist at the Federal University of Goiás, Goiânia, sponsored by the CNPq, with three main objectives: 1) floristic treatment of the Rubiaceae states of Goiás and Tocantins, the major objective; 2) creation of a scientific journal, which became the *Revista de Biologia Neotropical*; and 3) floristic study of the Serra dos Pirineus. During 2004–2008, I realized numerous collecting expeditions in the two states, and continued the study of Rubiaceae specimens for the treatment. In 2005, I studied and annotated ca. 2,500 specimens present in UFG, CEN, UB, and IBGE, and made 251 collections (*Delprete et al.* 9191–9442; with 2–6 duplicates for each number). In 2006, I studied and annotated ca. 2,000 Rubiaceae specimens and made 572 collections (*Delprete et al.* 9443–10015; with 2–8 duplicates for each number) in the two states. In 2007, I studied and annotated ca. 2,700 Rubiaceae specimens and made 547 collections (*Delprete et al.* 10016–10563; with 2–8 duplicates each number). In 2008, I finalized the study of Rubiaceae specimens in CEN, IBGE, UB, UFG and HTO, and those on loan from NY. Especially important was the study of the specimens in CEN, as the personnel of that institution made numerous recent collections in remote areas of the state of Tocantins, mostly in connection with environmental impact studies of areas where new roads and new dams were built.

By September 2008, the manuscript was nearly complete, with the treatment of 58 genera finished and only a few genera still to be contributed by collaborators. From September 2008 to June 2009, I worked as a Researcher, with a permanent position, at the Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), in the AMAP Research Unit (CIRAD, CNRS, INRA, IRD, University of Montpellier), in the city of Montpellier, France. I had the occasion to study at MPU, the herbarium of the Institute of Botany of the Montpellier University, where I finalized the Rubiaceae treatment for the FGT. The MPU herbarium is the second largest in France, with about four million specimens, many of them are

historical collections made in the Neotropics, including numerous original specimens collected by Ruiz & Pavon, Aublet, Glaziou, Salzmann, Saint-Hilaire, Ule, Chodat, Hassler, among many others, which helped in the clarification of numerous Rubiaceae taxa. In 2009 I also studied the herbaria of the National Museum of Natural History in Paris, especially P-Bonpl, P-JJR, P-Juss, and P-Lam, and the general herbarium (P).

For the realization of the Rubiaceae treatment for Federal District and the states of Goiás and Tocantins, during 1998–2008, I studied a total of ca. 60,000 specimens from the following herbaria, either by direct examination or the study by photographs: B, B-W, BR, CEN, F, G, G-DC, HEPH, HTO, IBGE, K, MBM, MO, MPU, NY, NX, P, P-JJR, P-Bonp, R, RB, U, UB, UFG, UFMT and US.

In June 2009, continuing as an IRD Researcher, I moved to CAY, which is also part of the AMAP Research Unit, at the IRD Center of Cayenne, French Guiana. By the end of 2009, I concluded the text of the FGT Rubiaceae treatment, produced the distribution maps for all the species, and organized the illustrations. Numerous species illustrations were reproduced from the Rubiaceae treatment of the state of Santa Catarina (*Delprete et al.*, 2004, 2005) with permission from the Smithsonian Institution and the Editor of the *Flora Illustrada Catarinense*. Funds for the publication of FGT Rubiaceae were contributed in equal parts by the IRD and Federal University of Goiás. The Rubiaceae treatment was published in November 2010 as Volume 40 of the FGT, in three parts, with a total of 1610 pages, and includes the treatment of 61 genera and 245 species.

TYPE CITATIONS IN THE RUBIACEAE TREATMENT OF THE FGT

The style of the *Flora dos Estados de Goiás e Tocantins* (FGT) is typical of similar floristic series. It included the description of the family, key to genera, descriptions of the genera, keys to species within each genus, species descriptions, illustrations, distribution maps, and citation of material examined. As a general rule, the family treatments for the FGT did not require the citation of type specimens and usually, are not considered a place for typifications. Nevertheless, Prof. Rizzo, the series coordinator, left the decision to include typifications to the judgment of the contributing authors whether and which format to use citing type specimens and/or provide typifications.

For the FGT Rubiaceae treatment, I opted to cite the nomenclatural types in various ways, depending on

the information and photographs available to me up to 2008, while working at the Federal University of Goiás, Goiânia, Brazil. When I thought that only one original specimen for a given taxon existed, I called it a holotype. When several syntypes were cited by the original author, sometimes I opted to designate a lectotype, depending on the information that I had available, and whether I was able to see the original specimens in question or images of them. In several instances, the citation of a lectotype was not accompanied by “here designated” or a similar expression, which is required by the *Code* (Turland et al. 2018) starting from 1 January 2001; therefore, those lectotype designations are not valid.

Types were cited for all the accepted names in the FGT *Rubiaceae* treatment and the synonyms associated with original material collected in the states of Goiás and Tocantins, or, rarely, in contiguous states or outside of Brazil.

During the period that I studied the *Rubiaceae* of Goiás and Tocantins, very few herbaria sent type specimens on loan to UFG, and Jstor Global Plants was not available to me, which hampered the examination of original specimens via the internet.

For typification of the type specimens of Linnaean taxa, the ultimate reference is Jarvis’ (2007) *Order out of Chaos*. However, that publication was not available to me while preparing the *Rubiaceae* treatment.

Finally, the citation of specimen barcodes was not included in the FGT treatment because in those days it was not common practice to cite that information, especially in floristic treatments, and because a barcode number was not yet assigned to the specimens in many herbaria.

For the above reasons, the present work corrects the type citations that were incorrectly cited in my *Rubiaceae* treatment (Delprete 2010a, 2010b, 2010c), add additional information that became available in recent years to the type correctly cited in FGT, and designate the needed lectotype or neotype to names that still need to be designated.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present work is intended as a nomenclatural addition and/or correction of the typification of names cited in the FGT *Rubiaceae* treatment. For each accepted name present in the treatment, either a previously existing typification is cited, or a previous first-step typification is here narrowed to a single specimen by a second-step lectotypification. For the names that were erroneously or invalidly typified, a correct typification is here

presented. Numerous typifications were published after 2010 by other authors, those typifications are here cited and, when necessary, additional information is given and/or corrected.

For all typifications here presented, barcode numbers of herbarium specimens, when available, are cited in square brackets after the herbarium code; when the barcode number is not available, the accession number, preceded by “Acc. No.,” is cited. All specimens cited have been examined, unless indicated by “n.v.” (not seen) after the herbarium code.

The original specimens of each name were studied either by direct examination or examination of digital images available in virtual herbaria or sent by herbarium curators. Original specimens of the following herbaria were directly consulted: B, B-W, BHC, BM, BR, C, CAY, CEN, CORD, E, EAC, F, FI-W, G, G-DC, GH, GOET, HAL, HBG, HPL, HRCB, HTO, HUEFS, IBGE, K, M, MA, MBM, MO, MPU, NY, P, P-JJR, P-Bonp, P-Lam, R, RB, S, SI, SP, SPF, TCD, U, UPS-THUNB, UB, UFG, US, VEN, WAG, and WIS. Digital images of original specimens were examined via the following virtual herbaria:

- Jstor Global Plants (<https://plants.jstor.org/>)
- SpeciesLink (<https://specieslink.net/>)
- Re flora (<https://reflora.jbrj.gov.br/reflora/herbarioVirtual/>)
- JaBot (https://ipt.jbrj.gov.br/jbrj/resource?r=jbrj_rb/)
- B and B-W (<http://ww2.bgbm.org/bogartdb/BogartPublic.asp>)
- CTES (<http://ibone.unne.edu.ar/en/herbario/herbario/>)
- COL (<http://www.biovirtual.unal.edu.co/en/collections/search/plants/>)
- LINN, LINN-HS, LINN-SM (<https://linnean-online.org/>)
- JACQ (<https://www.jacq.org/>)

Jarvis’ (2007) *Order out of Chaos* was consulted, and the typifications of *Rubiaceae* Linnean names present in that work are here followed.

José Mariano da Conceição Vellozo (1742–1811) worked on the flora of the state of Rio de Janeiro and the southern portion of the state of São Paulo and had the drawings prepared for the species that he intended to publish. His work, due to a series of events, was published nearly two decades after his death. According to Borgmeier (1937) and Carauta (1969, 1973), the text of the *Florae Fluminensis* was printed in 1825 and distributed in 1829, and the illustrations in the *Florae Fluminensis Icones* were printed in 1827 and distributed 1831. Conceição Vellozo’s personal herbarium has either been lost or destroyed (Stafleu and Cowan 1986: 696–697).

In the absence of original specimens, numerous authors cited the plates of *Florae Fluminensis Icones* as types of the names published by Conceição Vellozo. However, those plates cannot be treated as original material because they were published 20 years after his death. The original drawings prepared for Conceição Vellozo and included in the *Florae Fluminensis Icones* are preserved in two institutions: 1) the Manuscript Section of the National Library in Rio de Janeiro (<http://bndigital.bn.gov.br/acervodigital>), which is a complete set of the original plates, bound in 11 volumes, with each plate identified by a unique catalogue number; and 2) the Archives of the Torre do Tombo, Lisbon (<https://digital.arquivos.pt/results?t=florae+fluminensis>), which is an incomplete set, with only volumes 6, 7, 8, 9, and 11, and with the drawings slightly different from those in the Manuscript Section of the Brazilian National Library. Pastore et al. (2021) showed that the original plates in Lisbon are less precise than those in Rio de Janeiro. In the present taxonomic treatment, lectotypes were chosen from the original unpublished plates made for *Florae Fluminensis* kept in the Manuscript Section of the National Library of Rio de Janeiro.

Hipólito Ruiz López (1754–1816) and José Antonio Pavón y Jiménez (1754–1840) published the names in the *Flora Peruviana et Chilensis* resulting from the Botanical Expedition to the the Spanish colonial territories of the Viceroyalty of Peru and Chile, during 1777–1788. Their original material consists of the original plates made by several artists during that expedition and specimens collected during and after the expedition. The specimens collected by Ruiz and Pavón in the localities of Chinchao, Acomayo, Pillao and Muña were lost in a shipwreck along the coast of Portugal. Ruiz and Pavón returned to Spain in 1788, leaving the two botanists Juan José Tafalla and Juan A. Manzanilla to recollect, during 1793–1797, in the localities of the collections lost in the shipwreck (Estrella 1991; Tepe 2018), and in other areas. Tafalla and Manzanilla sent their collections to Spain, and they were then integrated into the Herbarium Peruvianum of Ruiz & Pavón; those specimens are original material, and the names associated with them were published in *Flora Peruviana et Chilensis* and *Suplemento a la Quinologia* (Ruiz & Pavón, 1798, 1799, 1801). Lectotypes of Ruiz & Pavón's names were designated by choosing among their original plates and specimens.

Taxonomic and systematic changes made during the last decade are updated. Major changes of generic delimitation within the tribes Palicoureae, Psychotriaceae, and Spermaceae have been recently proposed, and numerous new combinations have recently been published by several authors. These changes are here includ-

ed, and a few necessary new combinations in *Palicourea sensu lato*, are here presented.

The names are below organized in the same order that they were published in the FGT Rubiaceae treatment, with the citation of the corresponding volume, part, and page number, followed by the bibliographic references and type citation as reported in FGT, between quotation marks. Below each name, is indicated the currently **accepted name** for each species recognized in the FGT treatment, followed by a corrected type citation, and necessary notes explaining the typification process.

SYSTEMATIC TREATMENT

1. **ALIBERTIA** A. Rich. ex DC., Prodr. 4: 443. 1830.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 71:

“1-1A. *Alibertia edulis* (Rich.) A. Rich. in DC. var. *edulis* DC. - *Alibertia edulis* (Rich.) A. Rich. in DC., Prodr. 4: 443. IX/1830 (reimpr. A. Rich., Mém.Fam. Rubiaceae 154, tab. 11, fig. 1a–i. XII.1830, reimpr. Mém. Soc. Hist. Nat. Paris, ser. 3, 5: 234, tab. 21, fig. 1a–i. 1834). - *Genipa edulis* Rich., Actes Soc. Hist. Nat. Paris 1: 107. 1792. - *Gardenia edulis* (Rich.) Poir. in Lam., Encycl. Méth. Bot. Suppl. 2: 708. 1812. - *Alibertia utilis* A. Rich., orth. var., Mém. Fam. Runiaceae, tab. 11, XII/1830 (reimpr. Mém. Soc. Nat. Paris 5: tab. 21. 1834). - *Garapatica edulis* (Rich.) H. Karst., Fl. Colomb. 1: 57. 1859. - *Cordia edulis* (Rich.) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 1: 279. 1891. - *Sabicea edulis* (Rich.) Semm. in Jackson, Index Kew 772. 1895. Tipo: Guiana Francesa [“Guyane Française”], sem localidade, 1782, *Le Blond s.n.* (lectótipo, P).”

Accepted name: *Alibertia edulis* (Rich.) A. Rich. ex DC. var. *edulis*

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: Without locality, 1792, *J.B. Le Blond s.n.* (P [without barcode, currently on loan to GB], lectotype designated by Delprete and Persson in Taylor et al. (2011: 136)).

Notes: Delprete and Persson in Delprete (2010a: 71) cited the type of *Genipa edulis* Rich. as (translated from Portuguese) “Type: French Guiana [as “Guyane Française”], without locality, 1792, *Le Blond s.n.* (lectotype, P).” Persson and Delprete (2017: 79) later cited the type of this name as “French Guiana [as “Guyane Française”], without locality, 1792, *Le Blond s.n.* (lectotype, P, selected by Delprete and Persson (2010: 71).” However, because these two lectotype citations were published after 1 January 2001, according to the *Code* (Turland et al., 2018),

they should have been accompanied by “here designated” or a similar expression; therefore, they are not valid. The first valid lectotypification of *Genipa edulis* was made by Delprete and Persson in Taylor et al. (2011: 136).

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 86:

“1-2. *Alibertia latifolia* (Benth.) K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 357. 1889. - *Cordia latifolia* Benth., J. Bot. (Hooker) 3: 221. 1841. - *Alibertia latifolia* (Benth.) K. Schum. var. *latifolia*, Mem. New York Bot. Gard. 12: 225. 1965. Tipo: Guyana: Sem localidade, 1838 (fl masc), *Rob. Schomburgk 91.S* (lectótipo, K, aqui selecionado).”

Accepted name: *Alibertia latifolia* (Benth.) K. Schum.

Type: GUYANA: Without locality, 1838 (fl masc), *Rob. H. Schomburgk 91.S* (K [without barcode], lectotype designated by Delprete and Persson in Delprete (2010a: 86)).

Notes: Bentham (1841: 221) along with the description of *Cordia latifolia* Benth. cited the material studied as “British Guiana, *Schomburgk*” without citing the collection number or herbarium of deposit. Delprete and Persson in Delprete (2010a: 86) designated the lectotype of *C. latifolia* as (translated from Portuguese) “Guyana, without locality, 1838 (fl masc), *Rob. Schomburgk 91.S* (lectotype, K, here designated).” The K specimen did not have a barcode at the time it was studied and is currently on loan to GB, and is still without barcode. As there is only one specimen of *Rob. Schomburgk 91.S* at K, this is a valid lectotypification. Delprete and Persson (2017: 94) cited the specimen *Schomburgk 91.S* at K as holotype, but since Bentham did not cite the collection number or the herbarium of deposit, the lectotypification presented by Delprete and Persson in Delprete (2010a: 86) is here recognized as the first one validly published.

2. AMAIOUA Aubl., Hist. Pl. Guiane, Suppl. 13. 1775.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 91:

“2-1. *Amaioua guianensis* Aubl., Hist. Pl. Guiane Suppl. 13, pl. 375. 1775. Tipo: Guiana Francesa, Rio Galibi, s.d. [1762-1764], *Aublet s.n.* (holótipo, BM, foto em NY).”

Accepted name: *Amaioua guianensis* Aubl.

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: Galibi Creek, “fructum ferebat Maio [...], in sylvis propè amnem Galibien-

sis” and “arbre dans les forêts desertes, voisines de la crique des Galibis”, s.d. [Apr–May 1763], *J.B.C.F. Aublet s.n.* (BM [barcode BM001008914] lectotype designated by Steyermark (1965: 216), photo in NY)).

Notes: Steyermark (1965: 216) cited the type of *Amaioua guianensis* Aubl. as a specimen at BG (which corresponds to the herbarium of the University of Bergen, Norway), which should be interpreted as an obvious typographical error. Delprete (2010a: 91) cited the type of *Amaioua guianensis* as “(holótipo, BM, foto em NY).” As pointed out in Delprete (2015: 599), the BM specimen, with barcode BM001008914, is the same cited by Steyermark (1965: 216) as holotype, and according to the *Code* that citation should be interpreted as an inadvertent lectotype designation. For more details, see Delprete (2015).

The illustration of Figure 2 of FGT identified as *Amaioua guianensis* (reproduced from *Flora Ilustrada Catarinense* RUBI, vol. I, fig. 02) represents *Amaioua intermedia* Mart.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 97:

“2-2. *Amaioua intermedia* Mart. in Schult. & Schult., Syst. Veg. 7(1): 90. 1829. - *Amaioua guianensis* var. *confertifolia* K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 359. 1889. Tipo: Brasil, Bahia, 1831, *Blanchet 1012* (holótipo, B, destruído; isótipo, NY).”

Accepted name: *Amaioua intermedia* Mart.

Type: BRAZIL. Bahia: Cachoeira, “In sylvis provinciae Bahiensis” [“in sylvis Capões et Coedrias ad Caxoeira, Febr.”], s.d. [February 1819], *C.F.P. Martius Obs. 2156* (M [barcode M-0186321], **lectotype here designated**).

Notes: In the protologue of *Amaioua intermedia* Mart. in Schultes & Schultes (1829: 90–91) cited the original material as “In sylvis provinciae Bahiensis Brasiliae de Martius,” without citing the herbarium of deposit. The protologue of *Amaioua intermedia* in Schultes & Schultes (1829: 90) attributed the name and the diagnosis to Martius, and the original material was collected by Martius in the Brazilian state of Bahia.

Steyermark (1965: 212) under *Amaioua intermedia* var. *intermedia* cited the synonym “*Amaioua guianensis* var. *confertifolia* K. Schum. in Mart. Fl. Bras. 6(6): 359. 1889, type. In umbrosis circa Bahia (Brazil), 1831, *J. Blanchet 1012* (isotype NY).” Then, on the following text page, Steyermark (1965: 214) wrote “the less common variant, with somewhat more congested inflorescence,

described as var. *confertifolia* by Schumann, must serve as the nomenclatural type of the species, *A. intermedia*." In the following paragraph, he wrote "The *Blanchet 1012* specimen from the Meisner Herbarium deposited at NY is labelled *Amaioua intermedia* and is undoubtedly the collection from Bahia cited by Schumann in Martius's *Flora Brasiliensis* (p. 359) as var. *confertifolia*. It shows the flowers of the staminate and pistillate inflorescences as "conferta" and with "floribus sessilibus". Steyermark's statements are erroneous, as the original material was collected by Martius. Steyermark's mistaken citation of *Blanchet 1012* as type of *A. intermedia* was reproduced by Delprete (2010a: 97).

Searching for original material associated with of *Amaioua intermedia*, at M there is a specimen, barcode M-0186321, with the label "Dr. Martius Iter Brasil". On the label is the handwritten annotation "Amajoua intermedia Mart. No. 2156 Obs. Habitat in sylvis Capões et Campis ad Caxoeira, Provinciae Bah. Febr." Another label affixed on that sheet has the annotation "Amajoua intermedia, si tibi plante, Schult. fil." handwritten by Schultes filius. Cachoeira is a town at the margin of Rio Paraguaçu, at about 120 km from Salvador, the capital of the Bahia state. The annotation "Febr" means that the specimen was collected in February. According to Spix and Martius's (1976) travel diary, they were in Cachoeira in February 1819. The specimen M-0186321 consists of a branch with numerous leaves and three subsessile inflorescences with sessile flowers. On the branch is affixed a small label with the number "3324" handwritten by A. Töpfer (staff member of M) in early 1900s. This specimen is here designated the lectotype of this name.

3. **AUGUSTA** Pohl, *Flora* 12: 118. ("1828") 1829, *nom. cons.*

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 103:

"3-1a. ***Augusta longifolia*** (Spreng.) Rehder var. ***longifolia*** - *Ucrista longifolia* Spreng., *Syst. Veg.* 1: 761. 1825. - *Schreibersia longifolia* (Spreng.) Kuntze, *Rev. Gen. Pl.* 298. 1891. - *Augusta longifolia* (Spreng.) Rehder, *Kew Bull.* 1935: 364. 1935. Tipo: Brasil, sem localidade, s.d. (fl, fr), *Sellow s.n.* (B destruído; lectótipo P [ex B], selecionado por Delprete (1997)."

Accepted name: *Augusta longifolia* (Spreng.) Rehder var. *longifolia*

Type: BRAZIL: Without locality, s.d. (fl, fr), *F. Sellow s.n.* (B destroyed; P [ex B; barcode P00107974], lectotype designated by Delprete (1997: 493)).

4. **BATHYSA** C.Presl, *Abh. Boehm. Ges. Wiss.* 5(3): 514. 1845.

Notes: Kainulainen et al. (2010) using a molecular phylogenetic study demonstrated that *Bathysa*, as traditionally delimited, is a paraphyletic group and separated it from the genus *Schizocalyx* Wedd. in which they included the genus *Phitopsis* Hook.f. *Schizocalyx*, as delimited by Kainulainen et al. (2010) and Taylor et al. (2011), is a genus of nine species ranging from Nicaragua through Brazil. In the states of Goiás and Tocantins, only one species of *Schizocalyx* occurs, *S. cuspidatus* (A.St.Hil.) Kainul. & B.Bremer, which was cited as *Bathysa cuspidata* (A. St. Hil.) K.Schum. in FGT.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 115:

"4-1. ***Bathysa cuspidata*** (A. St. Hil.) K. Schum., in Mart., *Fl. Bras.* 6(6): 237, tab. 119. 1889. - *Exostema cuspidatum* A. St. Hil., *Pl. Usuell. Bras.* 1: tab. 3. 304. 1824. - *Schoenlenia cuspidata* (A.St. Hil.) Klotzsch in Hayne, *Getr. Darstell. Gew.* 14: tab 15. 1846. Tipo: Brasil meridional, s.d., *A. Saint Hilaire s.n.* (holótipo P, n.v.)."

Accepted name: *Schizocalyx cuspidatus* (A.St.Hil.) Kainul. & B.Bremer, *Amer. J. Bot.* 97: 1976. 2010.

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais: "Cueilli dans les bois près Itajuru, Cap des Moines", 1816–1821, *A. Saint-Hilaire Catal. B1 No. 970* (P [barcode P00752481] lectotype designated by Taylor et al. (2011: 503); isolectotypes P [2 sheets, barcodes P00752482, P00752483]; possible isolectotype F [ex P; barcode F0069068F, Acc. No. 970756, without collection number]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Exostema cuspidatum* A. St. Hil., Saint-Hilaire (1824) cited the material studied, collected by himself, as "cette plante croit dans les bois vierges du Brésil méridional". Delprete (2010a: 91) cited the type of *Exostema cuspidatum* A. St. Hil. as "Brasil meridional, s.d., *A. Saint Hilaire s.n.* (holótipo P, n.v.)." There are three original specimens associated with this name at P, which are discussed below.

On the P specimen with barcode P00752481, is affixed the distal portion of a branch with one leaf, and a small leaf-like bract subtending the terminal inflorescence. On the bottom left corner of the sheet there is a label handwritten by Saint-Hilaire with the following annotation "Exostema cuspidatum Aug de St. Hil. *Plant. us. Bras.* Vol. III, cueilli dans les bois près Itajuru, Capes des Moines." A second label has the heading "HERB. MUS. PARIS" and the bottom note "BRÉSIL.–Province

de Minas Geraes. Voyage d'Auguste de Saint-Hilaire, de 1816 à 1821. Catal. B', N° 970" and the annotation handwritten by Weddell "Bathisia [sic!], Schloenlenia cuspidata Klotsch, Exostema cuspidatum A. St. Hil. (Weddell scr. [scripsit])." This specimen was designated as the lectotype of this name by Taylor et al. (2011: 503). It should be noted that Itajuru most likely refers to a mountain called Pico de Itajuru [ca. 22°12'S, 42°31'W] in the southern portion of the state of Minas Gerais.

On the P specimen with barcode P00752482, is present only one leaf. Near the leaf base is attached a small label with the number "970". At the bottom right corner of the sheet is affixed a label with the same heading, the same handwritten annotation, and Saint-Hilaire's collection number of the second label of the sheet with barcode P00752481.

On the P specimen with barcode P00752483, is affixed the distal portion of a branch with two leaves and a terminal inflorescence. On the sheet itself, there is handwritten "Schoenlenia Kl." A small label just below the annotation has the typewritten annotation "Herbarium FLORAE BRASILIAE meridionalis. Museo Parisiensi dedit Aug DE St. HILAIRE." And a third label on the bottom right corner of the sheet has the annotation "Exostema cuspidatum Aug de St. Hil. Plant. us. Bras. Vol. III, cueilli dans les bois près Itajuru, Capes des Moines. Bathysa" handwritten by Saint-Hilaire. On this sheet, there is no Saint-Hilaire's collection number.

5. **BERTIERA** Aubl., Hist. Pl. Guiane 180, tab. 69. 1775.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 121:

"5-1. *Bertiera guianensis* Aubl., Hist. Pl. Guiane 180, tab. 69. 1775 ("*Guyannensis*"). Tipo: Guiana Francesa, nos bosques perto de Aurora, s.d., *Aublet s.n.* (holótipo, BM)."

Accepted name: *Bertiera guianensis* Aubl.

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: Roura ("Aroua", town on the Oyak River), "in sylvis prope Aroua" and "arbrisseau dans les bois d'Aroua [...] en fleur & en fruit dans le mois de Juin", s.d. [Apr, Jun 1763], *J.B.C.F. Aublet s.n.* (P-JJR 8: 272, lectotype designated by Lanjouw and Uittien (1940: 149); isolectotype BM [barcode BM001008867]).

Notes: Delprete (2010a: 121) cited the type of *Bertiera guianensis* Aubl. as "(holótipo, BM)." Delprete (2015: 599) later corrected his previous citation and stated that the lectotype of this name was first desig-

nated by Lanjouw and Uittien (1940: 149) citing the specimen at P-JJR.

6. **CHIOCOCCA** P. Br., Civ. Nat. Hist. Jamaica 164. 1756.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 126:

"6-1. *Chiococca alba* (L.) Hitchc., Report Missouri Bot. Gard. 4: 94. 1893. - *Lonicera alba* L., Sp. Pl. 175. 1753. Tipo: Cultivado no Jardim de Clifford (material originário das Grandes Antilhas), "Hort. Cliff. 496" (holótipo, BM-LINN)."

Accepted name: *Chiococca alba* (L.) Hitchc.

Type: [protologue] "Jamaica, St. Catherine Parish, savanna, towards Two-mile-Wood", [icon.] "Jasminum forte", Sloane, Voy. Jamaica 2, tab. 188, fig. 3. 1725, lectotype designated by Franck et al. (2021: 45). - "Jamaica", *H. Sloane s.n.* (BM [barcode 000594058], epitype designated by Franck et al. (2021: 45)).

Notes: Linnaeus (1737) on page 496 of *Hortus Cliffortianus* listed the polynomial "8. *Lonicera racemis lateralibus simplicibus, floris oppositis pendulis, [...]*" and cited the references "*Dill. elth. 306. t. 228. f. 295. [...]* *Tournef. inst. 597. [...]* *Sloan. flor. 169. hist. 2. p. 97. t. 188. f. 3. Raj. dendr. 64.*"

Linnaeus (1753: 175) described *Lonicera alba* L. and cited several references as "racemis lateralibus simplicibus, floribus penduli, foliis integerrimis. - *Lonicera racemis lateralibus simplicibus laxis, floribus oppositis pedunculis, geniculis compressis. Hort. cliff. 496. - Periclymenum racemosum, flore flavescens, fructu niveo. Dill. elth. 306. t. 228. f. 295. - Jasminum forte, folio myrtino acuminato, aliorum adminiculo se sustentans, flore albicante racemoso. Sloan. jam. 169. hist. 2. p. 97. t. 188. f. 3. Raj. dendr. 64. - Habitat in Jamaicae, Barbados locis confragosis." The reference "*Raj. dendr.*" refers to Ray's (1704) *Historia Plantarum* vol. 3, where, on page 64 of Section *Dendrologiiae* is described "13. *Jasminum fortè, folio Myrtino acuminato, aliorum adminiculo se sustentans, flore albicante racemoso Slon. Cat. Jamaic.*" In the prologue of *Lonicera alba*, Linnaeus (1753: 175) did not cite any specimen, but did cite two illustrations from previously published works, which are discussed below.*

On Table 228, fig. 295, of Vol. 2 of Dillenius' (1732) *Hortus Elthamensis*, is depicted a branch with numerous leaves and numerous axillary inflorescences with flower buds and flowers in anthesis. The corollas are depicted as

pendulous and narrowly campanulate. On the left side of the branch are drawn the details of flowers in anthesis with exerted bifid style, a hypanthium topped by a bifid style, and ovaries transversally dissected. At the base of the drawing is the printed text “Periclymenum racemosum, flore flavescente, fructu niveo” and on bottom right corner is printed “Plum.,” meaning that Dillenius reproduced this plate from a drawing previously made by Plumier.

On Table 188, figure 3, vol. 2 of Sloane’s (1725) *Voyage*, is depicted a ramose branch with numerous leaves and numerous axillary inflorescences with flower buds and flowers in anthesis. The corollas are depicted as narrowly campanulate. By the side of the branch is the text “Fig. 3. Jasminum forte, folio myrtino acuminato, aliorum ad miniculo se sustentans, flore albicante racemoso.” Franck et al. (2021: 45) designated Table 188, Figure 3 as the lectotype of *Lonicera alba* as “Jamaica, St. Catherine Parish, savanna, towards Two-mile-Wood, “Jasminum forte”, Sloane, *Voy. Jamaica* 2, tab. 188, fig. 3. 1725.”

Franck et al. (2021: 45) also designated an epitype for *Lonicera alba* as “Jamaica, *Sloane s.n.* (epitype, BM000594058).” The epitype designation was not necessary, as Table 188, Figure 3, vol. 2 of Sloane’s (1725) *Voyage* provides sufficient characters for the unequivocal application of the name. The BM specimen with barcode 000594058 has a label with the annotation “Jasminum forte, folio myrtino, aliorum, ad minusculo fuslonlane [?], flore albicaulo racemoso, *Cat. Jam.* p. 169, *hist. vol. 2.* p. 97, *Rajj. hist. vol. 3.* p. 64” handwritten by an unknown author. The specimens consist of a branch with numerous leaves and numerous axillary inflorescences with flower buds and flowers in anthesis with campanulate corollas.

7. **CHOMELIA** Jacq., *nom. cons.*, *Enum. Pl. Carib.* 12. 1760.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 139:

“7-1. *Chomelia kirkbridei* Delprete, *Blumea* 53: 395, fig. 1. 2008. Tipo: Brasil, Distrito Federal, Perto do Rio das Salinas, 15°31’S, 47°57’W, 770 m, 8/X/1980 (fl), *Kirkbride* 3628 (holotype, UB; isotypes, NY, UB, US n.v.)”

Accepted name: *Chomelia kirkbridei* Delprete

Type: BRAZIL. Distrito Federal: Perto do Rio das Salinas, 15°31’S, 47°57’W, 770 m, 8 Oct. 1980 (fl), *J.H. Kirkbride Jr.* 3628 (holotype, UB [barcode UB0040426]; isotypes, NY [barcode 01085898], UB [barcode UB0040427], US [barcode 00955718]).

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 143:

“7-2. *Chomelia obtusa* Cham. & Schltld., *Linnaea* 9: 245. 1834. - *Anisomeris obtusa* (Cham. & Schltld.) K. Schum. in Engler & Prantl., *Nat. Pflanzenfam.* 4(4): 98, fig. 34. 1891. “Tipo: Brasil meridional, s.d., *Sellow s.n.* (holótipo, B, destruído, foto em F).”

Accepted name: *Chomelia obtusa* Cham. & Schltld.

Chomelia obtusa Cham. & Schltld., *Linnaea* 4: 185. 1829.

Type: BRAZIL. “E Brasilia meridionali misit Sellow”, s.d., *F. Sellow s.n.* (HAL [barcode HAL0113687]), lectotype designated by Cabral and Salas (2022a: 239); isolectotypes E [barcode E00285157], F [Acc. No. 607032, fragment ex B], HBG [barcode HBG-521788]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Chomelia obtusa* Cham. & Schltld., Chamisso and Schlechtendal (1829b: 185) cited the material studied as “E Brasilia meridionali misit Sellow.” The original material was at B and was destroyed during WWII. In Jstor Global Plants, the original specimens of *C. obtusa* collected by Sellow in Brazil were retrieved and are described and discussed below.

On the sheet at HAL, with barcode HAL0113687, is affixed a small label with the annotation “*Chomelia obtusa* N., Sellow, Brasilia meridionalis” handwritten by Schlechtendal. On the bottom right corner is a recently printed label with the heading “Herbarium Universitatis Halensis” reproducing the same information present on the smaller label. The specimen is much-branched, with numerous leaves, and a few flowers buds and a few flowers in anthesis. This specimen was designated by Cabral and Salas (2022a: 239) as the lectotype of *Chomelia obtusa*.

On the sheet at HBG, with barcode HBG-521788 are affixed two labels. The lower label has the heading “Botanisches Museum su Hamburg”, the stamps “Herb. H. Buek” and “ISOTYPUS”, and the annotation “*Chomelia obtusa* Cham. & Schltld., *Linnaea* 4: 185. 1829, Leg. F. Sellow, Brazil” handwritten in blue ink by an unknown author. The other label has the handwritten annotation “*Chomelia obtusa* N., Sellow, Brasilia meridionalis”. Beside the second label, penciled directly on the sheet by an unknown author, is “Scr. D.F.L. v. Schlechtendal”, meaning that the second label was handwritten by Schlechtendal. The specimen on this sheet is much-branched, with numerous leaves, and a few flowers and fruits. This specimen is an isolectotype.

A sheet at E, with barcode E00285157, has a label affixed in the center of the sheet with the annotation

“*Chomelia obtusata* N, Sellow, Brasilia” handwritten by Schlechtendal. The specific epithet “*obtusata*” is certainly an error, as the correct epithet is *obtusata*. The specimen is an unbranched single branch with numerous leaves and a few flower buds. In the attached envelope are present a few mature leaves. This specimen is an isoelectotype.

On the sheet at F, with Acc. No. 607032, is affixed a label with the heading “Ex Museo botanico Berolinensis”, and the annotation “Neg. 412, *Anisomeris obtusa* (C. & S.), Sello. Brazil” handwritten by an unknown author. On the sheet is mounted a small branchlet that originated from B, with a few leaves and fruits and a photograph of the B specimen, which was destroyed during WWII. This specimen is an isoelectotype.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 151:

“7-3. *Chomelia parviflora* (Muell. Arg.) Muell. Arg. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(5): 41. 1881. - *Malanea parviflora* Muell. Arg., Flora 58: 453, 458. 1875. Sintipos: Brasil, Goiás: *Gardner 3110* (G), *3210* (G, P [3]), *3431* (G); Tocantins: Porto Nacional [“Goyaz, Porto Real”], *Burchell 8422* (G, P), *8500* (G, NY, P).”

Accepted name: *Chomelia parviflora* (Müll.Arg.) Müll.Arg.

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: Without locality, s.d., *G. Gardner 3210* (G [barcode G00642004], **lectotype here designated**; isoelectotypes BM [2 sheets, barcodes BM000832375, BM000832376], F fragment [Acc. No. 775772], G [2 sheets, barcodes G00642005, G00642006], K [barcode K000432618], NY [barcode 00888041], P [3 sheets, barcodes P00836606 P00836607 P00836608]).

Notes: Müller Argoviensis (Flora 58: 453, 458. 1875) cited several syntypes of *Malanea parviflora* Müll. Arg. as “Goyaz: *Gardner n. 3110, 3210, 3431, Porto Real: Burchell n. 8422, 8500.*” Of the original gatherings cited by Müller Argoviensis, the one that has duplicates present in most herbaria is *Gardner 3210*. The duplicates of this gathering are described and discussed below.

The G specimen, with barcode G00642004, has two labels. One label has the annotation “N° 3210. in Bras. prov. Goyaz leg. Gardner 1846” and another label with the annotation “*Malanea parviflora* Van Heurck et Müll. Arg., scripsit Müller-arg.!” The specimen is composed of a ramified branch with numerous leaves and numerous flowers in anthesis. Because this specimen is annotated by Müller Argoviensis, it is here designated the lectotype of *Malanea parviflora*.

The G specimen with barcode G00642005, has a label with the annotation “3210” and a label with the annotation “*Chomelia parviflora* Müll.-Arg., Province de Goyaz (Brésil), 3210, Mr Gardner 1841”. The specimen is composed of a ramified branch with numerous leaves and numerous flowers in anthesis and is an isoelectotype.

The G specimen with barcode G00642006, has a label with the annotation “3210, Brésil, Goyaz, Gardner” handwritten by an unknown author, and “*Anisomeris parviflora* (M. Arg.) Standl., det. Standley” handwritten by Standley. The specimen is also composed of a ramified branch with numerous leaves and numerous flowers in anthesis and is an isoelectotype.

Additional duplicates of *Gardner 3210* as *Malanea parviflora* are present in BM, F, K, NY, and P, and are isoelectotypes.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 155:

“7-4. *Chomelia pohliana* Muell. Arg., Flora 48 [! sic, 58]: 452, 457. 1875. Sintipos: Brasil, Goiás e Minas Gerais, *Pohl 592* (G n.v., W n.v., NY), *Regnell 106* (BR, G n.v.), *Riedel 2878* (BR, G n.v., P).”

Accepted name: *Chomelia pohliana* Müll. Arg.

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais and Goiás: Serra de Cristães, Paracatù, Patrocinio, S. Luzia, s.d., *J.B.E. Pohl 592* (M [barcode M-0187118], **lectotype here designated**; isoelectotypes, M [barcode M-0187117], NY [barcode 00131075]; isoelectotype fragment F [Acc. No. 869992]).

Notes: Müller Argoviensis (1875: 452, 458.) cited three original gatherings of *Chomelia pohliana* Müll. Arg. as “Prov. Goyaz et Minas Gerais: *Pohl n. 592, Regnell n. 106, Riedel n. 2878.*” Among the original gatherings cited by Müller Argoviensis, the one that has duplicates distributed in more herbaria is *Pohl 592*, which is discussed below.

The NY specimen, with barcode 00131075, has a label with the stamp “Duplum ex Mus. Hist. Nat Vin-dobon.” and the handwritten annotations “592, *Chomelia Pohliana* Müll. Arg., Brasilia, Pohl” The specimen consists of a ramose branch with numerous leaves and inflorescences with numerous flower buds and numerous flowers in anthesis. This specimen is not annotated by Müller Argoviensis.

In M, there are two specimens associated with this name. Specimen with barcode M-0187118, has three labels. On one label, nearly illegible, it is possible to read only the basal portion with the localities “Para-

catu, Patrocinio, S. Luzia” by an unknown author, possibly Pohl. Another label, on the lower left corner, has the heading “Herbarium Zuccarinii” and the handwritten annotation “Legit in Brasilia, in Serra de Cristães, Paracatu, Patrocinio, S. Luzia” and “Communicavit M.C. Vindob., anno 1839.” A third label has the annotation “Chomelia Pohliana Müll. Arg.” handwritten by Müller Argoviensis. The specimen consists of two foliose branches with the characteristic straight axillary thorns and axillary inflorescences with flower buds and flowers in anthesis. This specimen is here designated the lectotype of *Chomelia pohliana*.

The second M specimen, with barcode M-0187117, has a label with the heading “Herbarium Regium Monacense” and the notation “Herb. Zuccarini, Herbar. Univ. Ludov. Maximil.” On the label is handwritten “Brasilia, Serra Cristães, Pohl,” without Pohl’s collection number. Above that label is affixed a label with the printed annotation “Ad elaborandum Rubiacearum Florae Brasiliensis Do. J. Müller Communicat. 1873.” This specimen is an isolectotype.

In G there is a sheet with barcode G00642008. On the sheet is affixed an envelope with the annotation “Chomelia Pohliana Müll. Arg., Serra Christaas: Pohl – vs Regnell” handwritten by Müller Argoviensis. Inside the envelope are included several small branches with leaves and inflorescences, but it is impossible to separate Pohl’s and Regnell’s gatherings.

In F, there is a sheet with accession No. 869992 and a label with the annotation “Chomelia Pohliana, Pohl 592, Brazil” handwritten by an unknown author. On the sheet is affixed an envelope with the annotation “Chomelia Pohliana, Pohl 592” handwritten by an unknown author, containing a small branch with a few leaves and axillary inflorescences with flower buds. This specimen is an isolectotype fragment.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 162:

“7-5. *Chomelia ribesioides* Benth. ex A. Gray, Proc. Amer. Acad. Arts 4: 38. 1860. - *Malanea ribesioides* (Benth. ex A. Gray) Muell. Arg., Flora 58: 453, 458. 1875. - Sintipos: Citados por Muell. Arg. nas variedades.”

Accepted name: *Chomelia ribesioides* Benth. ex A.Gray

Type: BRAZIL. Pará: Near Santarem, Nov. 1849 (fl, fr), R. Spruce s.n. [324] (holotype, GH [barcode 00096696] isotypes FI-W [barcode FI004754], M [barcode M-0187115], G [barcode G00642016], TCD [barcode TCD0005650]).

Notes: Asa Gray (1860: 38) published *Chomelia ribesioides* Benth. ex A. Gray without citing any original material. According to Stafleu and Cowan (TL-2, vol. 1, p. 983. 1976), Gray’s herbarium, types and original manuscripts are in GH. In GH there is a single original specimen annotated as *Chomelia ribesioides*. The specimen, barcode 00096696, has a label with the printed text “In vicinibus Santarem, Prov. Pará, coll. R. Spruce, Nov. 1849” and the annotation “Chomelia (Anisomeris) ribesioides sp. n.” handwritten by Spruce. Directly on the sheet is handwritten in pencil “C. ribesioides Benth., type” by an unknown author. The specimen is composed of two branches, one with inflorescences and numerous flowers in anthesis, and the other with infructescences with mature fruits. In the attached envelope are present several mature fruits longitudinally dissected. This is the only specimen studied by A. Gray and is the holotype of *C. ribesioides* (Art. 9.1).

A specimen in M, barcode M-0187115, has a label with the printed text “In vicinibus Santarem, Prov. Pará, coll. R. Spruce, Nov. 1849” and the annotation “Chomelia (Anisomeris) ribesioides sp. n.” and the collection number “324” handwritten by Spruce. That specimen also has the annotation “Pseudomalanea Spruce, Van Heurck et Müll. Arg.” handwritten by Müller Argoviensis. The specimen consists of two branches, one with infructescences and inflorescences, as the holotype specimen in GH. This specimen is an isotype.

Three specimens, in FI-W (barcode FI004754), G (barcode G00642016), and TCD (barcode TCD0005650), have a label with the printed text “In vicinibus Santarem, Prov. Pará, coll. R. Spruce, Nov. 1849” and the annotation “Chomelia (Anisomeris) ribesioides sp. n.” handwritten by Spruce. Each of these three specimens consists of two branches, one with infructescences and one with inflorescences, as the holotype specimen in GH. Those specimens are isotypes.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 162:

Synonym: “*Chomelia ribesioides* var. *tomentosa* (Muell. Arg.) Muell. Arg. in Mart. Fl. Bras. 6(5): 40. 1881. - *Malanea ribesioides* var. *tomentosa* Muell. Arg., Flora 58: 458. 1875. Sintipos: Goiás: “inter Paranahyba et urbem Goyaz” Pohl 813 pr. p., Burchell 5995, 6401; “in campis arenosis ad Serra da Chapada” Riedel 1143; Minas Gerais: “in campis siccis Caeté et Sabara” Riedel 623, 2863.”

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: “inter Rio Paranahyba et urbem Goyaz” (between Rio Paranahyba and town of Goiás, s.d., W.J. Burchell 5995 (BR [barcode 000000557773], **lectotype here designated**).

Notes: In the protologue of *Malanea ribesioides* var. *tomentosa* Müll. Arg., Müller Argoviensis (1875: 458) cited the following syntypes from three Brazilian states, “In prov. Goyaz et Minas, α : Pohl n. 313 pr. p., Burchell n. 5995, 6401, Riedel n. 1143, 623, 2876,” without citing the herbarium of deposit. No original specimen of *Malanea ribesioides* var. *tomentosa* Müll. Arg. is in G. Therefore, a lectotype should be designated from specimens present in other herbaria. In BR, several of them (e.g., Burchell 5995, Burchell 6401, Riedel 623, Riedel 1143, Riedel 2876) bear the stamp “Mueller d’Argovie determ.” in blue ink. The BR specimen with barcode 000000557773, has a label with the heading “HERBARIUM MARTII” and the handwritten text “Brasiliã: inter Rio Paranyba et urbem Goyaz. Communic. H. Kewense 1869, Burchell: no. 5995”. The label also has the stamps “Mueller d’Argovie determ.” and “Herb. Hort. Bruxell. – Coll. MARTII.” The specimen is composed of two branches with numerous leaves and numerous inflorescences with flower buds and flowers in anthesis. This specimen is here designated the lectotype of *Malanea ribesioides* var. *tomentosa*.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 163:

Synonym: “*Chomelia ribesioides* var. *villosula* (Muell. Arg.) Muell. Arg., in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(5): 41. 1881. - *Malanea ribesioides* var. *villosula* Muell. Arg., Flora 58: 458. 1875. Sintipos: Brasil, Goiás, “inter Cavalcante et Conceição” [entre Cavalcante e Conceição de Tocantins], s.d., Burchell 8020; Goiás (cidade), Pohl 813 pr. p.; “Chapada Alto dos Rios”, Pohl 3441.”

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás or Tocantins: “inter Cavalcante et Conceição”, s.d. [1827-1828], W.J. Burchell 8020 (BR [barcode 000000557742], **lectotype here designated**; isoelectotype G [barcode G00642007]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Malanea ribesioides* var. *villosula* Müll. Arg., Müller Argoviensis (1875: 458) cited three syntypes from two Brazilian states as follows: “In prov. Goyaz et Minas, [...], β : Pohl n. 813 pr. p., 3441, Burchell n. 8020.” The BR specimen with barcode 000000557742, has a label with the heading “HERBARIUM MARTII” and the handwritten annotation “Brasiliã: inter Cavalcante et Conceição. Communic. H. Kewense 1869, Burchell: no. 8020”. The label also has the stamps “Mueller d’Argovie determ.” and “Herb. Hort. Bruxell. – Coll. MARTII.” The specimen also has a label with the annotation “*Malanea ribesioides* β *villosula* Müll. Arg.” (with “Pseudo” and “Sprucei” stroke through) handwritten by Müller Argoviensis. The specimen is composed

of a single ramified branch with numerous leaves and numerous inflorescences. The BR specimen, with barcode 000000557742, is here designated the lectotype of with *Malanea ribesioides* var. *villosula*.

In G, there is a sheet, with barcode G00642007, with an envelope containing fragments with flowers in anthesis and a few leaves. On the envelope are the annotations “*Pseudomalanea Sprucei*” stroked through and “*ribesioides* v. *villosula* Müll. Arg., Cavalvante. Burchell 8020”. This specimen is an isoelectotype.

8. **COCCOCYPSELUM** P. Br., Civ. Nat. Hist. Jam. 144, t. 6, f. 1. 1756, *nom. cons.*

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 177:

“8-1. *Coccocypselum aureum* (Spreng.) Cham. & Schldl., Linnaea 4: 139. 1829. - *Schwenkfeldia aurea* Spreng., Neue Entdeck. 1: 280. 1820. Tipo: Brasil, Bahia, “inter Victoria et capitalem” [entre Vitória da Conquista e Salvador], Sellow 14 (holótipo, B, destruído); Brasil, sem localidade, Sellow s.n. (lectótipo, LE n.v., designado por Costa, 2004; foto em K).”

Accepted name: *Coccocypselum aureum* (Spreng.) Cham. & Schldl.

Type: Brazil. Without locality, s.d., F. Sellow s.n. (LE n.v. [barcode unknown], neotype designated by Taylor in Davidse et al. (2012a: 58); isoneotype, HAL [barcode HAL114247]).

Note: In the protologue of *Schwenkfeldia aurea* Spreng., Sprengel (1820: 280) cited the material studied as “Hab. in Brasilia” without citing the collectors, collection locality or the herbarium of deposit. According to Stafleu and Cowan (1985: 806) “Kurt Sprengel’s considerable and rich herbarium (21.806 species) came first to his son Anton. After the latter’s death, in 1851, it was sold in parts. [...] A certain number of families and genera were sold to specialists. [...] Even after this rigorous impoverishment, a considerable portion remained and was acquired by Karl Müller in Halle. The Müller-Sprengel herbarium (12.000 species) was bought by Berlin (B) in 1890 and is now no longer extant. A certain number of specimens, however (240) is in the Willdenow herbarium [...]. Further material is at BP, FI, G, GOET (some lich.), H-Ach (lich.), L (some musci and algae), LD (200, herb. Retzius), LE, PH, PR. [...]”

Costa and Delprete in Delprete (2010a: 177) cited the lectotype of *Schwenkfeldia aurea* Spreng. as “Brasil, sem localidade, Sellow s.n. (lectótipo, LE n.v. designado

por Costa, 2004; foto em K).” However, Costa’s (2004) work is an unpublished doctoral thesis, and Costa and Delprete (in Delprete 2010a) did not cite “here designated” or a similar expression with their lectotype citation; therefore, both lectotype citations are not valid. Taylor (2012a: 58) designated the neotype of *Schwenfeldia aurea* as “Neotipo (designado aqui): Brasil, Sellow s.n. (LE).” Although the LE specimen was not examined, Taylor’s neotypification is accepted.

At F, there is a specimen, with accession number 607172 (barcode F0071088F) with the handwritten annotation (author unknown) “*Coccocypselum aureum* Cham. et Schlecht.” and “14”, with the printed text “*Brasilia. Reliquiae d. Sello*”. Sellow’s number “14” corresponds to that of the B specimen, no longer extant. Another label has the printed text “Isotype of: *Schwenfeldia aurea* Spreng., *Neue Entdeck. 1: 280. 1820.*” Two labels, one with the stamp “Mus. bot. Berol.” and the other with the heading “Ex Museo botanico Berolinensis” prove that this specimen is a duplicate from B. On the sheet are affixed a large branch with numerous leaves and a few inflorescences, and a smaller branch with several leaves and several adventitious roots. It is tempting to designate this specimen as the lectotype of this name; however, there is no proof on the sheet that it was seen by Sprengel.

A specimen at HAL, barcode HAL114247, has the label “*Coccocypselum aureum*. N. (with “erythocephalum” stroked through and substituted by “aureum”), *Sellow. Brasilia aequinotialis*” handwritten by Sprengel, and the stamp “F. Sellow”. At the bottom of the sheet is the annotation “Isonoe-Typus” in red ink. This specimen is constituted by a small branch with three leaves, and few flowers included in a small envelope. It is an isoneo-type of this name.

A specimen at RSA, with barcode RSA0005726, has a label with the stamp “Herb. Reg. Berolinense” and the annotation “*Coccocypselum aureum* Cham. & Schlt.” At the bottom of the label is printed “*Brasilia*” and “Sellow legit.” The specimen is a ramified branch with numerous leaves, two inflorescences with flower buds and flowers in anthesis, and a few persistent fruits. Although this is a well preserved, complete specimen, there is no proof on the sheet that it was seen by Sprengel.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 183:

“8-2. *Coccocypselum condalia* Pers., *Syn. Pl. 1: 132. 1805.* - *Condalia repens* Ruiz & Pav., *Fl. Peruv. Prodr. 1: 54, tab. 84, fig. a. 1798.* Tipo: Perú, “Huánuco, Cuchero et Chinchao”, s.d., *Ruiz & Pavón s.n. (MA).*”

Accepted name: *Coccocypselum condalia* Pers.

Type: PERU. Huánuco: [protologue] “in nemoribus *Panatuvarum* ad *Pillao*, *Cuchero* et *Chinchao* vicos” [“Cochero in umbrosis”], s.d., *H. Ruiz López & J.A. Pavón y Jiménez s.n. (MA [barcode MA815739], lectotype here designated; isolectotypes, F [Acc. No. 845331, barcode F0040870F], MA [barcode MA815740]; MA [AJB04-D-0186_001]).*

Notes: In the protologue of *Condalia repens* Ruiz & Pav., Ruiz and Pavón (1798: 54) cited the original material as collected “in nemoribus *Panatahuvarum* ad *Huánuco*, *Cuchero* et *Chinchao* vicos.”

Persoon (1805: 132) cited *Condalia repens* and its bibliographic citation in synonymy under *Coccocypselum condalia* Pers. The binomial *Coccocypselum repens* Sw. was published by Swartz (1788: 31), which is a superfluous name based on the previously published *Coccocypselum herbaceum* Aubl. (Aublet 1775: 68). *Coccocypselum condalia* is a replacement name for *Condalia repens*. Therefore, the type of *Coccocypselum condalia* must be the type of *Condalia repens* (Art. 6.13). There are at least three original specimens and one original drawing of *Condalia repens*, which are described and discussed below.

The MA specimen with barcode MA815739 has the printed annotation “Herbario de Ruiz y Pavón”. On the sheet is affixed a label with the handwritten annotation “*Coccocipsilum repens*, *System. Vegetab., Fl. Per. pag. 28. Condalia repens Sp. Pl., Fl. Per. vol. 1. p. 54. ic. 84. de Chicoplaya. 1798. de Iscutunam. 1787. n° 385.*” Another label has the heading “Herbarium Peruvianum Ruiz et Pavon” and the handwritten annotation “*Coccocypselum condalia* Pers., det. K. Krause, XII 31.” On the sheet is affixed a third label with the name “*Coccocypselum repens*” and a long, handwritten description of the species, starting from the front of the label and ending on the back of the label, terminating with the collection locality and flowering time “habitat Cochero in umbrosis. floret mense julii”. The specimen has several branches with inflorescences and infructescences. This specimen is here designated the lectotype of *Condalia repens*.

The MA specimen with barcode MA815740 has the printed annotation “Real Jardín Botánico C.S.I.C., Herbario numerado en abril de 2010”. It has a label with the annotation “*Condalia repens* - *Fl. Peruv. 1. tab. 84 - Ex Herbario Fl. Peruv. anno 1828*” probably handwritten by Pavón. Two other small labels have the annotations “*Coccocipsilum repens*, *Fl. Peruv.*” and “*Coccocipsilum sessile*” probably handwritten by Pavón. A fourth label has the printed text “Herbarium Horti Botanici Matritensis - *Plantae a «Ruiz et Pavón» in vice-regno*

Peruviano et Chilensi lectae. (1778-1788), the stamp “Ex antiquo herbario generali”, and the typewritten annotation “*Coccocypselum condalia* Pers. v. *repens*.” A fifth label has the annotation “*Coccocypselum condalia* Pers., C.B. Costa, 19/VIII/2002.” On the sheet are present several small branches with a few inflorescences and infructescences. This is an original specimen of *Condalia repens* and is an isolectotype.

At MA, there is a drawing by Isidro Galvéz of the Royal Botanical Expedition to the Viceroyalty of Peru of Hipólito Ruiz & José Pavón. The drawing is affixed on a larger sheet, on which is a label with the printed annotation “REAL JARDÍN BOTÁNICO, CSIC ARCHIVO, Real Expedición Botánica al Virreinato del Perú, AJB04-D-0186_001”. On the upper line of the drawing is the number “LXXXIV”, which corresponds to the plate number published in 1798. On the drawing is depicted a branch with adventitious roots at almost every node, and leaf pairs at every node. The leaf blades are ovate to lanceolate, discolorous, and puberulent below. The infructescences are pedunculate, and with 3 or 4 sessile, blue fruits. The inflorescences have flowers in anthesis and flower buds. On the lower portion are depicted pink-colored corollas, anthers, a fruit inside view, a fruit transversally dissected, and seeds. At the base of the drawing is handwritten the name *Condalia repens*.

In addition, at F there is a specimen with Accession No. 845331 and barcode F0040870F. On the sheet is affixed the printed label “Herbarium Horti Botanici Matritensis, Plantae a “Ruiz et Pavón” in vice-regno Peruviano et Chilensi lectae. (1778-1788)”, with the stamp “EX DUPLA”, and the handwritten annotation “*Coccocypselum condalia* Pers.” and “Núm. 12/78”. On the sheet are present several branches with a few inflorescences. This specimen is an isolectotype.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 186:

“8-3. *Coccocypselum erythrocephalum* Cham. & Schltldl., *Linnaea* 4: 144. 1829. Tipo: Brasil, “in Brasilia aequinocialis”, *Sellow s.n.* (holótipo B, destruído).”

Accepted name: *Coccocypselum erythrocephalum* Cham. & Schltldl.

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais: “Villa Rica” [now city of Ouro Preto], s.d., *F. Sellow 1090* (F [Acc. No. 607169, barcode F0068790F], **lectotype here designated**).

Notes: In the protologue of *Coccocypselum erythrocephalum* Cham. & Schltldl., Chamisso and Schlechten-

dal (1829b: 144) cited the material studied as “In Brasilia aequinoctiali legit Sellow. *Ꞥ. ?*” without citing the herbarium of deposit. According to Stafleu and Cowan (1976: 482; 1985: 190) “Chamisso’s own herbarium was also acquired by LE.” and Diederich Franz Leonard von Schlechtendal “Herbarium and types: HAL. Several of the types of his Berlin period were at B (mainly destroyed). – Plants for the botanical gardens of Berlin and Halle, and material from his herbarium (but collected by others) are, e.g., at CAS, E, FI, G, L, M, MW, WAG.”

In the Berlin Negatives on the Field Museum website, there is no image of any specimen associated with *Coccocypselum erythrocephalum*. Costa (2004) indicated as neotype of this name a specimen denoted as “Sellow s.n. in Herb. Chamisso” in LE. As Costa’s citation is part of an unpublished dissertation, it is not a valid typification. Costa and Delprete (in Delprete 2010a: 186) simply stated that the B holotype was destroyed. Searching for possible original material, two specimens were found associated with this name, which are below discussed.

A specimen at F, with Accession No. 607169 and barcode F0068790F, has a label with the heading “Museo botanico berolinensi” and “Brazil” handwritten by an unknown author. On the lower right corner is the stamp “Mus. bot. Berol.” proving that the specimen originated from the Berlin herbarium. On the lower left corner is affixed a label with the heading “Herb. Reg. Berolinense” and the handwritten annotation “*Coccocypselum erythrocephalum* Ch. et Schltldl. 1090” and the printed text “Brasilia. Sellow legit.” On another smaller label is handwritten “Villa Rica.” The specimen consists of a branch with numerous leaf pairs and a few pedunculate, globose inflorescences. The entire plant is characterized by the golden pubescence on the reproductive and vegetative parts. The gathering *Sellow 1090* was among the gatherings cited by Schumann in Martius (*Fl. Bras.* 6(6): 317–318. 1889) for this species. This specimen is here designated the lectotype of *C. erythrocephalum*.

A specimen in M, with barcode M-0187093, has a label with the heading “Herbarium Regium Monacense, Herb. Zuccarini. Herbar. Ludon. Maximil.” and the handwritten annotation “*Coccocypselum*, e Brasilia.” The specimen has the golden pubescence on the reproductive and vegetative parts that characterize this species and consists of one branch with several leaf pairs and an inflorescence. The collector is not indicated. On the specimen is affixed the annotation “*Coccocypselum erythrocephalum* Cham. & Schltldl., C.B. Costa, 4/7/2002”. On the lower portion of the stem is also affixed a minute label with the number “3155” handwritten by A. Töpfer. This specimen is not original material.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 189:

“8-4. *Coccocypselum hasslerianum* Chodat, Bull. Herb. Boissier, 2(4): 169. 1904. Tipo: Paraguay, Río Y-acá, Dec. 1900 (fl, fr), *E. Hassler 6592* (lectótipo G, designado por Costa, 2004; isolectótipos, G, BM, K, MA, NY, P).”

Accepted name: *Coccocypselum hasslerianum* Chodat

Type: PARAGUAY: Valley of Río Y-acá, “inter rupes prox. Chokoló”, Dec. 1900 (fl, fr), *E. Hassler 6592* (G [barcode G00306695], lectotype designated by Cabral and Salas (2022b: 240); isolectotypes BM n.v., F [Acc. No. 768213], G [barcodes G00306693, G00306694 (2 sheets)], GH [barcode 00092537], K [2 sheets, barcodes K000424238, K000424239], MA n.v., MO [Acc. No. 1578885], MPU [barcode MPU016831], NY [barcode 00131100], P [2 sheets, barcodes P02273195, P02273196], S [Acc. No. S05-596], UC [barcode UC944237]; fragment F [Acc. No. 606639]; photo-B in F [Neg. F0BN000262] and G [barcode G00306692]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Coccocypselum hasslerianum* Chodat, Chodat and Hassler (1904: 169) cited two gatherings: “inter rupes pr. Chokoló in valle fluminis Y-aca, Dec., [*Hassler*] n. 6592” and “*Balansa*: [...] Santa Barbara, dans les forêts humides, Febr., n. 1740.” Costa and Delprete in Delprete (2010a: 189) cited the type of *C. hasslerianum* Chodat as “Paraguay, [...], *E. Hassler 6592* (lectótipo G, designado por Costa, 2004; isolectótipos, G, BM, K, MA, NY, P).” However, Costa (2004) is an unpublished doctoral thesis and that lectotype designation is not valid. Duplicates of the gathering *Hassler 6592* are present in many herbaria, and priority should be given to the specimens in G, as Robert Hippolyte Chodat (1865–1934) worked in Geneva, and after his death his personal herbarium was integrated into G. In G, there are several specimens associated with this name, which are discussed below.

On the G sheet with barcode G00306692, there is a photograph of the specimen *Hassler 6592* at B, which was destroyed during WWII. On the sheet, there is also a label with the heading “Types of the Berlin Herbarium, Rockefeller Foundation Fund for Photographing Type Specimens” and the handwritten annotation “262. *Coccocypselum Hasslerianum* Chod., Paraguay, Hassler 6592.”

On the G sheet with barcode G00306693, there is a label “Acquis et intercalé dans la collection générale de l’Herbier Delessert en 1903” (Acquired and intercalated in the Delessert Herbarium in 1903). A second label on that

sheet has the heading “Dr. E. Hassler, Plantae Paraguarienses. – 1900. Florula Cordillerae Centralis” and the annotations “No. 6592, *Coccocypselum Hasslerianum* Chodat. In regione cursus superioris fluminis Y-acá, Lect. mens. Dec.” The specimen consists of a branch with several leaf pairs and a sessile inflorescence.

The G specimen with barcode G00306694 is mounted on two sheets. On the first sheet is affixed the label “Plantes provenant de l’herbier du Professeur Robert Chodat, intercalées dans l’herbier générale du Conservatoire botanique de la Ville de Genève en 1970.” (Plants from the Professor Robert Chodat’s Herbarium, intercalated in the Botanical Conservatory of the city of Geneva in 1970). A second label on the first sheet has the heading “Dr. E. Hassler, Plantae Paraguarienses. 1900” and the annotations “No. 6592, In valle fluminis Y-acá, inter rupes prox. Chokoló. Lect. mens. Dec.” On the first sheet, there are two branches with several leaf pairs and several sessile inflorescences. On the second sheet, there is pinned a label with the heading “Université de Genève – Herbiers” and the stamp “Université de Genève – Institute de Botanique – Collections”, the number “6592” written in pencil, and “E. Hassler” written in black ink. On the second sheet there is no barcode number, as the two sheets belong to the same specimen. On the second sheet, there are two branches with several leaf pairs. One branch has an infructescence with immature fruits. Article 8.3, Ex. 9 of the Code (Turland et al., 2018) states “In the herbaria in Geneva (G and G-BOIS, but not G-DC) specimen folders may be used to house preparations, consisting of one to numerous herbarium sheets that comprise a single specimen and possess a single original label. Although the sheets themselves are usually not individually labelled as being part of the same specimen, they are physically kept together. The individual sheets are not therefore duplicates but are parts of a single specimen.” As two sheets with barcode G00306694 are kept together and the label with the collection locality and the plant description is present only on the first sheet, they are treated as a single specimen with multiple preparations.

The G specimen with barcode G00306695 has the label “Herbier Emil Lasser, Plantae Paraguarienses, Herbier personnel du Dr Emil Lasser (1864-1937), constitué de plantes récoltés entre 1885 et 1919 au Paraguay et régions adjacentes de l’Argentine, du Brésil et de la Bolivie. Il a été déposé [...] et Jardin Botaniques de la Ville de Genève en 1919 et intercalé dans l’herbier générale à partir de 1955.” The specimen consists of two branches with several leaf pairs and a few sessile inflorescences. As it was deposited in G in 1919, and intercalated in the general herbarium in 1955, this speci-

men was probably not examined by Chodat before the publication of *C. hasslerianum*. Cabral and Salas (2022b: 240) designated this specimen as the lectotype of this name.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 191:

“8-5. *Coccocypselum hirsutum* Bartl. ex DC., Prodr. 4: 396. 1830. Tipo: Sem localidade [América Meridional], s.d., *T. Haenke s.n.* (holótipo, G-DC).”

Accepted name: *Coccocypselum hirsutum* Bartl. ex DC.

Type: [SOUTH AMERICA, unknown country]: Without locality, s.d., *T. Haenke s.n.* (holotype PR [Acc. No. 612586], isotype G-DC [barcode G00666465]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Coccocypselum hirsutum* Bartl. ex DC., Candolle (1830: 396–397) cited the material studied as “Patr. ign. (v.s. in h. Haenke).” Candolle’s statement means that he studied material from the Haenke’s herbarium.

According to the Stafleu and Cowan (1979: 6) Haenke’s “herbarium and types are at PR” and the “Reliquiae haenkeanae were distributed from Prague after 1816.” The botanists Thaddeus Haenke (1761–1816) and Luis Née participated in the Malaspina expedition, which was commanded by Alessandro Malaspina (1754–1810) and José de Bustamante y Guerra (1759–1825), and toured around the World from 1789 to 1794, with purely scientific goals. Haenke missed the ships of the expedition (*Descubierta* and *Atrevida*) leaving from Cadiz (Spain) in July 1789, and eventually boarded them in Santiago de Chile in April 1790. In the New World, with Haenke on board, the expedition touched ground in Peru, Ecuador, Colombia, Panama, and Mexico, and eventually Alaska. As Haenke did not indicate the countries of his collections, it is impossible to know the country of origin of the original material of *Coccocypselum hirsutum*.

The Haenke Herbarium is intercalated in PR. In PR, there is an original specimen of *Coccocypselum hirsutum* collected by Haenke, with Accession No. 612586, constituted by two branches with typical hirsute vestiture, with numerous leaves, inflorescences, and infructescences. The specimen label has the annotation “*Coccocypselum hirsutum* Bartl.” and the stamp “THADDAEUS HAENKE”. That specimen is the holotype of this name.

In G-DC there is a specimen, barcode G00666465, with two labels handwritten by Candolle, “*Coccocypse-*

lum hirsutum Bartl.” and “herb. Haenk., patr. ign.” The specimen consists of a portion of a branch with a few leaves and is an isotype.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 193:

“8-6. *Coccocypselum lanceolatum* (Ruiz & Pav.) Pers., Syn. Pl. 1: 132. 1805. - *Condalia lanceolata* Ruiz & Pav., Fl. Peruv. 1: 54. 1798. Tipo: Peru, Cuchero e Chinchao, *Tafalla & Manzanilla s.n.* [in Ruiz & Pavón] (lectótipo MA, designado por Costa, 2004).”

Accepted name: *Coccocypselum lanceolatum* (Ruiz & Pav.) Pers.

Type: PERU. Huánuco: “in nemoribus *Cuchero* et *Chinchao* locis umbrosis”, s.d., J.A. *Tafalla & J. Manzanilla s.n.* [in H. Ruiz López & J.A. Pavón y Jiménez s.n.] (MA [barcode MA815737], **lectotype here designated**).

Notes: In the protologue of *Condalia lanceolata* Ruiz & Pav., Ruiz and Pavón (1798: 54–55) cited the collection locality as “Habitat in nemoribus *Cuchero* et *Chinchao* locis umbrosis.” Costa and Delprete in Delprete (2010a: 193) cited the type of *Condalia lanceolata* as “Peru, Cuchero e Chinchao, *Tafalla & Manzanilla s.n.* [in Ruiz & Pavón] (lectótipo MA, designado por Costa, 2004).” However, Costa’s (2004) monograph is an unpublished doctoral thesis, and her lectotype citation is not valid. Apparently in MA, there is no original drawing made during the Royal Botanical Expedition to the Viceroyalty of Peru that can be associated with this name. In MA there are two specimens that should be considered as possible original material of *Condalia lanceolata*, which are discussed below.

The MA specimen with barcode MA815737, has a label with the annotation “*Condalia capitata* Sp. Pl. Fl. Per. – Fl. Per. c. t. 3. de Chinchao. An *Coccocipsilum*?” handwritten by Pavón. A second label has the heading “Herbarium Peruvianum Ruiz et Pavon” and the handwritten annotation “3/100. *Coccocypselum canescens* Willd., det. Krause, VII. 1930.” A third label has the annotation “*Coccocypselum lanceolatum* (Ruiz & Pav.) Pers., C.B. Costa, 19/VIII/2002.” The name “*Condalia capitata*” has never been published. Most likely, Ruiz and Pavón changed their minds, and published the name of this species as *Condalia lanceolata*. The specimen consists of three branches with several leaf pairs. Two branches have an inflorescence at the distal node. This specimen is original material and is here designated as the lectotype of *Condalia lanceolata*.

The MA specimen with barcode MA815738, has a label with the annotation “*Condalia capitata* - *Coccocypselum*?” handwritten by José Demetrio Rodríguez, one of the MA curators during 1827–1828 (He worked for Pavón in the Office of Flora Peruviana). The specimen has a second label written in pencil with the annotation “*Coccocypselum canescens*, HTW 21/ii/13”. A third label has the handwritten annotation “*Coccocypselum lanceolatum* (Ruiz & Pav.) Pers., C.B. Costa, 19/VIII/2002.” The specimen consists of two small branches with a few leaf pairs, and only one of them has an inflorescence. There is no evidence on this sheet that it was studied by Ruiz and Pavón for the description of the species, therefore it cannot be treated with certainty as original material.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 201:

“8-7. *Coccocypselum lyman-smithii* Standl., Field Mus. Nat. Hist., Bot. Ser. 8: 165. 1930. Tipo: Brasil, Rio de Janeiro, Mt. Itatiaya [Mt. Itatiaia], vicinity of Macieras, Estação Biológica, 2000 m, 10/XII/1928 (fl), *L.B. Smith 1464* (holotipo, GH).”

Accepted name: *Coccocypselum lyman-smithii* Standl.

Type: BRAZIL. Rio de Janeiro: Mt. Itatiaya [Mt. Itatiaia], vicinity of Macieras, Estação Biológica, 2000 m, 10 Dec. 1928 (fl), *L.B. Smith 1464* (holotype GH [barcode 00092538]; isotype F [Acc. No. 610162]).”

9. *COFFEA* L., Sp. Pl. 172. 1753.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 206:

“9-1. *Coffea arabica* L., Sp. Pl. 172. 1753. Tipo: Cultivado no Hortus Cliffordianus (N. 59), na Holanda (holótipo, BM-LINN).”

Accepted name: *Coffea arabica* L.

Type: Herb. Clifford. 59, *Coffea* 1 (BM [barcode 000558021], lectotype designated by Bridson and Verdcourt (1988: 713)).

Notes: Bridson and Verdcourt (1988: 713) cited the type of *Coffea arabica* L. as “Type: cultivated in Holland, *Hort. Cliff.* (BM, holol!).” Bridson and Verdcourt’s citation is an inadvertent lectotypification. The lectotype specimen has a label with the handwritten annotation “*Jasminum arabicum*, castaneaefolio, flore albo odoratissimo, cuius fructus Caffè – *Coffea arabica*.”

10. *CORDIERA* A. Rich. ex DC., Prodr. 4: 443. 1830.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 215:

“10-1A. *Cordia concolor* (Cham.) Kuntze var. *goyana* C. Perss. & Delprete, var. nov. Tipo: Brazil. Goiás: Serra Geral do Paraná, 3 km NE de São João da Aliança, 1070 m, 22/III/1973 (fl masc), *W.R. Anderson, M.T.K. Arroyo, S.R. Hill, R.R. dos Santos & R. Souza 7708* (holótipo, UB; isótipos NY, US, W).”

Accepted name: *Cordia concolor* (Cham.) Kuntze var. *goyana* C.Perss. & Delprete

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: Serra Geral do Paraná, 3 km NE de São João da Aliança, 1070 m, 22 Mar. 1973 (male fl), *W.R. Anderson, M.T.K. Arroyo, S.R. Hill, R.R. dos Santos & R. Souza 7708* (holotype, UB [barcode UB0040271]; isotypes NY [barcode 00803951], US [barcode 01106028], W).

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 219:

“10-2. *Cordia elliptica* (Cham.) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 1: 279. 1891. - *Alibertia elliptica* (Cham.) K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 389. 1889. - *Thieleodoxa elliptica* Cham., Linnaea 9: 252. 1834. Tipo: Brazil meridional, “E Brasilia semel iterumque collectam”, s.d., *Sellow B-1761 (E-1182)* (lectótipo, S, aqui selecionado; isolectótipo, S).”

Accepted name: *Cordia elliptica* (Cham.) Kuntze

Type: BRAZIL: “E Brasilia semel iterumque collectam”, s.d., *F. Sellow B-1761 (E-1182)* (lectotype, S [Acc. No. S 07-14917], designated by Persson and Delprete in Delprete (2010a: 219); isolectotype, S [Acc. No. S 07-14913]).

Notes: There are two original specimens of *Thieleodoxa elliptica* Cham. at S. In their publication, Persson and Delprete (in Delprete, 2010a: 219) did not indicate which of the two specimens is the lectotype. But they annotated the specimen with Acc. No. S 07-14917 as lectotype of *Thieleodoxa elliptica* Cham.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 231:

“10-3A. *Cordia humilis* (K. Schum.) Kuntze var. *humilis*, Rev. Gen. Pl. 1: 279. 1891. - *Alibertia humilis* K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 391. 1889. Tipo: Brazil. [Estado desconhecido], perto do Rio Pardo, “in campis arenosis” IX/1826 (fl masc), *Riedel 550* (holótipo, B*,

foto-B em BR, F, G, GH, MO, NY, RB; lectótipo, BR, aqui selecionado; isolectótipos, F, LE-n.v.)”

Accepted name: *Cordia humilis* (K.Schum.) Kuntze var. *humilis*

Type: BRAZIL. Unknown state: Near Rio Pardo, “in campis arenosis”, Sep. 1826 (male fl), *L. Riedel* 550 (holotype B† (photos in BR, F, G, GH, MO, NY, RB); BR [barcode 000000549127], lectotype designated by Andersson (1992: 75); isolectotypes, F [Acc. No. 617786], LE-n.v.).

Notes: Persson and Delprete (in Delprete, 2010a: 231) cited the lectotype of *Alibertia humilis* K.Schum. as designated in their publication. However, Andersson (1992: 75) previously cited the type of this name as “Type: Riedel 550; Brazil, Rio Pardo (BR).” Andersson’s citation is an inadvertent lectotypification on the BR specimen with barcode 000000549127.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 233:

“10-3B. *Cordia humilis* (K. Schum.) Kuntze var. *amplexicaulis* (S. Moore) C. Perss. & Delprete, **comb. et stat. nov.** - *Alibertia amplexicaulis* S. Moore, Trans. Linn. Soc. London, Bot. 4: 370. 1895. Tipo: Brasil, Mato Grosso, “cacumine Serra da Chapada” [topo da Serra de Santa Anna da Chapada], VIII/1891 (fl masculinas), *S. Moore* 148 (holótipo, BM; isótipo, B*, foto-B em F, GH, MO, NY, RB).”

Accepted name: *Cordia humilis* (K.Schum.) Kuntze var. *amplexicaulis* (S.Moore) C.Perss. & Delprete

Type: BRAZIL. Mato Grosso: “cacumine Serra da Chapada” [Santa Anna da Chapada, now Chapada dos Guimarães], Aug. 1891 (male fl), *S. Moore* 148 (holotype, BM [barcode 00000073092]; isotype B†; photo-B in F, GH, MO, NY, RB).

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 234:

“10-4. *Cordia macrophylla* (K. Schum.) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 1: 279. 1891. - *Alibertia macrophylla* K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 394. 1889. Tipo: Brasil, Goiás, Rio Maranhão, 1817-1821 (male fl), *Pohl* 5116 (B*; lectótipo, NY, aqui selecionado; isolectótipos, G, W; foto-B em F, G, GH, MO, NY).”

Accepted name: *Cordia macrophylla* (K.Schum.) Kuntze

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: Rio Maranhão, 1817-1821 (male fl), *J.B.E. Pohl* 5116 (B†; NY [barcode 00063065], lectotype designated by Persson and Delprete in Delprete (2010a: 234); isolectotypes G [barcode G00389663], W [Acc. No. W0627103]; photo-B in F, G, GH, MO, NY).

Notes: Andersson (1992: 75) cited the syntypes of *Alibertia macrophylla* K. Schum. as “Type: Pohl 5116, Riedel 1157 (BR); Brazil, Maranhão and Mato Grosso, respectively (syntypes).” Persson and Delprete (in Delprete, 2010a: 234) designated the lectotype of *A. macrophylla* the specimen *Pohl* 5116 at NY to which it was later assigned barcode 00063065.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 239:

“10-5A. *Cordia myrciifolia* (K. Schum.) C. Perss. & Delprete var. *myrciifolia*, in Steyerl. et al. (Eds.), Fl. Venez. Guayana 8: 559. 2004. - *Alibertia myrciifolia* Spruce ex K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 393. 1889. Tipo: Brasil, Pará, perto de Santarém, IX/1850 (fl), *Spruce* 978 (holótipo, B*; lectótipo M, aqui selecionado; isolectótipos, BM, F, G, GH, K, NY, P, W; foto-K em NY; foto-M et F, MO, NY, RB).”

Accepted name: *Cordia myrciifolia* (K.Schum.) C.Perss. & Delprete var. *myrciifolia*

Type: BRAZIL. Pará: Near Santarém, Sep. 1850 (fl), *R. Spruce* 978 (B†; NY [barcode 00131141], lectotype designated by Andersson (1992: 75); isolectotypes, BM [barcode unknown], E [barcode E00285185], F [Acc. No. 768296], G [2 sheets, barcodes G00389975, G00389976], GH [barcode 00073068], K [barcode K000174109], M [barcode M-0186342], MPU [barcode MPU021513 (without collection number)], P [barcode P00870026], W [barcode W18890118400, “Acqu. 1889 N° 118400”]; photo-K in NY; photo-M in F, MO, NY, RB).

Notes: Andersson (1992: 75) cited the type of of *Alibertia myrciifolia* Spruce ex K. Schum. as “Type: Spruce 978; Brazil, Pará (NY).” Andersson’s citation is an inadvertent lectotypification on the NY specimen with barcode 00131141. Persson and Delprete (in Delprete, 2010a: 239) cited the lectotype of *A. myrciifolia* Spruce ex K. Schum. as a specimen at M. Persson and Delprete (2017: 165) realized that the lectotype was previously designated by Andersson, and corrected their type citation as “Type: Brazil. Pará: Near Santarém, Sep. 1850 (male fl), *R. Spruce* 978 (holotype, B probably destroyed; lectotype,

NY 00131141, selected by Andersson 1992, p. 75; isolecotypes, BM, F, G, GH, K, M, TCD? (web photo), photo-K at NY, M, P, W; photo-M at F, MO, NY, RB)."

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 245:

"10-6. *Cordia rigida* (K. Schum.) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 1: 279. 1891. - *Alibertia rigida* K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 391. 1889. Tipo: Brasil, Bahia, Jacobina, 1836 (fem. fl), *Blanchet 2614* (holotype, B*, foto-B em F, GH, MO, NY, RB, frag-B em BM, F, P; lectótipo, G, aqui selecionado; isolecótipos, BM, G, LE-n.v., P)."

Accepted name: *Cordia rigida* (K.Schum.) Kuntze

Type: BRAZIL. Bahia: Jacobina, 1836 (fem. fl), *J.S. Blanchet 2614* (holotype B†; G [barcode 00389968], lectotype designated by Persson and Delprete in Delprete (2010a: 245); isolecotypes, BM [barcode 000073030], G [barcode 00389969], LE n.v., P [barcode P00870025]; photo-B in F, GH, MO, NY, RB; frag-B in BM, F, P).

Notes: Schumann (1889: 391) cited the material studied of *Alibertia rigida* K. Schum. as "Habitat in montibus prope Jacobina in provincia Bahia: Blanchet n. 2614" without citing the herbarium of deposit. Schumann worked at B, and the material studied by him in that herbarium was destroyed during WWII. Andersson (1992: 75) cited the type of *A. rigida* as the gathering *Blanchet 2614* without citing any herbarium. Persson and Delprete in Delprete (2010a: 245) designated the lectotype of *A. rigida* as the specimen of *Blanchet 2614* at G, to which it was later assigned barcode 00389968.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 249:

"10-7. *Cordia sessilis* (Vell.) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 1: 279. 1891. - *Gardenia sessilis* Vell., Fl. Flumin. 102. 1825; Icon. 3: tab. 11. 1831. - *Alibertia sessilis* (Vell.) K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 395. 1889. Lectótipo (aqui selecionado): Brasil, Vell., Fl. Flumin. icon. 3: tab. 11. 1831."

Accepted name: *Cordia sessilis* (Vell.) Kuntze

Type: BRAZIL. [Rio de Janeiro: near Paratí] [protologue]: "*Habitat silvis maritimis Pharmacopolitanis*". [illustration] Original parchment plate of *Florae Fluminensis* in the Manuscript Section of the Biblioteca Nacional, Rio de Janeiro [cat. no.: mss1198652_014], **lectotype here designated.**

Notes: Persson and Delprete in Delprete (2010a: 249) cited the lectotype of *Gardenia sessilis* Vell. as plate 11 of Vellozo's *Florae Fluminensis Icones*. However, that plate was published in 1831, two years after the publication of the text, therefore it cannot be treated as original material. The original plates ordered by José Mariano da Conceição Vellozo (1742–1811) that were available to him before the time of publication, are currently preserved in the Manuscript Section of the National Library in Rio de Janeiro. The original parchment of *G. sessilis* ordered by Vellozo has the heading "Pent. Monog. GARDENIA sessilis" printed at the top-center of the drawing and next to the handwritten *Morinda* in pencil, and the number "11" handwritten on the upper right corner. On the drawing is depicted a male branch with several terminal inflorescences. The original plate of *G. sessilis*, with Catalogue No. mss1198652_014, is here designated the lectotype of this name.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 263:

"10-8. *Cordia triflora* A.Rich. in DC., Prodr. 4: 445. IX/1830. [Mém. Fam. Rubiaceé 223, pl. 20, fig.2. XII/1830; reimpr. Mém. Soc. Hist. Nat. Paris, ser. 3, 5: 223, pl. 20, fig. 2. 1834]. - *Alibertia triflora* (A. Rich. in DC.) K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 392. 1889. - *Cordia triflora* Steud., *orth. var.*, Nom. 2nd ed., 1: 419. 1840. Tipo: Guiana Francesa, Rio Kourou, 1781-85, *L.C. Richard s.n.* (Lectótipo, P, aqui selecionado, foto-P em A)."

Accepted name: *Cordia triflora* A.Rich. ex DC.

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: Kourou River, s.d. [1781–1785], *L.C.M. Richard s.n.* (P [barcode P03821428], lectotype designated by Persson and Delprete (2017: 195); isolecotypes F [Acc. No. 971705], P [3 sheets, P02273224, P03821425, P03821427]).

Notes: Candolle (1830: 445) cited the studied material of *Cordia triflora* A. Rich. ex DC. as "Ad ripas fluvii Kourou Guianae (v. s. in H. Rich.)." Andersson (1992: 99) cited the original material of this name as "Type: Richard; French Guiana, Kourou River" without citing any herbarium. Persson and Delprete in Delprete (2010a: 263) cited a lectotype of *C. triflora* collected by L.C.M. Richard in P, without citing the specimen barcode. Later, Persson and Delprete (2017: 195) cited the lectotype of this name as the specimen in P with barcode P03821428. In P there are four specimens of female branches, annotated as *Cordia triflora*, which might be part of the same gathering and are original material.

The P specimen with barcode P02273224 has a label with the title “L. Cl. Richard. Herbarium Guyan-Antillanum” handwritten in red ink, and the annotations “*Genipella triflora*”, an unpublished name, probably handwritten by Louis Claude Richard, and “*Cordia triflora nob.*” handwritten by Achille Richard. The specimen consists of a sterile branch with numerous leaves and the characteristic acuminate stipules.

The P specimen with barcode P03821425 has a label with the title “L. Cl. Richard. Herbarium Guyanesis-Antillanum, *Cordia*” handwritten in red ink, and “*Genipella triflora mihi, Ad flumen Kourou*” probably handwritten by Louis Claude Richard. The specimen consists of a branch with numerous leaves, one female flower in anthesis, and several mature fruits.

The P specimen with barcode P03821427 has a label with the title “L. Cl. Richard. Herbarium Guyanesis-Antillanum” handwritten in red ink, and “*Cordia triflora mihi, Ad flumen Kourou, Guyane*” handwritten by Achille Richard. The specimen consists of a branch with numerous leaves, several ovaries (corollas fallen off), and one female flower in anthesis.

The P specimen with barcode P03821428 has a label with the title “L. Cl. Richard. Herbarium Guyanesis-Antillanum” handwritten in red ink, and “*Cordia triflora mihi, Ad flumen Kourou, Guyane*” handwritten by Achille Richard. The specimen consists of a branch with numerous leaves, and several female flowers in anthesis. This is the specimen that was designated as lectotype of this name by Persson and Delprete (2017: 195).

In F there is a specimen with accession number 971705 [barcode V0068819F]. It has a label with the annotation “*Cordia triflora Rich., Rive du Kourou, Guyane, Legit Cl. Richard*” handwritten by an unknown author (not Richard), and the stamp “Ex Herbario Musei Parisiensis.” The specimen consists of a branch with numerous leaves, one flower bud, and a female flower in anthesis, and is very similar to the original specimens in P. This specimen is an isolecotype.

11. **COUSSAREA** Aubl., *Hist. Pl. Guiane* 98, 3: pl. 38. 1775.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 268:

“11-1. *Coussarea contracta* (Walp.) Benth. & Hook. f. ex Muell. Arg., *Flora* 58: 467. 1875; emend. Muell. Arg. in *Mart., Fl. Bras.* 6(5): 92. 1881. - *Faramea contracta* Walp., *Nov. Actorum Acad. Caes. Leop.-Carol. Nat. Cur.* 19, *Suppl.* 1: 351. 1843; Benth., *Linnaea* 23: 448. 1850. - *Coussarea contracta* (Walp.) Hook. f. in Benth. & Hook. f., *comb. inval.*, *Gen. Pl.* 2: 121. 1873. Tipo: Brasil, Rio de

Janeiro, perto da cidade de Rio de Janeiro, *Meyen s.n.* (não encontrado; o herbário de Walpers foi vendido mas não se sabe por quem foi comprado e até agora não foi localizado).”

Accepted name: *Coussarea contracta* (Walp.) Benth. & Hook. f. ex Müll.Arg.

Type: BRAZIL. Rio de Janeiro: Near the city of Rio de Janeiro, s.d., “*Meyens*” [F.J.F. *Meyen*] s.n. (K [barcode K000432690], **lectotype here designated**).

Notes: Walpers (1843: 351–352) in the protologue of *Faramea contracta* Walp. cited the material studied as “*Brasilia: prope Rio de Janeiro, (v. s.)*”, meaning that he saw herbarium specimen(s) collected in Rio de Janeiro, without citing the herbarium of deposit. According to Stafleu and Cowan (1988: 45) “Walper’s own herbarium containing many of the types of his new taxa, was sold after his death. This herbarium contained about 3000 species in 53 portfolio’s “fast ungeordnet”. [...] All these collections were offered for sale, but their present location is unknown.” At K there is a specimen with barcode K000432690. It has a label with the handwritten annotation (author unknown) “*Faramea contracta Walpers, proxima F. latifolia DC. Brasilia. Prof. Dr. Meyens legit (Walpers)*.” Most likely, both Walpers’ original citation and the label of this specimen refer to a collection made by the Prussian Franz Julius Ferdinand Meyen (1804–1840), who was on a tour around the World during 1830–1832, as Physician and Botanist, and collected in Brazil (Vegter, 1976). The K specimen consists of a branch with numerous leaves and several inflorescences and is here designated the lectotype of *Faramea contracta* Walp.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 273:

“11-2. *Coussarea hydrangeifolia* (Benth.) Benth. & Hook. f. ex Muell. Arg., *Flora* 58: 467. 1875, emend. in *Mart., Fl. Bras.* 6(5): 94. 1881. - *Faramea hydrangeaeifolia* Benth., *Linnaea* 23: 451. 1850. Tipo: Brasil, Goiás, *Gardner 3219* (lectótipo, K, selecionado por Gomes, 2003, foto em RB). Parátipos: Brasil, Minas Gerais e Goiás, *Claussen s.n.*, *Gardner 3222*, *Pohl s.n.*, *Sello s.n.*”

Accepted name: *Coussarea hydrangeifolia* (Benth.) Benth. & Hook. f. ex Müll.Arg.

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: without locality [“roads Natividade”], s.d. [1841], *G. Gardner 3219* (K [barcode K000432692] **lectotype here designated**;

isolectotypes, E [barcode E00505332], G [barcode G00389957], GH [barcode 00375788], K [barcode K000432693], NY [3 sheets, barcodes 00131344, 00131345, 00131346], P [3 sheets, barcodes P00836881 (“Prov. de Goyas”), P00836882 (“Prov. de Goyaz”), P00836883 (“Province de Piauhy”)]).

Notes: Bentham (1850: 451) cited the material studied of *Faramea hydrangeaefolia* Benth. as “Prov. Minas Geraës et Goyaz, Sello, Pohl, Claussen, Gardner n. 3219 et 3222.” Gomes (2003: 452) cited the lectotype of *Faramea hydrangeaefolia* Benth. as “Tipo: Brasil, Goiás, Gardner 3.219 (*Lectotypus* K! foto RB!).” However, as Gomes’ citation was published after 1 January 2001, his lectotype citation should have been accompanied by “here designated” or a similar expression, therefore it is not valid. In addition, there are two specimens of *Gardner 3219* at K.

The K specimen with barcode K000432692 has a label with the annotation “3219. Rubiaceae – A shrub about 10 feet high with white flowers, roads Natividade, Oct. 1839” handwritten by Gardner. The sheet also has the annotation “Gardner, Goyaz” handwritten directly on the sheet, and the stamp “Herbarium Hookerianum, 1867.” This specimen consists of a branch with several fully expanded leaves and two inflorescences with flowers in anthesis. This specimen is here designated the lectotype of *Faramea hydrangeaefolia*.

The K specimen with barcode K000432693 has a label with the printed text “Gardner, Piauhy, Goyaz, &c. Coll. 1837-41. Brazil” and the handwritten collection number “3219.” On the sheet are mounted two branches with several leaves and inflorescences with flowers in anthesis. This specimen is an isolectotype.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 287:

“11-3. *Coussarea platyphylla* Muell. Arg., Flora 58: 465, 475. 1875. Sintipos: Brasil, Goiás, ad flumen S. Marcos, prope Caretão, *Pohl 1690* (G), prope S. Rita, *Pohl 895* (G).”

Accepted name: *Coussarea platyphylla* Müll. Arg.

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: Santa Rita, s.d. [1818–1820], J.B.E. *Pohl 555* (*Diary No. 895*) (W [Acc. No. W0074514], **lectotype here designated**).

Notes: In the protologue of *Coussarea platyphylla* Müll. Arg., Müller Argoviensis (1875: 466, 475) cited two collections from the state of Goiás as “Goyaz: *Pohl n. 1690*, S. Rita: *Pohl n. 895*.” After a search for original

material of *C. platyphylla*, three specimens were found and are discussed below.

A sheet in G, with barcode G00392501, has an envelope affixed on it, with the annotation “*Coussarea* [written above “*Faramea*” stroked through] *platyphylla* Müll. Arg., S. Rita et Caratao in Goyaz: *Pohl*” handwritten by Müller Argoviensis. A label affixed below the envelope has the printed annotation “Herbier de Candolle – Donné en 1921 à la ville de Genève par M^{me} Augustin de Candolle et ses enfants. [...] réunies à la collection générale de l’Herbier Delessert à partir de 1924.” In the envelope is included a loose leaf and a portion of an inflorescence with flowers in anthesis and flower buds. Because on the envelope are annotated two collection localities and no collection number, it is impossible to know the origin of each piece in the envelope. This sheet was annotated by Müller Argoviensis. In conclusion, because the lectotype at W is *Pohl 895* (see below), which was collected in Santa Rita, Goiás, and the material contained in the envelope in G has the annotation “S. Rita et Caratao” without a collection number, it is here treated as a mixed collection and as a dubious isolectotype. Cabral and Salas (2022c: 247) cited the type of of *Coussarea platyphylla* as “TIPO. Brasil. Goiás. “Ad flumen S. Marcos, prope Caretão”, J. B. E. *Pohl 1690* (lectotipo, G!, aqui designado).” The sole specimen in G with this collection locality is that with barcode G00392501, which is a mixed collection because on the envelope are annotated the two localities “S. Rita et Caratao”. Therefore, Cabral and Salas (2022c: 247) lectotype designation is here superseded.

The specimen at W with Acc. No. W 0074514 has a label handwritten by Pohl with the annotation “555. Hb. Bras., Ad S. Rita, (895.d.), *Pohl*.” This annotation means that the specimen corresponds with Pohl’s collection No. 555, and Pohl’s *Diary No. 895*. A second label affixed on the specimens has the annotation “*Coussarea platyphylla* Müll. Arg.” handwritten by Müller Argoviensis. This specimen is composed by a small branch with two mature leaves, a juvenile leaf subtending the inflorescence and a terminal inflorescence with numerous flower buds and a few flowers in anthesis. This specimen is here designated the lectotype of *Coussarea platyphylla* (*Pohl 895*: <https://www.jacq.org/detail.php?ID=1098669>).

The second specimen at W with Acc. No. W 0072111 has a label with the annotation “1690. Hb. Bras., Caratao, *Pohl*.” handwritten by Pohl. The annotation means that this specimen is Pohl’s collection No. 1690. The specimen consists of a sterile branch with three mature leaves (*Pohl 1690*: <https://www.jacq.org/detail.php?ID=1052496>).

12. **COUTAREA** Aubl., Hist. Pl. Guiane 314, pl. 122. 1775.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 295:

“12-1. **Coutarea hexandra** (Jacq.) K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 196. 1889. - *Portlandia hexandra* Jacq., Enum. Pl. Carib. 16. 1760; Jacq. Sel. Stirp. Am. pl. 182, fig. 20. 1763. Tipo: Guiana Francesa, *Aublet s.n.* (lectótipo P, aqui escolhido).”

Accepted name: *Coutarea hexandra* (Jacq.) K.Schum.

Type: COLOMBIA. Bolívar: Vicinity of Cartagena, s.d., *N.J. Jacquin s.n.* (BM [barcode 000081660, with one flower] lectotype designated by Delprete (1999b: 47)).

Notes: Jacquin (1760: 16) described *Portlandia hexandra* Jacq. as only “floribus hexandris” without indicating a gathering or herbarium of deposit. The original material of this name was collected by him on the Caribbean Coast of Colombia.

D’Arcy (1970) stated “today there is no single “Jacquin Herbarium” but his specimens are to be found at Vienna [W], the Linnaean Herbarium [LINN], in the British Museum [BM], and some are dispersed in other European herbaria.” According to Stafleu and Cowan (1979: 407) “Sir Joseph Banks acquired Jacquin material. This is often referred to in literature as the Jacquin herbarium. [...] It is difficult to find West Indian material collected by him. It is doubtful whether Jacquin brought home from the West Indies sizeable collections of dried plants. J.E. Dandy informed us that the Jacquin material from the West Indies in the Banks herbarium (now BM) is rare and consists of scraps or small specimens.”

Steyermark (1972: 297) cited the type of *Portlandia hexandra* as “Type. Cartagena, Colombia” without citing any herbarium of deposit.

Delprete (1999b, in Harling & Andersson, 1999: 47) cited the type of *Portlandia hexandra* as “Type: Jacquin s.n.; Colombia, Dept. Bolívar, vicinity of Cartagena (BM, one flower!).” This citation is an inadvertent typification of the BM specimen. Although the BM specimen is only a single flower in anthesis, it presents sufficient diagnostic characters for this species, and the designation of an epitype is not necessary.

Delprete (2010a: 295) erroneously cited the lectotype of *Portlandia hexandra* as a specimen collected by Aublet in French Guiana. As indicated by Delprete (2015), the specimen collected by Aublet in French Guiana is the type of *Coutarea speciosa* Aubl., a synonym of *Port-*

landia hexandra, and the neotype of this name was designated by Delprete (2015: 603) as “*Aublet s.n.* (P-JU No. 9927a! [branch with flowers]; isoneotype, P-JU No. 9927b! [fruits and seeds]).”

Ochoterena (2012: 70) cited the type of *Portlandia hexandra* as “Holotipo: Colombia, *Jacquin s.n.* (BM!),” but that specimen was previously designated as the lectotype by Delprete (1999b: 47).

Searching for additional original material of *Portlandia hexandra*, there is a specimen in B-W, barcode B-W 03931-01 0, with the handwritten label “*Coutarea speciosa*, *Coutarea* Aubl., Guyane (Richard)” and “Richard. W.” handwritten directly on the sheet, indicating that it is part of the Willdenow Herbarium (B-W). Because that specimen was collected by L.C.M. Richard in French Guiana it is not original material, as the original collection was made by Jacquin in Colombia.

13. **DECLIEUXIA** Kunth in Humb. & Bonpl., Nov. Gen. Sp.: 3: 275 (folio), tab. 281. 1819 (“1818”); 3: 35 (quarto ed.), tab. 281. 1820.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 304:

“13-1. **Declieuxia aspalathoides** Muell. Arg., Flora 59: 438. 1876. Tipo: Brasil, Bahia, “editis montanis ad Villa de Rio das Contas”, s.d., *Martius 1972* (holótipo M, isótipo M, frag-M em G).”

Accepted name: *Declieuxia aspalathoides* Müll. Arg.

Type: BRAZIL. Bahia: “editis montanis ad Villa de Rio das Contas”, s.d., *C.F.P. Martius 1972* (holotype M [barcode M-0187221]; possible isotype (without collection number, without locality) M [barcode M-0187220]; isotype fragment G [ex M, barcode G00177986]).

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 307:

“13-2a. **Declieuxia cordigera** Mart. & Zucc. ex Schult. & Schult. var. **cordigera**, Mantissa ... Syst. Veg. 3: 112. 1827. Tipo: Brasil, Minas Gerais, Cachoeira do Campo, IV/1839, *Martius 1059* (lectótipo BR, escolhido por Kirkbride, 1976; isolectótipo LE; foto-BR em NY).”

Accepted name: *Declieuxia cordigera* Mart. & Zucc. ex Schult. & Schult. var. **cordigera**

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais: Cachoeira do Campo, Apr. 1839, *C.F.P. Martius 1059* (BR n.v., lectotype

designated by Kirkbride (1976: 34); isolectotype LE n.v.; photo-BR in NY).

Declieuxia cacuminis Müll. Arg. var. ***tocantinensis*** Delprete & J.H.Kirkbr., J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 6(2): 394, figs. 1–2. 2012.

Type: BRAZIL. Tocantins: Mun. Porto Alegre do Tocantins, bacia do Rio Tocantins, sub-bacia do Rio Balsas (T-9, 9 Km da area 3, Ponto 20), estrada para Ponte Alta, entrada apos Cachoeira da Fumaça, 546 m, 11°05'26"S, 46°52'00"W, solo argilo-arenoso-avermelhado, quartzo, afloramento calcario, relevo plano a ondulado (morro), campo sujo/cerrado ralo (savana gramineo-lenhosa), cerrado sobre o morro, 4 Jul. 2009, M.L. Fonseca, F.C.A. Oliveira, A.P. Silva Filho & V.C. Oliveira 6086 (holotype, IBGE [Acc. No. 69961]; isotypes, HUTO [Acc. No. 3302], RB [barcode 00598105, Acc. No. 502575]).

Notes: *Declieuxia cacuminis* var. *tocantinensis* Delprete & J.H.Kirkbr. was described by Kirkbride and Delprete (2012), after Delprete (2010a) published his Rubiaceae treatment for the Flora of Goiás and Tocantins. This variety is only known from the type locality and is the only record of *D. cacuminis* in the states of Goiás and Tocantins.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 313:

“13-3. ***Declieuxia dasyphylla*** K. Schum. ex Steyerl., Los Angeles County Mus. Contr. Sci. 21: 24. 1958. Tipo: Brasil, Goiás, “entre Tocantins et Os Porcos”, 3/I/1895, *Glaziou 21502* (holótipo F [ex frag-B]; isótipos BR, C, F, LE, R; foto-B em NY).”

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: “entre Tocantins et Os Porcos”, 3 Jan. 1895, A.F.M. *Glaziou 21502* (B†; holotype F [frag. ex B; Acc. No. 606557]; isotypes BR [barcode 000000530647], C [barcode C10018107], F [Acc. No. 970588], G [barcode G00436010], K [barcode K000432972], LE n.v., P [2 sheets, barcodes P02285264, P02285265], R [barcode R000038880], S [Acc. No. S-R-7796]; photo-B [F0BN000703] in F, NY).

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 317:

“13-4. ***Declieuxia fruticosa*** (Willd. ex Roem. & Schult.) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 1: 279. 1891. - *Houstonia fruticosa* Willd. ex Roem. & Schult., Syst. Veg. 3: 527. 1818. Tipo: Venezuela, Monagas, Caripe, Vendémiaire, IX-X/1790, A. Humboldt & A. Bonpland 223 (holótipo B-Willd; isótipo P).”

Accepted name: ***Declieuxia fruticosa*** (Willd.) Kuntze

Type: VENEZUELA. Monagas: Caripe, Vendémiaire an 8, [23 Sep.–Oct. 1799], A. Bonpland & A. Humboldt 223 (holotype B-W [barcode B -W 02688 -01 0]; isotypes, HAL [barcode HAL0114302], P [barcode P00135082]).

Declieuxia chiococcoides Kunth in Humboldt et al., Nov. Gen. Sp. 3: 354 (ed. quarto), 276 (ed. folio). 21 Nov. 1819, *nom. illeg. superfl.*

(=) *Houstonia fruticosa* Willd. in Roemer & Schultes, Syst. Veg. 3: 527. Apr.–Jul. 1818.

Notes: For additional information regarding the types of *Declieuxia fruticosa* and *D. chiococcoides*, see Kirkbride and Wiersema (2020).

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 333:

“13-5. ***Declieuxia lancifolia*** J.H. Kirkbr., Mem. New York Bot. Gard. 28: 31. 1976. Tipo: Brasil, Goiás, ca. 25 km S de Niquelândia, 1000 m, 21/I/1972, *Irwin, Anderson, Stieber & Lee 34660* (holótipo UB; isótipos BR, C, F, G, K, LE, LIL, NY, RB, UB, US).”

Accepted name: ***Declieuxia lancifolia*** J.H.Kirkbr.

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: ca. 25 km S de Niquelândia, 1000 m, 21 Jan. 1972, H.S. Irwin, W.R. Anderson, M. Stieber & E.Y.-T. Lee 34660 (holotype, UB [barcode UB0040288, Acc. No. 50823]; isotypes BR [barcode 000000530615], C n.v, F [Acc. No. 1817340], G [barcode G00389988], K [barcode K000432973], LE [barcode LE 00007892], LIL [barcode LIL001548], NY [3 sheets, barcodes 00129779, 00131224, 00131225], RB [barcode 00543543, Acc. No. 225512], UB [lost or destroyed], US [barcode 00129779]).

Notes: Kirkbride (1976: 31) for *Declieuxia lancifolia* J.H.Kirkbr. cited the holotype and one of the isotypes at UB. The holotype specimen is at UB, and has barcode UB0040288. But, after exhaustive searches, it was concluded that the UB isotype is not there.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 336:

“13-6. ***Declieuxia lysimachioides*** Mart. & Zucc. ex Schult. & Schult., Mantissa ... Syst. Veg. 3: 112. 1827. Tipo: Brasil, Minas Gerais, Serro Frio, *Martius 634* (holótipo, M; frag-M em G).”

Accepted name and correct authority: *Declieuxia lysimachioides* Zucc. in Schult. & Schult. f., Mantissa ... Syst. Veg. 3: 112. 1827

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais: Serro Frio, s.d., C.F.P. Martius 634 (holotype, M [barcode M-0187396]; isotype G [fragment ex M; barcode G00177991]).

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 340:

"13-7. *Declieuxia oenanthoides* Mart. & Zucc. ex Schult. & Schult. f., Mantissa ... Syst. Veg. 3: 112. 1827. Tipo: Brasil, São Paulo, "prope Jundiahy", I/1818, Martius 984 (lectótipo M, escolhido por Kirkbride, 1976; isolectótipo M; fotos em NY)."

Accepted name: *Declieuxia oenanthoides* Zucc. ex Schult. & Schult. f.

Correct name and authority: *Declieuxia oenanthoides* Zucc. in Schult. & Schult. f., Mantissa ... Syst. Veg. 3: 112. 1827.

Type: BRAZIL. São Paulo: "prope Jundiahy", Jan. 1818, C.F.P. Martius 984 (M [barcode M0187392], neotype designated by Kirkbride (1976: 42); isoneotype M [barcode M0187391]; photos-M in NY).

Notes: Schultes and Schultes (1827: 111–113.) published 16 new species of *Declieuxia* from Brazil; they attributed the names of nine of them to Martius, and the other seven to Zuccarini. In the protologue of *D. oenanthoides* Mart. ex Zucc., it is stated "n. 6. *Declieuxia oenanthoides* Mart.; herbacea, glabra; caule subsimplici; foliis quaternis, remotis, lineari-lanceolatis, acutis; racemis bifidis, paniculato-terminalibus. Zucc. in litt." No locality, no collector, and no specimen are cited. At the end of each new species protologue, is the statement "Zucc. in Litt.", which signifies that the new species protologues were supplied by Zuccarini in a letter. Kirkbride (1976: 42) gave the authorship of *D. oenanthoides* as "Mart. & Zucc. ex Schult. & Schult." but this is not correct according to the current code. The authorship of the nine species attributed to Martius should be "Mart.", and the seven names attributed to Zuccarini should be "Zucc." (Art. 46.3 Ex. 15).

Schultes and Schultes did not see specimens of their new species. Therefore, the Schultes and Schultes herbarium at KW (Kyiv, Ukraine) has no bearing on the typification of these new *Declieuxia* names, and there cannot be any original material in KW of their *Declieuxia* names. Kirkbride (1976: 42) cited the type of

D. oenanthoides as "lectotype M, fragment G; isotype M". Since there is no original material, Kirkbride citation is here corrected to a designation of a neotype and an isoneotype at M, and an isoneotype fragment at G. Those specimens did not have barcode numbers when Kirkbride studied them. The specimen at M annotated by him as holotype, which is the neotype, has barcode number M0187392, and that at M annotated by him as isotype, which is an isoneotype, has barcode number M0187391.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 349:

"13-8. *Declieuxia pruinosa* Pohl ex DC., Prodr. 4: 481. 1830. - *Psyllocarpus pruinosis* Pohl ex DC., in syn., Prodr. 4: 481. 1830. Tipo: Brasil, Minas Gerais, "inter Rio Jequitinhonha et Columbibus", Pohl 3147 (holótipo G-DC; isótipos NY, W)."

Accepted name: *Declieuxia pruinosa* DC. (Art. 46.4).

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais: "inter Rio Jequitinhonha et Columbibus", s.d., J.B.E. Pohl 3147 (861d) (holotype G-DC [barcode G00666586]; isotypes NY [barcode 00131226], W [Acc. No. W0074506]).

Notes: Candolle (1830: 481) cited *Declieuxia pruinosa* Pohl ex DC. as "D. pruinosa (Pohl! in litt. sub *Psyllocarpo*)" and the material studied as "5 in Brasiliâ legit cl. Pohl. (v.s.)." The expression "v.s." (*vidi siccum*) means that he saw a specimen in his own herbarium (now G-DC). In G-DC there is a sole specimen associated with this name, with barcode 00666586. On the lower right corner of the sheet is pinned a label with the annotation "*Psyllocarpus pruinosis* Pohl!, *Declieuxia*----- [pruinosa] DC." handwritten by Candolle. The specimen consists of a branch with several leaves and a terminal inflorescence, and next to it there is a small portion of a branch with two leaf pairs. At the base of these two branches is affixed a label with the annotation "*Psyllocarpus pruinosis* - Brésil, m. Pohl 1828" handwritten by an unknown author. This specimen is the holotype of *D. pruinosa*.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 351:

"13-9. *Declieuxia verticillata* Muell. Arg., Flora 59(28): 438. 1876. Tipo: Brasil, Mato Grosso, in campis arenosis, Rio Pardo, X/1826, Riedel 599 (holótipo BR, fragmento em G; isótipo, LE)."

Accepted name: *Declieuxia verticillata* Müll. Arg.

Type: BRAZIL. Mato Grosso: in campis arenosis, Rio Pardo, Oct. 1826, L. Riedel 599 (BR [barcode 000000681315], lectotype designated by Kirkbride (1976: 75); isolectotype LE n.v.; isolectotype fragment G [ex BR; barcode G00177998]).

Notes: Müller Argoviensis (1876a: 438) cited the material studied of *Declieuxia verticillata* Müll. Arg. as “St. Paul, ad Rio Pardo: Riedel n. 599” without citing the herbarium of deposit. Kirkbride (1976: 75) cited the type of this name as “Riedel 599 (holotype BR, fragment G; isotype LE).” According to the current *Code*, Kirkbride’s citation should be treated as an inadvertent lectotype designation of the BR specimen. The BR specimen, barcode 000000681315, has a label with the heading “Ex herbario horti Petropolitani” and the handwritten annotation “111. No. 599. In campis arenosis R. Pardo. Octobr. 1826. Brasilia. Riedel” and the stamp “Herb. Hort. bruxell. – Coll. MARTII.” Another label on this sheet has the annotation “*Declieuxia verticillata* Müll. Arg.” handwritten by Müller Argoviensis.

14. **DIALYPETALANTHUS** Kuhlmann, Arch. Jard. Bot. Rio de Janeiro 4: 363. 1925.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 354:

“14-1. *Dialypetalanthus fuscescens* Kuhlmann, Arch. Jard. Bot. Rio de Janeiro 4: 363. 1925. Sintipos: Brasil, Pará: Serra de Santarém, 18/VIII/1916 (fr), *Ducke s.n.* (RB19038) (RB); Rio Tapajós, supra Itaituba in vicinibus Barreirinhos, 26/V/1923, *Ducke s.n.* (RB 17921) (K, RB, US); Mato Grosso: Santa Cruz, alto Jamarý, XII/1918, *Kuhlmann 2363* (RB 15487); Salto Augusto, Rio Tapajóz, I/1915, *Kuhlmann 1514* (RB).”

Accepted name: *Dialypetalanthus fuscescens* Kuhlmann

Type: BRAZIL. Pará: Rio Tapajós, supra Itaituba in vicinibus Barreirinhos, “inter Itaituba et Villa Braga”, “silva riparia non inundata, arvore pequena, petalas brancas, estames amarelos”, 26 May 1923 (fl, fr), A. *Ducke s.n.* (RB 17921) (RB [2 sheets, barcodes 00538287, 00542242], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotypes, G [barcode G00357744], K [barcode K000173591], S [Acc. No. S05-1789], U [barcode U0001570], US [barcode 00624035]; photo-B [F0BN000954]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Dialypetalanthus fuscescens* Kuhlmann, Kuhlmann (1925: 363–364) cited the material studied as “Habitat Serra de Santarém (n° 16.354 ex herb. Amaz.), Pará, legit A. Ducke, fructifer, 19.038 J. Bot. (18-VIII-1916); Rio Tapajós supra Itaituba in vicinibus Barreirinhos, Pará, legit A. Ducke, 17.921 (26-V-1923); Santa Cruz, alto Jamarý, Matto Grosso, legit J.G. Kuhlmann (n° 2.363 Com. Rond.) 15.487 J. Bot., XII-1918; Salto Augusto, Tapajóz, legit J.G. Kuhlmann, (1.514 Com. Rond.) Jan. 1915.”

A search in Jstor Global Plants recovered the images of four original gatherings, which are below described and discussed.

A gathering from the state of Pará, Rio Tapajós, with the locality “inter Itaituba et Villa Braga”, collected on 26 May 1923 (fl, fr), *Ducke s.n.* (RB 17921) (B†; G [barcode G00357744], K [barcode K000173591], RB [2 sheets, barcodes 00538287 (specimen label annotated by Kuhlmann), 00542242 (without specimen label)], S [Acc. No. S05-1789], U [barcode U0001570], US [barcode 00624035]; photo-B [F0BN000954]).

A gathering from the state of Pará, Serra de Santarém, collected on 18 August 1916 (fr), *Ducke s.n.* (RB19038) (MG 16354) (B†; RB [barcode 00538284]; fragment F [ex B, Acc. No. 606514]).

A gathering from the state of Mato Grosso, Santa Cruz, alto Jamarý, collected on December 1918, J.G. *Kuhlmann 2363* (RB 15487) (R [Acc. No. 14431, barcode R000014431], RB [Acc. No. RB15487, barcode 00538288]; fragment F [Acc. No. 654847]);

A gathering from the state of Mato Grosso, Salto Augusto, Rio Tapajóz, collected on January 1915, J.G. *Kuhlmann 1514* (RB [2 sheets, Acc. No. 89710, barcodes 00542240, 00538286]).

The gathering *Ducke s.n.* (RB 17921), from the Rio Tapajós, is distributed in numerous herbaria and consists of complete flowering and fruiting material. At RB there are two sheets of *Ducke s.n.* (RB 17921). The sheet with barcode 00538287 has a label handwritten by Ducke and annotated by J.G. Kuhlmann. The other RB sheet, with barcode 00542242 does not have a specimen label. As the two sheets are kept together in the same folder, and a label is present only on the first sheet, they are here treated as a single specimen with multiple preparations, which is here designated as the lectotype of *Dialypetalanthus fuscescens*. The duplicates of *Ducke s.n.* (RB 17921) present in other herbaria are isolectotypes.

In addition, two gatherings with duplicates distributed in several herbaria are labeled as types, but they were collected after *Dialypetalanthus fuscescens* was published. Those gatherings are not original material and are described below.

A gathering from the state of Pará, Serra de Santarém, 13 May 1927 (fl), *Ducke s.n.* (RB21684) (RB [3 sheets, Acc. No. RB21684, barcodes 00538285, 00542243, 00542244], S [Acc. No. S05-1787]).

A gathering from a plant cultivated in the Botanical Garden of Rio de Janeiro, "Culta in Horto Botanico Fluminis Januarii ex Pará ab A. Ducke traiecta", 28 Jan. 1947 (fl, fr), *P. Occhioni & C. Rizzini s.n.* (RB57941) (L [2 sheets, barcodes L0001579, L0001580], S [Acc. No. S05-1785], U [barcode U0001571]).

15. **DIODELLA** (Torrey & A. Gray) J. K. Small, Fl. Miami 177. 1913.
Hedyotis sect. *Diodella* J. Torrey & A. Gray, Fl. North Amer. 2: 41. 1841.

Accepted genus name: HEXASEPALUM Bartl. ex DC., Prodr. 4: 561. 1830.

Notes: Small (1913) segregated the genus *Diodella* Small from the genus *Diodia* L. and transferred only *Diodia rigida* Cham. & Schltdl. to *Diodella rigida* (Cham. & Schltdl.) Small. He distinguished *Diodella* from *Diodia* by the unbranched style, capitate and bilobed, and funnellform corollas, while in *Diodia* the styles are branched, with slender stigmatic lobes, and the corollas are salverform. The same year Small and Carter (1913) transferred another *Diodia* species to *Diodella*, with the new combination *Diodella teres* (Walter) Small. Bacigalupo and Cabral (1999) revised the species of *Diodia* and suggested that 16 *Diodia* species should be transferred to *Diodella*, but they did not publish the corresponding new combinations. Following their suggestion, Delprete (in Delprete et al. 2004; Delprete and Cortés-B. 2007; Delprete 2010a) transferred a few additional species from *Diodia* to *Diodella*. Cabaña Fader et al. (2016), after examining the type of *Hexasepalum angustifolium* Bartl. ex DC. concluded that it is synonymous with *Diodella crassifolia* (Benth.) Borhidi. They proposed that *Hexasepalum* and *H. angustifolium* be rejected to avoid the transfer of 11 names from *Diodella* to *Hexasepalum*. However, the nomenclature Committee of Vascular plants (Applequist 2013) did not recommend such a rejection. Kirkbride (2014) transferred *Diodella teres* (Walt.) Small to *Hexasepalum* and published the new combination *Hexasepalum teres* (Walt.) J.H.Kirkbr. A year later, Kirkbride and Delprete (2015) transferred 10 specific names from *Diodella* to *Hexasepalum*. The four species of *Hexasepalum* occurring in the States of Goiás and Tocantins are treated below.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 362:

"15-1. ***Diodella apiculata*** (Willd. ex Roem. & Schult.) Delprete in A. Reis (ed.), Fl. Ilustr. Catarinense RUBI, vol. 1: 169. 2004. - *Spermacoce apiculata* Willd. ex Roem. & Schult., Syst. Veg. 3: 531. 1818. - *Diodia apiculata* (Willd. ex Roem. & Schult.) K. Schum., Bot. Jahrb. 10: 313. 1888. Tipo: Brasil, sem localidade, *F.W. Sieber in Hoffmannsegg s.n.* (holótipo, B-W 2626)."

Accepted name: *Hexasepalum apiculatum* (Willd.) Delprete & J.H.Kirkbr., J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 9(1): 104. 2015.

Type: BRAZIL: Without locality, s.d. [1804], *F.W. Sieber in J.C. Hoffmannsegg s.n.* (holotype, B-W [barcode B -W 02626 -01 0]).

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 367:

"15-2. ***Diodella radula*** (Willd. & Hoffmanns. ex Roem. & Schult.) Delprete in A. Reis (ed.), Fl. Ilustr. Catarinense RUBI, vol. 1: 174. 2004. - *Spermacoce radula* Willd. & Hoffmanns. ex Roem. & Schult., Syst. Veg. 3: 531. 1818. - non *Spermacoce radula* Spreng., *nom. superfl.*, Neue Entdeck. 2: 144. 1821.- *Diodia radula* (Willd. & Hoffmanns. ex Roem. & Schult.) Cham. & Schltdl., Linnaea 3: 342. 1828. Tipo: Brasil, Pará, [1801-1807], *F.W. Sieber in Hoffmannsegg s.n.* (holótipo, B-Willd.)."

Accepted name: *Hexasepalum radulum* (Willd.) Delprete & J.H.Kirkbr., J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 9(1): 105. 2015.

Type: BRAZIL. Pará: Without locality, s.d. [1804], *F.W. Sieber in J.C. Hoffmannsegg s.n.* (holotype, B-W [barcode B -W 02625 -01 0]; possible isotype, HAL [barcode HAL0137348]).

Notes: A specimen at HAL, barcode HAL0137348, has a label with the handwritten annotation "Spermacoce radula e Bahia Brasilia, C. de Hoffmannsegg." This specimen is a possible isotype of this name.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 370:

"15-3. ***Diodella rosmarinifolia*** (Pohl ex DC.) Bacigalupo & E.L. Cabral in Delprete & R. Cortés-B., Rev. Biol. Neotr. 3: 34. "2006" [2007]. - *Diodia rosmarinifolia* Pohl ex DC., Prodr. 4: 564. 1830; emend. K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 18. 1888. Tipo: Brasil, sem localidade, *Pohl s.n.* (holótipo G-DC)."

Accepted name: *Hexasepalum teres* (Walt.) J.H. Kirkbr., J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 8(1): 17. 2014.

Type: BRAZIL: Without locality, s.d., *J.B.E. Pohl s.n.* (holotype, G-DC [barcode G00667314]).

Notes: In the protologue, Candolle (1830: 564) cited the name as “*D. rosmarinifolia* (Pohl! in litt.)” and the material studied as “in Brasiliâ detexit cl. Pohl [...] (v.s.)” The expression “v.s.” (*vidi siccum*) means that he saw material in his own herbarium. There is a sole sheet associated with this name in G-DC, with barcode G00667314. On the lower right corner of the sheet is pinned a label with the annotation “*Diodia rosmarinifolia* Pohl” handwritten by Candolle. On the base of the specimen is glued a label with the annotation “*Spermacoce* v. *Diodia rosmarinifolia*, Brésil, m. Pohl 1828.” The specimen consists of a plant with numerous leaf pairs, and numerous axillary inflorescences, and is the holotype of *D. rosmarinifolia*.

Delprete and Kirkbride (2015) published the new combination *Hexasepalum rosmarinifolium* (Pohl ex DC.) Delprete & J.H.Kirkbr. On the holotype specimen of *Diodia rosmarinifolia* is pinned a label with the annotation “*Diodia teres* Walt., N. Bacigalupo” handwritten by Bacigalupo in 1995. I agree with Bacigalupo’s determination, and that *D. rosmarinifolia* should be treated as a synonym of *Hexasepalum teres* (Walt.) J.H. Kirkbr. (see below).

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 371:

“15-4. *Diodella teres* (Walt.) Small, Fl. Lancaster Co. 271. 1913. - *Diodia teres* Walt., Fl. Carol. 87. 1788. - *Spermacoce diodina* [Rich. in] Michx., Fl. Boreali Am. 1: 82. 1803. Tipo: USA: Carolina, “in aridis sabulosis Carolinae”, s.d., *A. Michaux s.n.* (neótipo, P, escolhido por Fernald & Griscom, 1937).”

Accepted name: *Hexasepalum teres* (Walt.) J.H.Kirkbr., J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 8(1): 17. 2014.

Type: USA. South Carolina: Georgetown, old field, 24 Aug. 1939, *R.K. Godfrey & R.M. Tryon 1682* (GH [barcode GH00277018], neotype designated by Ward (2008: 467); isoneotypes NY [barcode 00116396], US [barcode 001838313]).

Notes: For further information about the neotype designation of *Diodia teres* Walt., see Ward (2008).

16. *DIODIA* L., Sp. Pl. 104. 1753.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 377:

“16-1. *Diodia kuntzei* K. Schum., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 15. 1888. Tipo: Paraguai, Rio Paraguai, Asumpción, III/1875, *Balansa 1750* (holótipo, B, destruído, foto em F; lectótipo, P, selecionado por Bacigalupo & Cabral, 1999, isolectótipo, BAF n.v.)”

Accepted name: *Diodia kuntzei* K.Schum.

Type: PARAGUAY: Bords du Rio Paraguay, à l’Assomption, Mar. 1875, *B. Balansa 1750* (B†; first-step lectotype designated by Bacigalupo and Cabral (1999: 155), P [barcode P02088885], second-step lectotype designated by Cabral and Cabaña Fader (2022: 254); isolectotypes, BAF n.v., BR [barcode 000000530586], K [barcode K000470247 (annotated by Schumann)], P [2 sheets, barcodes P01817752, P02273039]; isolectotype fragment F [ex S, Acc. No. 630727]; photo-B (F0BN000865) in F).

Notes: In the protologue of *Diodia kuntzei* K. Schum., Schumann (1888: 15–16) cited the gathering *Balansa 1750*. Schumann worked at B and the material that he studied in that institution was destroyed during WWII. Bacigalupo and Cabral (1999: 155) cited as lectotype of this name *Balansa 1750* at P. However, at P there are three specimens of *Balansa 1750*, which are discussed below.

Specimen with barcode P01817752, consists of several plants and numerous persistent or detached fruits. The specimen label has the heading “B. Balansa. – Pl. du Paraguay. –1874–1877” and the annotation “Nº. 1750, Tiges radicans. Fleurs blanches. Bords du Rio Paraguay à l’Assomption. Mars 1875” handwritten by Balansa.

Specimen with barcode P02273039 is composed by a large clump of several branches and/or individuals, with several fruits. The label of this specimen has the same heading and the Balansa’s annotations as the specimen with barcode P01817752.

Specimen with barcode P02088885 is composed of a single plant with several sparse branches and several fruits. The label of this specimen has the same heading as the specimen with barcode P01817752. This specimen was designated by Cabral and Cabaña Fader (2022: 254) as the lectotype of *Diodia kuntzei*.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 381:

“16-2. *Diodia macrophylla* K. Schum., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 401. 1888. Tipo: Brasil, Tocantins, Natividade, “in arenosis

umbrosis humidis prov. Goyaz” I/1843 (fl, fr), *Gardner* 3243 (holótipo B, destruído, foto F 863 em NY; lectótipo, BM, selecionado por Bacigalupo & Cabral, 1999, isolectótipos, G n.v., P).”

Accepted name: *Diodia macrophylla* K.Schum.

Type: BRAZIL. Tocantins: Natividade, “in arenosis umbrosis humidis prov. Goyaz”, Jan. 1843 (fl, fr), *G. Gardner* 3243 (holotype, B†; lectotype, BM [barcode 000053635, “Banks of streams near Natividade, Prov. of Goyaz, Jan. 1840”], designated by Bacigalupo and Cabral (1999: 157); isolectotypes, E [barcode E00504643], G [2 sheets, barcodes G00424910, G00424911], K [barcode K000470245], P [2 sheets, barcodes P01817868, P01817869], W [2 sheets, Acc. Nos. 113609, W0028726]; isolectotype fragment F [ex B, Acc. No. 605965]; photo-B (F 863) in F, NY).

17. **DUROIA** L. f., Suppl. 30, 209. 1782 *nom. cons.*

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 385:

“17-1. *Duroia prancei* Steyererm., New York Bot. Gard. 23: 345. 1972. Tipo: Brasil, Mato Grosso, Serra do Roncador, Rio Sete de Setembro, várzea forest, 3 km from Garapu, 2/X/1964 (fl), *Prance et al.* 59234 (holótipo, NY).”

Accepted name: *Duroia prancei* Steyererm.

Type: BRAZIL. Mato Grosso: Serra do Roncador, varzea forest beside Rio Sete de Setembro, 3 km from Garapu, 2 Oct. 1964 (fl), *G.T. Prance, N.T. Silva & J.M. Pires* 59234 (holotype, NY [barcode 00131282]; isotypes COL [COL000004586], F [Acc. No. 1680812], GH [barcode 00092674], K [barcode K000424446], US [barcode 00138138], VEN [Acc. No. 80469]).

18. **EMMEORHIZA** Endl., Gen. Pl. 1: 565. 1838.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 390:

“18-1. *Emmeorrhiza umbellata* (Spreng.) K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 408. 1888. - *Borreria umbellata* Spreng., Neue Entd. 2: 144. 1821. - *Endlichera umbellata* K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 38, pl. 74. 1888. Tipo: Brasil tropical, *Sellow s.n.* (holótipo B, destruído; lectótipo, K).”

Accepted name: *Emmeorrhiza umbellata* (Spreng.) K.Schum.

Type: BRAZIL: “Bras. aeq.”, s.d., *F. Sellow s.n.* (HAL [barcode HAL0113631], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotype K [barcode K000016365]).

Notes: Sprengel (1821: 144) described *Borreria umbellata* Spreng. and only cited “Habitat in Brasilia” without indicating the locality, collector, collection number or herbarium of deposit.

Delprete (2010a: 390) cited as lectotype a specimen of *Sellow s.n.* at K. Such lectotypification is not valid because after 2001 it should have been accompanied by “here designated” or a similar expression. According to Stafleu and Cowan (1985: 806) “Kurt Sprengel’s considerable and rich herbarium came first to his son Anton. After the latter’s death, in 1851, it was sold in parts. [...] A certain number of families and genera were sold to specialists [...] Even after this rigorous impoverishment a considerable portion remained and was acquired in 1853 by Karl Müller in Halle. The Müller-Sprengel herbarium (12.000 species) was bought by Berlin (B) in 1890, and is no longer extant. [...]” According to Stafleu and Cowan (1985: 806) “a certain number of specimens are at B-W, BP, FI, G, GOET (Lich.), H-Ach (lich.), L (mushi and algae), LD (200, herb. Retzius), LE, PH, PR.” Several specimens are possible original material of *Borreria umbellata*, which are described and discussed below.

A specimen at MO, with Accession No. 124104, has a label with the annotation “Bahia, in fruticetis – Machaonia brasiliensis DC.!” handwritten by an unknown author. This specimen was collected by Philipp Salzmann, who collected in Brazil during 1827–1830. Therefore, this specimen cannot be original material, as it was gathered after the publication of *Borreria umbellata*.

A specimen at K, with barcode K000016365, has several labels. One label has the heading “isotype” and “*Borreria umbellata* Sprengel” handwritten by Steyermark. A printed label saying “Brasilia. Reliquiae d. Sello. and the stamp “15 Nov 1907”. A second label with the heading “Ex Museo botanico Berolinensi”, the printed text “Brasilia, leg. Sellow”, the stamp “15 NOV 1907” and the handwritten text “*Emmeorrhiza umbellata* K. Schum.” A fourth label has the annotation “*Emmeorrhiza umbellata* (Spr.) Schum. subsp. *umbellata*, det. Julian A. Steyermark 1968.” Because Friedrich Sellow collected in Brazil during 1814–1831, this specimen could have been collected before the publication date of *B. umbellata*.

A specimen at HBG, with barcode HBG-506629, also has several labels. A label with the heading “Herbarium Hamburgense, P. Magnus acc, Febr. 1918”, the stamp “TYPUS” and the handwritten text “*Borreria umbellata* Spreng. ≡ *Emmeorrhiza umbellata* (Spreng.) K. Schum., leg. P. Salzmann, Brazil.” A second label has

the stamp “Université de Montpellier – Institut de Botanique”, the watermark “ex herb. P. Magnus” and the handwritten text (author unknown) “*Emeorrhiza brasiliensis* Walp., = *Machaonia* _____ Salzm. herb (et DC pro parte), Bahia (Brésil) in sepibus, Salzmänn.”

A specimen at HAL, with barcode HAL0113631, has a label with the handwritten annotation “*Borreria umbellata* Spr., Sellow, Bras. aeq.” and the stamp “TYPUS”. The specimen also has a recently printed label saying “Herbarium Universitatis Halensis, *Borreria umbellata* Spreng., Neue Entdeck. Pflanzenk. 2: 144 (1821), Brazil; Brasilia aeq., ex herb. Berol. leg. F. Sellow, am: 1814–1831.”

Both K and HAL specimens are original material of *Borreria umbellata* because they were both collected by Sellow and were both transferred from B. The HAL specimen with barcode HAL0113631 is here designated the lectotype of this name.

19. **FARAMEA** Aubl., Hist. Pl. Guiane, 102, 3: pl. 40. 1775.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 398:

“19-1. *Faramea bracteata* Benth., Linnaea 23: 452. 1850. Tipo: Tocantins [“Goyaz”], Natividade, [X/1839 - II/1940], Gardner 3220 (holótipo, K).”

Accepted name: *Faramea bracteata* Benth.

Type: BRAZIL. Tocantins: Near Natividade, Oct. 1839, G. Gardner 3220 (K [barcode K000432789], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotypes BM [barcode BM000901257], G [barcode G00301049], K [barcode K000432790], P [barcode P00836803]; isotype fragment F [ex G; Acc. No. 767094]).

Notes: Bentham (1850: 452) cited the material studied of *Faramea bracteata* Benth. as “Prov. Goyaz, Gardner n. 3220” but did not cite the herbarium of deposit. Bentham worked at K and also examined specimens from BM. Delprete (2010a: 398) cited the holotype of *Faramea bracteata* Benth. a specimen at K. However, three specimens of Gardner 3220 are in BM and K, which are discussed below.

A BM specimen, with barcode BM000901257, has the handwritten label “Herb. Gardner”. On the sheet is handwritten “3220. roads near Natividade, Province of Goyaz. Oct. 1839, a shrub 6 feet high.”

One K specimen, with barcode K000432790, has the stamp “Herbarium Hookerianum, 1867” and handwritten on the sheet “Gardner, Goyaz”. The specimen label

has the handwritten annotation “3220. Rubiaceae. A shrub two m high, road Natividade, bracts pure white – Oct 1839.” On the lower left corner are pencil sketches of the dissected ovary, the calyx, and lateral and dorsal views of the seeds.

The second K specimen, with barcode K000432789, has the stamp “Herbarium Benthamianum, 1854” and the label with the printed text “Prov. Goyaz, Brasilia tropica, Gardner, 1841.” and the handwritten annotation “3220 Coffea?” This specimen is here designated the lectotype of *Faramea bracteata* Benth. The fact that the stamp “Herbarium Benthamianum” bears the date “1854”, a date posterior to the publication of the name, only means that it was integrated in K that year, but it does not exclude that it was available to Bentham before the publication of the name.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 403:

“19-2. *Faramea multiflora* A. Rich. in DC., Prodr. 4: 497. IX/1830 [reimp. A. Rich., Mém. Fam. Rubiacé. 96. XII/1830; reimpr. Mem. Soc. Hist. Nat. Paris 5: 176: 1834]. - *Coussarea multiflora* (A. Rich. in DC.) Lemée, Fl. Guy. Franç. 3: 542. 1953. Tipo: Guiana Francesa, L.C.M. Richard s.n. (holótipo, P, isótipo G-DC n.v., foto em MO).”

Accepted name: *Faramea multiflora* A.Rich. ex DC.

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: “in sylvis ad Montem Ynéri”, s.d., L.C.M. Richard s.n. (P [barcode P00836846], lectotype designated Jardim in Taylor and Jardim (2020: 121); possible isolectotype P [barcode P00836845]).

Notes: The original specimen of *Faramea multiflora* A.Rich. ex DC. in P, with barcode P00836846, consists of a large branch with several leaves and a terminal infructescence with several mature fruits. On the sheet are affixed two labels on the bottom left corner. The lower one has the annotation “*Coffea paniculata* Aubl. t. 58.” handwritten by an unknown author, and the remainder of the label has the annotation “frutex 4–6-ped. erectus, ramis oppos. patentib. remotis, cylindricis, levibus. – Panicula fructifera coerulescens. – Fructus immaturi subceruleo-viridescens, sub 2-dydimoglobosi – pericarpio exsucco, 1-locul. 1-spe. – Julio, in sylvis ad Montem Ynéri” probably handwritten by L.C.M. Richard. At the bottom of the label is handwritten in red ink “L. Cl. Richard Herbarium Guyanens-Antillanum.” Another label, affixed above the previous annotation, has the following explanation “Il est evident que

ceci est: *Faramea multiflora* A. Rich. – Ce n'est nullement *Coffea paniculata* Aubl. t. 58, par contre la plante cadre parfaitement avec le peu de mots que A. Rich. en donne de son *Far. ∞-flora* [multiflora], in *Mem. soc. h. n. Paris* 5. p. 176. [...]” (It is evident that this [specimen] is *Faramea multiflora* A. Rich. – It is not *Coffea paniculata* Aubl. t. 58, on the other hand the plant corresponds perfectly to the few words that A. Rich. offers for for his *Far. ∞-flora* [multiflora], in *Mem. soc. h. n. Paris* 5. p. 176. [...].) On the lower right corner there is a label with the printed heading “Herb. Mus. Paris” and the lower portion also printed “Louis Claude Richard. Herbarium Guyanensis-Antillanum.” In the middle of the label is handwritten “*Faramea multiflora* A. Rich. var. *epedunculata* Stey. – det. J.A. Steyermark, 1970.” Above that label is another label with “Type” printed in red ink, and the handwritten annotation “*Faramea multiflora* A. Rich. ex DC. – ! J. Jardim, fev. 2007.” This specimen was designated as the lectotype of *Faramea multiflora* by Jardim (in Taylor & Jardim 2020: 121).

A second specimen in P, with barcode P00836845, has four labels affixed on it. One of them has the annotation “*Faramea multiflora* Cl. Rich.” handwritten by an unknown author. A second label has the annotation “L. Cl. Richard. Herb. Guyan.-Antillarum” and “*coffea paniculata*” handwritten in red ink, and “*Faramea? frutex 3–6 pedalis – ramis oppos. patent. frictuis* [?], fl. dilute caerulescentes, in opacis Sylvis Coutiantes [?]” handwritten in black ink. A third label, affixed on the lower right corner of the sheet, has the printed heading “Herb. Mus. Paris.” and the printed annotation “Louis Claude Richard. Herbarium Guyanensi-Antillanum.” On the third label is the annotation “*Faramea multiflora* A. Rich. var. *epedunculata* Stey. det. J.A. Steyermark 1970.” On the sheet are affixed one flowering branch with several flowers and one fruiting branch with two fruits. Although Steyermark annotated this specimen as “var. *epedunculata*” the inflorescence and infructesce of the two branches are obviously pedunculate. The fourth label has the annotation “*Faramea multiflora* A. Rich. ex DC., ! J. Jardim, fev. 2007.” This specimen is a possible isolectotype of *Faramea multiflora*.

There is no original material of *Faramea multiflora* in G-DC.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 409:

“19-3. *Faramea nitida* Benth., *Linnaea* 23: 454. 1850. Tipo: Brasil, Ceará, near Crato, *Gardner 1695* (lectótipo, K, aqui escolhido; isótipo K [ex herb. Hook.], foto em NY). Parátipo: Maranhão, *Gardner 6037* (K).”

Accepted name: *Faramea nitida* Benth.

Type: BRAZIL. Ceará: Road near Crato, Oct. 1838, *G. Gardner 1693* (first-step lectotype designated by Delprete (2010a: 409); K [barcode K000015387], **second-step lectotype here designated**; isolectotypes, BR [barcode 000000530596], F [Acc. No. 767842], G [2 sheets, barcode G00301030], GH [barcode 00092711], K [2 sheets, barcodes K000012327, K000012328], P [3 sheets, barcodes P00836869, P00836870, P00836871], S [Acc. No. S05-1560]).

Notes: Bentham (1850: 454) along with the description of *Faramea nitida* Benth., cited the material studied as “Prov. Ceará, Gardn. [Gardner] n. 1695, et ut videtur eadam Maranham, Gardn. [Gardner] n. 6037.”

Delprete (2010a: 409) designated as lectotype of this name a specimen of *Gardner 1695* at K. He followed Bentham’s citation as “*Gardner 1695*” but the original gathering of *Faramea nitida* studied by Bentham is *Gardner 1693* instead. According to Art. 9 of the *Code*, this is a correctable error. Also, Delprete cited one specimen of *Gardner 1693* (as “1695”) at K as lectotype, and another specimen with the same collection number at K, with the stamp “Herb. Hook.” as the isolectotype. At K there are three specimens of *Gardner 1693*, which are discussed below.

The K specimen with barcode K000015387, is composed of two flowering branches, with three labels, and is without any stamp indicating either Bentham’s or Hooker’s herbarium. The label at the bottom right corner has the handwritten number “1693” and the printed text “Gardner, Piahuay, Goyaz, &c., Coll. 1837-41, Brazil.” The label has the penciled annotation “1686. Cinchonaceae” beside it. Above the two labels, there is another handwritten label bearing “Ceará, Gardner 1693, Type, *Faramea nitida* Benth., Det. Zappi, 9/1998.”

The K specimen with barcode K000012328, is composed of two flowering branches, and the sheet bears the stamp “Herbarium Hookerianum, 1867.” The annotation “Brazil, Gardner 1839” is handwritten directly on the sheet (author unknown). At the lower right corner is affixed a label handwritten by Gardner saying “1693. Cinchonaceae sp. [...] a genus intermediate between *Ixora* and *Faramea*. A shrub about a foot high. Flowers pure white and highly odoriferous. [...] road near Crato. Oct. 1838.” This is the specimen cited by Delprete (2010a: 409) as the isolectotype (as “isótipo”) at K.

The K specimen with barcode K000012327, is composed of a single sterile branch, and the sheet bears the stamp “Herbarium Benthamianum, 1854.” This specimen has a label with the printed text “*Brasilia tropica*,

Gardner 1841.” The text “Prov. Piauhý” where “Piauhý” was stroked through and replaced by “Ceará” and “1693” handwritten in black ink.

As Delprete (2010a: 409) did not specify which specimen of *Gardner 1693* at K is the lectotype, his citation should be interpreted as a first-step lectotypification. The specimen with barcode K000015387 is here designated as the second-step lectotype of *Faramea nitida*.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 413:

“19-4. *Faramea occidentalis* (L.) A. Rich. in DC., Prodr. 4: 497. IX/1830 [reimpr. A. Rich., Mém. Fam. Rubiacées 96, t. 7, f. 2. XII/1830; reimpr. Mem. Soc. Hist. Nat. Paris 5: 176: 1834]. - *Ixora occidentalis* L., Syst. Nat., ed. 10, 2: 893. 1759. - *Coffea occidentalis* (L.) Jacq., Enum. Pl. Carib. 16. 1760; non *Coffea occidentalis* Vell. (1825, 1831), nom. illeg., nec *Faramea occidentalis* Muell. Arg. (1875), nom. illeg. Tipo: Jamaica, *P. Browne s.n.* (holótipo, BM-Linn; cf. Taylor, 1999b: 300).”

Accepted name: *Faramea occidentalis* (L.) A. Rich. ex DC.

Type: [icon] “*Pavetta? foliis oblongo-ovatis oppositis, stipulis setaceis petiolis interpositis*” in Browne, Civ. Nat. Hist. Jamaica, 142, tab. 6, fig. 2. 1756, lectotype (of *Ixora occidentalis* L.), designated by Howard in Fl. Lesser Antilles 6: 412 (1989).

Notes: Linnaeus (1759: 893) cited the material examined of *Ixora occidentalis* L. as “Plum. ic. 156. f. 2. Brown. jam. t. 6. f. 2.” Howard (1989: 412) designated the type of *I. occidentalis* L. as “Jamaica, Browne, Jam. t. 6, f. 2.” Taylor (1999: 300) cited the type of *I. occidentalis* L. as “Type: *P. Browne s.n.*; Jamaica (LINN holotype not seen)”. The lectotype designated by Howard (1989) is here followed. Browne’s Table 6 is reproduced on page 112 of Jarvis’ (2007) *Order Out of Chaos*.

20. **FERDINANDUSA** Pohl, Pl. Brasil. 2: 8. 1828 [“1831”].

Notes: A proposal for a binding decision on whether *Ferdinanda* (*Compositae*) and *Ferdinandea* (*Rubiaceae*) are sufficiently alike to be confused was submitted by Kirkbride et al. (2012). The Nomenclature Committee for Vascular Plants: 65 (Applequist, 2013) evaluated that proposal and decided that those two names are sufficiently alike to be confused, hence the name to be used for this genus continues to be *Ferdinandusa*.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 424:

“20-2. *Ferdinandusa elliptica* Pohl, Pl. Brasil. 2: 9, tab. 106. “1828” [1831]. Tipo: Brasil, Goiás, Rio dos Índios, s.d., *Pohl s.n.* (B*).”

Accepted name: *Ferdinandusa elliptica* Pohl

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: Rio dos Índios, s.d., *J.B.E. Pohl 2481* (W [2 sheets, Acc. Nos. W0000985, W0000986], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotype W [Acc. Nos. W0000984]).

Notes: Pohl (1828: 9–10) in the protologue of *Ferdinandusa elliptica* Pohl, cited a gathering collected by himself as “Habitat in uliginosis, ad Rio de Indios, Capitaniae Goyaz. Florentem legi mense Februario et Martio 1819.” He did not indicate any collection number. According to Stafleu and Cowan (1983: 315), Pohl’s herbarium and types are at W, with duplicates at LE and M, and additional specimens are at MICH, P, PR, PRC, and US.

At W there are three sheets of *Pohl 2481* with collection locality “Rio dos Indios”. Sheet W0000986 is annotated as “Bogen 1 von 2” [sheet 1 of 2], and sheet W0000985 is annotated as “Bogen 2 von 2” [sheet 2 of 2]. W0000984 is not annotated and is a separate preparation. Hence, W0000986 and W0000985 represent a single specimen mounted on two sheets, and W0000984 is a separate duplicate. Sheet with Acc. No. W0000985, has five loose leaves, and three inflorescence fragments. On the bottom right corner of the sheet is affixed a label which is a photocopy of the specimen Acc. No. W0000986. Sheet with Acc. No. W0000986, has two loose leaves, two loose inflorescences, and one dehisced capsule. On the bottom right corner of sheet W0000986 is affixed a label with the handwritten text “2481. Ferdinandusa elliptica Pohl. Rio dos Indios. Pohl.” Because sheets W0000986 and W0000985 are annotated as sheet 1 of 2 and sheet 2 of 2, respectively, and they are kept together, they are here treated as a single specimen with multiple preparations, which is here designated as the lectotype of *Ferdinandusa elliptica*.

The W sheet with Acc. No. W0000984, has three loose leaves, and three loose inflorescences, one of which has a few flower buds. On the bottom right corner of the sheet is affixed a label with the handwritten text “2481. Ferdinandusa elliptica Pohl. Rio dos Indios. Pohl.” Another label has the heading “Instituto de Botanica (SP)” and the handwritten text “Isolectotype of Ferdinandusa elliptica Pohl, Det. E.A. Anunciação, 05/09/2003.” The lectotypification by Anunciação is not valid, as is part of an unpublished doctoral thesis. Sheet

with Acc. No. W0000984 is an isolectotype of *Ferdinandusa elliptica*.

In M, there is a sheet, with barcode M-0026505, associated with the name *Ferdinandusa elliptica* and collected by Johann Emanuel Pohl (1782–1834) in Brazil. It has one branch with an inflorescence with the flowers fallen off, and one separate leaf. On the separate leaf is attached a small label with the handwritten number “3361”. On the bottom left corner of the sheet is a label with the heading “Herbarium Zuccarinii” and the handwritten annotations (author unknown) “Rubiaceae, Tocoyena? Legit in Brasilia Dr. Pohl” and “Communicavit M. C. Vindob. anno 1839.” Another label says “*Ferdinandusa elliptica* Pohl, Vgl. Pohl, Pl. Bras. II, t. 106. Determ. K. Suessenguth, München 1942.” This is a specimen of *F. elliptica* Pohl.

Synonym:

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 424:

“*Ferdinandusa ovalis* Pohl, Pl. Brasil. 2: 10, tab. 107. “1828” [1831]. Tipo: Brasil, Goiás, “ad Serra Manoel Gómez prope Capitaletm” [Serra Dourada? perto da cidade de Goiás], *Pohl 1630* (B*, isótipo NY).”

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: “ad Serra Manoel Gómez prope Capitaletm” [Serra Dourada? near the town of Goiás], Mar.–Apr. 1820, *J.B.E. Pohl 1630* (W [Acc. No. W0053551], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotypes NY [barcode 00131399], W [Acc. No. W0001012]).

Notes: Pohl (1828: 10–11) along with the description of *Ferdinandusa ovalis* Pohl, cited a gathering collected by himself as “Habitat in montosis, ad Serra de Manoel Gomez, prope Cidade de Goyaz, Capitaniae ejusdem nominis. Floret mense Martio et Aprili –1820.” He did not indicate any collection number. The mountain range near the town of Goiás, the first capital of the homonymous state, is today called Serra Dourada. In W there are two sheets of *Pohl 1630* with that collection locality. One W specimen, with Accession No. W0053551, consists of a branch with several leaves and a terminal inflorescence with flower buds. On the bottom right corner of the sheet is affixed a label with the handwritten text “1630. Ferdinandusa ovata Pohl. Brasilia. Pohl.” Another label has the heading “Instituto de Botanica (SP)” and the handwritten text “*Ferdinandusa elliptica* Pohl, Lectotype of *Ferdinandusa ovata* Pohl, Det. E.A. Anunçiação, 05/09/2003.” The proposed lectotypification by Anunçiação is not valid, as it is part of an unpublished doctoral thesis. This specimen is here designated as the lectotype of *Ferdinandusa ovalis*.

The other W specimen, with Accession No. W0001012, consists of a branch with several leaves (some of them detached) and a terminal inflorescence with the flowers fallen off. On the bottom right corner of the sheet is affixed a label with the handwritten annotation “1630. Ferdinandusa ovata Pohl. Serra de Manoel Gomez. Pohl.” This specimen is an isolectotype.

At NY, there is another sheet of *Pohl 1630*, with barcode 00131399, consisting of a branch with a few leaves and a terminal inflorescence. The specimen label has the heading “Herb. Musei Palat. Vindob.” and reports the locality as “Serra de Manoel Gomez.” This specimen is an isolectotype of *Ferdinandusa ovalis*.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 431:

“20-3. *Ferdinandusa speciosa* Pohl, Pl. Brasil. 2: 12, tab. 108. “1828” [1831]. Sintipos: Brasil, Minas Gerais: Serra de Spilon, s.d., *Pohl 650*, 2827 (B*, NY); Goiás: Casa de Telha e Santa Cruz, s.d., *Martius s.n.* (B*).”

Accepted name: *Ferdinandusa speciosa* Pohl

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais: “Serra de Spilon” [Serra dos Pilões], s.d., *J.B.E. Pohl Cat. no. 650*, 2827 (W [Acc. No. W0018440], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotypes, BR [barcode 000000530665], NY [barcode 00131400], W [2 sheets, Acc. No. W0053549, W0053550]).

Notes: Pohl (1828: 12–13) along with the description of *Ferdinandusa speciosa* Pohl, cited several gatherings as “Habitat in montosis uliginosis; in “Serra de Spilon” [sic! Serra des Pilons; “Serra dos Pilões”], Capitaniae Minas Geraës; ad Caza de Telha, et S. Cruz, Capitaniae Goyaz. Legi florentem mense Majo et Novembri 1818 et 1820.”

Schumann (1889: 207) cited the material examined of *Ferdinandusa speciosa* as “Habitat in montosis uliginosis ad Caza da Telha et S. Cruz: Martius, floret Novembri; ad Arrayas: Gardner n. 4167; inter urbem Goyaz et Cavalcante: Burchell n. 7381; in provincia Minas Geraës in Serra dos Pilooës: Pohl n. 2867” and commented on the latter locality as follows: “Cl. Pohl nomen loci natalis falso Serra de Pilons publici juris fecit. Icon et description Pohliana non plane cum natura congruit, quia lacinias corollas recurvatas et stigma bifidum pinxit descripsitque; fabrica tali modo, ut supra exposuimus, semper observatur.”

At W are present three sheets of *Pohl Cat. no. 650*, 2827. The first W sheet, Acc. No. W0018440, has a branch with several leaves and terminal inflorescences

with flower buds and flowers in anthesis. On the lower right corner is a label with the heading “Herb. Musei Palat. Vindob.” and the handwritten annotation “650, 2827, ad Serra de Spilon, Pohl.” The second W sheet, Acc. No. W0053549, has a branch with several leaves and terminal inflorescences with flower buds and flowers in anthesis. On the lower right corner of the sheet is affixed a label without heading and the handwritten annotation “650, 2827, ad Serra de Spilon, Pohl.” The third W sheet, Acc. No. W0053550, has a branch with several leaves and terminal inflorescences with flower buds and flowers in anthesis. On the lower right corner there is a label with the heading “Hrb. Musei Palat. Vindob.” and the handwritten annotation “2827, *Ferdinandusa speciosa* Pohl. Ad Serra de Spilon in desertis ad aquas, ad S. Cruz, Pohl.” The W specimen with Acc. No. W0018440 is the most complete and is here designated as the lectotype of *Ferdinandusa speciosa*.

In M, there is a specimen, with barcode M-0187463, consisting of a large branch with several inflorescences, flower buds and flowers in anthesis. On a lower branch of the specimen is attached a small label with the handwritten number “3083”. On the bottom left of the sheet is a label with the heading “Herbarium Zuccarinii” and the handwritten text (author unknown) “*Ferdinandusa elliptica* Pohl, Legit in Brasilia Dr. Pohl” and “Communicavit M. C. Vindob. anno 1839.” This specimen is possible original material of *Ferdinandusa speciosa* Pohl, as it was transferred from W to M in 1839.

Synonym:

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 431:

“*Ferdinandusa pubescens* Wedd., Ann. Sci. Nat. IV, 1: 78. 1854. - *Ferdinandusa speciosa* Pohl f. *pubescens* (Wedd.) Steyerl., Mem. New York Bot. Gard. 23: 285. 1972. Tipo: Brasil, Goiás, “inter urbes Patrocínio et Goyaz”, s.d., *Weddell* 2535 (holótipo, P).”

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais or Goiás: “inter urbes Patrocínio et Goyaz”, 1844, *H.A. Weddell* 2535 (P [barcode P01900520], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotypes P [2 sheets, barcodes P01900521, P01900522]).

Notes: Weddell (1854: 78) along with the description of *Ferdinandusa pubescens* Wedd. cited a gathering collected by himself as follows “In locis uliginosis sylvarum inter urbes Patrocínio et Goyaz Brasiliae mediae, Martio florentem ipse legi. (Cat. propr., n° 2535.)” At P there are three sheets of *Weddell* 2535. The first specimen, with barcode P01900520, consists of one branch with an inflorescence and several flowers in anthesis. The speci-

men label has the the heading “Herb. Mus. Paris.” with the annotation handwritten by Weddell “*Ferdinandusa pubescens* Wedd. Brésil central, M.A. Weddell. 1844. N° 2535.”

The second P specimen, with barcode P01900521, consists of one branch with an inflorescence bearing several flowers in anthesis and a label with the heading “Herb. Mus. Paris.” with the handwritten text “*Ferdinandusa pubescens* Wedd. (Weddell ser.)”, the printed text “BRÉSIL central. 1844. M.A. Weddell.” and the handwritten collection number “2535.”

The third P specimen, with barcode P01900522, consists of two branches with inflorescences and numerous flowers in anthesis. Its label is the same as that of P01900521. Because the specimen with barcode P01900520 has the label handwritten by Weddell, it is here designated the lectotype of *Ferdinandusa pubescens*.

21. **GALIANTHE** Griseb., Symb. Fl. Argent. 24: 157. 1879.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 445:

“21-1. *Galianthe angustifolia* (Cham. & Schltdl.) E.L. Cabral, Bol. Soc. Argent. Bot. 27: 239. “1991” [1992]. - *Borreria angustifolia* Cham. & Schltdl., Linnaea 3: 330. 1829. Tipo: Brasil, “in Brasilia aequinocialis”, *Sellow* 498 (holótipo, B*, foto em NY).”

Accepted name: *Galianthe angustifolia* (Cham. & Schltdl.) E.L.Cabral

Type: BRAZIL: “in Brasilia aequinocialis”, s.d., *F. Sellow* 498 (B†; F [ex B, Acc. No. 607102], **lectotype here designated**; photo-B (F0BN000871) in F, NY). - BRAZIL. Minas Gerais: Poço de Caldas, Cristo Redentor, 14 Jan. 1980, *A. Krapovickas & C.L. Cristóbal* 35308 (CTES [Acc. No. 64684, barcode CTES0013482], **epitype here designated**).

Notes: Chamisso and Schlechtendal (1829b: 330) cited the material studied of *Borreria angustifolia* Cham. & Schltdl. as “In Brasilia aequinocialis legit Sellow.” The material at B studied by Chamisso & Schlechtendal was destroyed during WWII. A photograph of the B specimen (F0BN000871) shows that it was a gathering of *Sellow* 498. Cabral (1991: 239) cited only the photograph of the B specimen for *Galianthe angustifolia* (Cham. & Schltdl.) E.L. Cabral.

At F there is a sheet, Accession No. 607102, with a fragment of *Sellow* 498 removed from the destroyed B specimen and a photograph of the B specimen (Neg.

No. 871). This specimen has two annotation labels “*Galianthe angustifolia* (Cham. et Schltld.) Cabral, Det: E. Cabral 1993” and “Isotype of: *Borreria angustifolia* Cham. & Schltld., *Linnaea* 3: 330. 1828.”

Cabral (2009: 31) designated the specimen of *Krapovickas & Cristóbal 35308* at SP as the neotype of *Borreria angustifolia*, and the duplicate at CTES as the isoneotype. However, there is no such specimen in SP (M.C. Mamede, SP Herbarium Curator, pers. comm.). Article 9.19(a) of the *Code* states that the first neotype designation must be followed, but it is superseded if any of the original material exists. Therefore, Cabral’s neotype designation based on the SP specimen is superseded by the F specimen, which is an isotype and is here designated the lectotype of *Borreria angustifolia*. The fragment of *Sellow 498* at F (Acc. No. 607102) has one node with axillary brachyblasts characteristic of this species. However, there are several species in *Galianthe* with axillary brachyblasts, and flower and fruits are necessary to identify with certainly the species in question. Therefore, it is necessary to designate an epitype to permanently fix the application of the name. The specimen *Krapovickas & Cristóbal 35308* at CTES (Acc. No. 64684, barcode CTES0013482; annotated by Cabral as “isotipo”), is composed of three branches with terminal inflorescences bearing flowers and fruits and is here designated the epitype of this name.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 448:

“21-2. *Galianthe centranthoides* (Cham. & Schltld.) E.L. Cabral, *Bol. Soc. Argent. Bot.* 27: 240. “1991” [1992]. - *Borreria centranthoides* Cham. & Schltld., *Linnaea* 3: 330. - *Spermacoce centranthoides* (Cham. & Schltld.) Kuntze, *Revis. Gen. pl.* 3: 123. 1898. Tipo: Brasil, “in Brasilia meridionali”, s.d., *Sellow s.n.* (holótipo B*; isótipo LE n.v.).”

Accepted name: *Galianthe centranthoides* (Cham. & Schltld.) E.L.Cabral

Type: SOUTHERN BRAZIL. “In Brasilia meridionali”, s.d., *F. Sellow s.n.* (B†; HBG [barcode HBG-521832], lectotype designated by Florentín et al. (2022: 31); isolectotypes G? n.v., HBG [barcode HBG-521833], LE n.v.; possible isolectotype K [barcode K000470274]).

Notes: Chamisso and Schlechtendal (1828: 328–329) cited the material studied of *Borreria centranthoides* Cham. & Schltld. as “In Brasilia meridionali pluries lectam misit Sellow.” In the following page, they described *B. centranthoides* var. β *angustifolia* Cham.

& Schltld. and cited the material studied as “In Brasilia aequinocalis legit Sellow. ζ .” According to Stafleu and Cowan (1976: 482; 1985: 190): “Chamisso’s own herbarium was also acquired by LE.” and Diederich Franz Leonard von Schlechtendal “Herbarium and types: HAL. Several of the types of his Berlin period were at B (mainly destroyed). – Plants for the botanical gardens of Berlin and Halle, and material from his herbarium (but collected by others) are e.g. at CAS, E, FI, G, L, M, MW, WAG.” The original material at B was destroyed during WWII.

Cabral (1992: 240) cited the type of *Borreria centranthoides* Cham. & Schltld. as “In Brasilia meridionali pluries lectam misit Sellow (isotipo LE!).” Later, Cabral (2009: 33) cited the type of this name as “Brasilia meridionali pluries lectam misit, 1829, *Sellow s.n.* (holotipo, HB no visto; isotipos, G!, LE!).” The code HB corresponds to the Herbarium Bradeanum in Rio de Janeiro. Cabral’s 2009 citation of the holotype specimen present in HB is erroneous, because in that institution there only exists a photograph of the destroyed B specimen.

In HBG there are two sheets, with barcodes HBG-521832 and HBG-521833, collected by Sellow, and annotated by Schlechtendal as “*Borreria centranthoides* N. β *angustifolia*, Brasilia.” These sheets are original material of this varietal name. Florentín et al. (2022: 31) designated the sheet with barcode HBG-521832 as the lectotype of *Borreria centranthoides*, and that with barcode HBG-521833 as an isolectotype.

At K there is a sheet with two different gatherings. The specimen on the right side of the sheet, with barcode K000470274, was annotated by Chamisso and is a possible isolectotype.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 451:

“21-3. *Galianthe fastigiata* Griseb., *Goett. Abh.* 24: 157. 1879. - *Borreria fastigiata* (Griseb.) K. Schum. in *Mart., Fl. Bras.* 6(6): 68. 1888. - *Spermacoce fastigiata* (Griseb.) Niederl., *Bol. Mens. Mus. Prod. Argent.* 3(31): 306. 1890. - *Spermacoce fastigiata* (Griseb.) Kuntze, *Rev. Gen.* 3(2): 123. 1898. Tipo: Argentina, Entre Ríos, Palmar Grande, 3/II/1876, *P.L. Lorentz 803* (holótipo, GOET; isótipo, CORD n.v.).”

Accepted name: *Galianthe fastigiata* Griseb.

Type: ARGENTINA. Entre Ríos: Palmar Grande, 3 Feb. 1876, *P.L. Lorentz 803* (GOET [barcode GOET010265] lectotype designated by Florentín et al. (2022: 32); isolectotypes, CORD [2 sheets, barcodes CORD00006245, CORD00006246], GOET [barcode GOET010266]).

Notes: Grisebach (1879: 157) cited the material studied of *Galianthe fastigiata* Griseb. as “E: Palmar grande.” Cabral (1992 [“1991”]: 243) cited the type of this name as “E: Palmar grande. Argentina, Entre Ríos, 3-II-1876, Lorentz 803 (isotipo CORD!).” Then, Cabral (2009: 37) cited the type of this name as “Tipo: Argentina. Entre Ríos: Palmar grande, 3 feb. 1876, P.G. Lorentz 803 (holotipo, HB no visto; isotipo, CORD!).” Cabral’s 2009 citation of the holotype specimen present in HB is erroneous, as in that institution, there only exists a photograph of the GOET specimen.

Delprete (2010a: 451) wrote that the holotype of *Galianthe fastigiata* is at GOET. In that institution there are two sheets of Lorentz 803 annotated by Grisebach, with barcodes GOET010265 and GOET010266, which, according to the *Code*, could be treated as a single specimen with multiple preparations. Florentín et al. (2022: 31) designated the sheet with barcode GOET010265 as the lectotype, and that with barcode GOET010266 as an isolectotype.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 455:

“21-4. *Galianthe grandifolia* E.L. Cabral, Bonplandia 7: 14, fig. 6. 1993. Tipo: Brasil, Minas Gerais, Rio Bicudo, ca. 20 km W of Corinto, 325 m, 3/III/1970, Irwin et al. 26820 (holótipo, RB; isotipo NY).”

Accepted name: *Galianthe grandifolia* E.L.Cabral

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais: Rio Bicudo, ca. 20 km W of Corinto, 525 m, 3 Mar. 1970, H.S. Irwin, S.F. da Fonseca, R. Souza, R. Reis dos Santos & J. Ramos 26820 (holotype, RB [Acc. No. RB152436, barcode 00543587]; isotypes, F [Acc. No. 1726934], IAN [barcode IAN138309], NY [barcode 00131414], UB [barcode UB0040310], US [barcode 00406425]).

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 465:

“21-5. *Galianthe lanceifolia* E. L. Cabral, Bol. Soc. Argent. Bot. 29: 227, fig. 2. 1993. Tipo: Brasil, Mato Grosso, 1 km da BR-364, caminho a Águas Quentes, em cerrado, solo cascalhento, 30/I/1989, A. Krapovickas & C. Cristóbal 43155 (holótipo, MBM; isotipo, CTES n.v.).”

Accepted name: *Galianthe lanceifolia* E.L.Cabral

Type: BRAZIL. Mato Grosso: 1 km da BR-364, caminho a Águas Quentes, em cerrado, solo cascalhento, 30 Jan. 1989, A. Krapovickas & C. Cri-

stóbal 43155 (holotype, MBM [barcode MBM 280276 (annotated as isotype)]; isotypes, CTES [2 sheets, barcodes CTES0013574, CTES0013575], SI [barcode 003186]).

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 467:

“21-6. *Galianthe laxa* (Cham. & Schltdl.) E.L. Cabral, Bol. Soc. Argent. Bot. 27: 244. “1991” [1992]. - *Borreria laxa* Cham. & Schltdl., Linnaea 3: 337. 1828. - *Spermacoce laxa* (Cham. & Schltdl.) Kuntze, Revis. Gen. Pl. 3(2): 123. 1898. Tipo: Brasil meridional, localidade não indicada, s.d., Sellow 1019 (holótipo, B, destruído, foto em F, NY, US).”

Accepted name: *Galianthe laxa* (Cham. & Schltdl.) E.L.Cabral

Type: SOUTHERN BRAZIL: “In Brasilia meridionali”, without locality, s.d., F. Sellow s.n. [1019?] (B†; HAL [barcode HAL0098355 (without collection number)], lectotype designated by Florentín et al. (2022: 32); photo-B (F0BN000880) in F, NY, US).

Notes: Chamisso and Schlechtendal (1828: 337) cited the material studied of *Borreria laxa* Cham. & Schltdl. as “In Brasilia meridionali lectam transmisit Sellowius.” The original material at B was destroyed during WWII. Cabral (1992: 244) cited the type of this name as “In Brasilia meridionali lectam transmisit Sellowius” Fot. F880 (B!).” Then, Cabral (2009: 53) cited the type of *B. laxa* as “In Brasilia meridionali lectam transmisit, Sellow s.n. (holotipo, B! foto F 880!).”

The holotype specimen at B was photographed by James Francis Macbride (1892–1976) and was destroyed during WWII. In the photograph it is possible see the handwritten annotation “*Borreria laxa* N.”, the specimen label with the heading “Herb. Reg. Berolinense”, the handwritten annotation “*Borreria laxa* Cham. & Schlecht.” and the collection number “1019”.

In HAL there is a specimen, barcode HAL0098355, with a handwritten label with the annotation “*Borreria laxa* N., Sellow. Brasilia meridionalis” and the stamp “scripsit: D.F.L. v. Schlechtendal”. That specimen, which does not have Sellow’s collection number, was designated as the lectotype of *Borreria laxa* by Florentín et al. (2022: 32).

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 473:

“21-7. *Galianthe liliifolia* (Standl.) E.L. Cabral, Bol. Soc. Argent. Bot. 27: 245. “1991” [1992]. - *Borreria liliifolia*

Standl., Publ. Field Columbian Mus., Bot. Ser. 8: 392. 1931. Tipo: Brasil, São Paulo, Ypiranga, 31/XII/1911, *Brade 5266* (holótipo S, isótipo SP).”

Accepted name: *Galianthe liliifolia* (Standl.) E.L.Cabral

Type: BRAZIL. São Paulo: Ypiranga, 31 Dec. 1911, A.C. *Brade 5266* (holotype S [Acc. No. S05-1643], isotype SP [not there!]; isotype fragment F [ex S, Acc. No. 638797]).

Notes: Standley (1931: 392) along with the description of *Borreria liliifolia* Standl. cited the holotype specimen as “Brazil. Ypiranga, State of São Paulo, December 31, 1911, Alex. Curt Brade (Herb. Stockholm, type).” Cabral (2009: 41) cited the type of *B. liliifolia* as “Tipo: Brasil. São Paulo: Ipiranga, 31 dic. 1911, *Alex Brade 5266* (holotipo S!, isotipos, F!, SP!).” However, there is no specimen of *Brade 5266* at SP (M.C. Mamede, SP Herbarium Curator, pers. comm.).

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 475:

“21-8. *Galianthe longisepala* E.L. Cabral, Bonplandia 13: 15. 2004. Tipo: Brasil, Goiás, Mun. Cristalina, Serra dos Cristais, 2 km de Cristalina, 1250 m, 2/III/1966, H.S. Irwin, J.W. Grear Jr., R. Souza & R.R. dos Santos 13307 (holótipo, UB; isótipos, F n.v., MO, NY, RB, US).”

Accepted name: *Galianthe longisepala* E.L.Cabral

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: Mun. Cristalina, Serra dos Cristais, 2 km de Cristalina, 1250 m, 2 Mar. 1966, H.S. Irwin, J.W. Grear Jr., R. Souza & R.R. dos Santos 13307 (holotype, UB [barcode UB0040419]; isotypes, F [not there!], MO [not there!], NY [not there!], RB [not there!], US [barcode 01106441]).

Notes: Cabral (2004: 15) described *Galianthe longisepala* E.L. Cabral, and cited the holotype at UB and the isotypes at “F!, MO!, NY!, RB!, US!” However, there is no duplicate of *Irwin et al. 13307* in F, MO, NY and RB, as confirmed by the herbarium curators of those institutions.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 478:

“21-9. *Galianthe macedoi* E. L. Cabral, Bonplandia 10: 121, fig. 2. 2000. Tipo: Brasil, Goiás, Mun. Jataí, Fazenda Queixada, 10/XII/1948 (fl, fr), A. *Macedo 1468* (holótipo, SP; isótipos, CTES n.v., F n.v., IAC n.v., NY, SP).”

Accepted name: *Galianthe macedoi* E.L.Cabral

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: Mun. Jataí, Fazenda Queixada, 10 Dec. 1948 (fl, fr), A. *Macedo 1468* (holotype, SP [barcode SP001549]; isotypes, CTES [barcode CTES0013531], F [not there!], IAC n.v. [not traced], NY n.v. [not traced], US [barcode 01106440]).

Notes: Cabral (2009: 43) cited the type of *Galianthe macedoi* E. L. Cabral as “Tipo: Brasil. Goiás: Jataí, Faz. Queixada, 10 dic. 1948, A. *Macedo 1468* (holótipo, SP!; isótipos, CTES!, F!, IAC 28270!, NY!, SP!).” There is no duplicate of *Macedo 1468* in F (Cristine Niezgoda, F Herbarium Curator, pers. comm., 22 Jun. 2022). Also, in SP, there is only one specimen of *Macedo 1468* (Maria Candida Mamede, SP Herbarium Curator, pers. comm. of 25 Jun. 2022), with barcode SP001549, which is the holotype of this name.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 481:

“21-10. *Galianthe peruviana* (Pers.) E.L. Cabral, Bonplandia 10: 121. 2000. - *Spermacoce peruviana* Pers., Syn. Pl. 1: 124. 1805 (*nom. nov.* para *Spermacoce corymbosa* Ruiz & Pav.) - *Spermacoce corymbosa* Ruiz & Pav., *nom. illeg.*, Fl. peruv. 1: 60, tab. 91, fig. a. 1798. - non *Spermacoce corymbosa* L., Sp. Pl. ed. 2, 1: 149. 1762. - *Borreria peruviana* (Pers.) L.B. Sm. & Downs, Sellowia 7: 78. 1956. Tipo: Peru, “in montibus ad Muna vicum, in ruderatis et runcationibus Cormilla et Rinconada”, s.d., Ruiz & Pavón s.n. (holótipo, MA; isótipos, B-Willd., F; foto-B em NY).”

Accepted name: *Galianthe peruviana* (Pers.) E.L.Cabral

Type: PERU: “in montibus ad Muña vicum, in ruderatis et runcationibus Cormillâ et Rinconadâ”, s.d., H. Ruiz López & J.A. Pavón y Jiménez s.n. (MA [barcode MA 815644], **lectotype here designated**; isolecototypes MA [barcode MA 817204], drawings MA AJB04-D-0198_001 and MA AJB04-D-01989_001; possible isolecototypes B-W [barcode B -W 02615 -00 0], BR [barcode 000000557825], F [Acc. No. 844771]).

Notes: Ruiz and Pavón (1798: 60) described the collection localities of *Spermacoce corymbosa* Ruiz & Pav., *nom. illeg.* (non *S. corymbosa* L. (1762: 149)), as “Habitat in Peruviae montibus ad Muña vicum, in ruderatis et runcationibus Cormillâ et Rinconadâ.” Cabral (2009: 45) cited the type of *Spermacoce peruviana* Pers., a replace-

ment name for *Spermacoce corymbosa* Ruiz & Pav., as “Perú. In peruviae montibus ad Muña vicum, in rud-eratis et runcationibus Cormillâ et Rinconadâ”, *Ruiz & Pavón s.n.* (holotipo, MA; isotipos, B-W!, F!).” At MA, there are two specimens, two drawings, and a published illustration that are original material of *S. corymbosa* Ruiz & Pav. (Art. 9.4; Turland et al., 2018), which are described below.

The MA specimen with barcode MA817204 consists of three branches with terminal inflorescences. On the sheet are affixed two labels. A label with the printed text “Ex antiquo herbario generali, Herbarium Horti Botanici Matritensis, Plantae a “Ruiz et Pavón” in vice-regno Peruviano et Chilensi lectae” and the typewritten text “*Borreria corymbosa* (R & P) DC.” The other label is entirely handwritten (author unknown) and says “*Spermacoce corymbosa* Fl. Peruv. f. 7a 9f faa – Ex Herbario Fl. Peruv. anno 1828.”

The MA specimen with barcode MA815644 consists of a complete plant with a basal taproot and numerous branches and inflorescences. On the sheet are affixed two labels. A label with the heading “Herbarium Peruvianum, Ruiz et Pavon”, the handwritten number “1200”, and the handwritten annotation “*Borreria corymbosa* (R. et P.) DC., det. K. Krause, XI/31.” The other label has the annotation “*Spermacoce corymbosa* Sp. Pl. T. 1. Per. de Muña y Cuchero” handwritten by Hipólito Ruiz.

The drawing of *Spermacoce corymbosa* Ruiz & Pav. by José Brunete is one of the original drawings from Ruiz & Pavón’s Expedition (1777–1816). This drawing has the name “*Spermacoce corymbosa*” written on the bottom of the sheet and the heading “XCI”. In the drawing is depicted a plant with a central taproot and a ramified stem with several branches and terminal inflorescences. On the same sheet with the drawing, there is a label stating “REAL JARDÍN BOTÁNICO, CSIC, Real Expedición Botánica al Virreinato del Perú, AJB04-D-0198_001.”

In the drawing by Isidro Gálvez, are depicted two *Spermacoce* species. This is another original drawing from Ruiz & Pavón’s Expedition (1777–1816). On the left side of the drawing is depicted the distal portion of a *S. corymbosa* plant with terminal inflorescences. This drawing also has the heading “XCI”. On the same sheet where the drawing is mounted, there is a label with the printed text “REAL JARDÍN BOTÁNICO, CSIC, Real Expedición Botánica al Virreinato del Perú, AJB04-D-01989_001.”

All specimens and drawings described above represent excellent original material of *Spermacoce corymbosa*. Because specimen with barcode MA815644 has a label has with the annotation “*Spermacoce corymbosa*

Sp. Pl. T. 1. Per. de Muña y Cuchero” handwritten by Hipólito Ruiz, it is here designated as the lectotype for this illegitimate name.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 484:

“21-11. *Galianthe ramosa* E. L. Cabral, Bol. Soc. Argent. Bot. 29: 225, fig. 1. 1993. Tipo: Brasil, Goiás, BR-040, 12 S de Luziânia, 1000 m, 1/II/1990 (fl, fr), *M. M. Arbo*, *R. Monteiro*, *A. Schinini* & *A. Furlan* 3366 (holotipo, HRCB; isotipo, CTES n.v.).”

Accepted name: *Galianthe ramosa* E.L.Cabral

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: BR-040, 12 km S de Luziânia, 1000 m, 1 Feb. 1990 (fl, fr), *M.M. Arbo*, *R. Monteiro*, *A. Schinini* & *A. Furlan* 3366 (holotype, HRCB [Acc. No. 12756]; isotype, CTES [barcode CTES0013533]).

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 491:

“21-12. *Galianthe verbenoides* (Cham. & Schltld.) Griseb., Symb. Fl. Argent. 24: 157. 1879. - *Borreria verbenoides* Cham. & Schltld. forma *prima* Cham. & Schltld., Linnaea 3: 331. 1828. - *Spermacoce verbenoides* (Cham. & Schltld.) Niederl., Bol. Mens. Mus. Prod. Argent. 3(31): 306. 1890. - *non Galianthe verbenoides* (Cham. & Schltld.) Griseb., Symb. Fl. Argent. 24: 157. 1879. - *Spermacoce verbenoides* (Cham. & Schltld.) Kuntze, *comb. superfl.*, Rev. Gen. 3: 123. 1898. - *Spermacoce verbenoides* (Cham. & Schltld.) Herter, *comb. superfl.*, Rev. Sudamer. Bot. 4: 196. 1937. Tipo: “Brasilia meridionali”, *Sellow s.n.* (holotipo B, destruído, isotipo LE, n.v. foto em F).”

Accepted name: *Galianthe verbenoides* (Cham. & Schltld.) Griseb.

Type: SOUTHERN BRAZIL: “Brasilia meridionali”, s.d., *F. Sellow s.n.* (B†; LE n.v., lectotype designated by Cabral (2009: 56); isolectotypes E [barcode E00505292], HAL [2 sheets, barcodes HAL0098356 & HAL0098356 (both det. Schlechtendal)], HBG [barcode HBG-521820 (det. Schlechtendal)]; isolectotype fragment F [(ex B) Acc. No. 605931]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Borreria verbenoides* Cham. & Schltld., Chamisso and Schlechtendal (1828) cited the studied material as “In Brasilia meridionali legit *Sellow*.” Cabral (1992 [“1991”]) cited the type of this name as “In Brasilia meridionali, legit *Sellow*”, isotipo LE! Foto F 890 (B!).” Cabral (2009: 56) designated the type of this name as “TIPO: Brasil. In Brasilia meridionali legit *Sellow* (lectotipo, designado aquí, LE!).”

Borreria verbenooides Cham. & Schltld. forma *prima* Cham. & Schltld. is not a name. It is only the first of the five forms listed by Chamisso and Schlechtendal (1828). See Art. 24.2, Art. 23.6(b) Ex. 15–18 (Turland et al., 2018).

22. **GALIUM** L., Sp. pl. ed. 1, 105. 1753.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 501:

“22-1. *Galium hypocarpium* (L.) Endl. ex Griseb., Fl. Brit. W. I. 4: 351. 1861. *Valantia hypocarpia* L., Syst. Nat. ed. 10: 1307. 1759. - *Rubia hypocarpia* (L.) DC., Prodr. 4: 591. 1830. - *Relbunium hypocarpium* (L.) Hemsl., Biol. Centr.-Amer., Bot. 2: 63. 1881. - Tipo: Jamaica, middle mountain of Liguane, s.d., *P. Browne 141* (holótipo, BM-LINN, microfiche em UC).”

Accepted name: *Galium hypocarpium* (L.) Endl. ex Griseb.

Type: [protologue]: “Jamaica, middle mountain of Liguane”, s.d., *P. Browne s.n.* (LINN Herb. Linn. No. 1219.13, lectotype designated by Dempster (1990: 306)).

Notes: In the protologue of *Valantia hypocarpia* L., Linnaeus (1759: 1307) made reference to page 141 of Patrick Browne’s (1756) *Civil and Natural History of Jamaica*. On that page, Browne wrote “SPERMACOCE 5. *Scandens, foliis oblongis venis arcuatis refertis, floribus paucioribus confliptatis ad alas.*” Browne reported the collection locality as “I found this plant in the middle mountains of Liguane; it is very weakly, grows in tufts, and seldom rises above two or three feet from the root.” According to Stafleu and Cowan (1976: 371), “Browne sold his Jamaican herbarium to Linnaeus in 1758 through Collison. It is now at LINN.”

Ehrendorfer (1955: 536.) stated that “*Valantia hypocarpia* L. is based on *Rubia* I. of Browne, P.: Hist. Jamaic. 141 (1756) where this locality is cited; it will probably be necessary to choose a neotype from Jamaica because there is no specimen in the Linnean Herbarium.”

Dempster (1990: 306) cited the type of *Valantia hypocarpia* as “Jamaica, middle mountain of Liguane, s.d., *P. Browne 141* (LINN holotype, not seen, microfiche in UC).” However, “141” is not a collection number, but is the page with Browne’s short description of “RUBIA I. Subhirsuta scandens...” Dempster’s type citation according to the *Code* is an inadvertent lectotypification on the LINN specimen. In LINN, there is a specimen, with Linnaeus Herbarium No. 1219.13 and the name “*Valantia*

hypocarpia” handwritten by Linnaeus. On the bottom of the sheet, it is written “*Rubia subhirsuta scandens* ... Brown. Jam. 141. This specimen is the lectotype of this name, as designated by Dempster.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 508:

“22-2. *Galium megapotamicum* Spreng., Syst. Veg. 4: 39. 1827. - *Relbunium megapotamicum* (Spreng.) Ehrend., Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 76: 544. 1955. Tipo: Brasil, “Rio Grande” [Rio Grande do Sul], s.d., *Sellow s.n.* (holótipo B, destruído).”

Accepted name: *Galium megapotamicum* Spreng.

Correct bibliographic citation: *Galium megapotamicum* Spreng., Syst. Veg., ed. 16, 4 (2, Cur. Post.): 39. 1827.

Type: BRAZIL. Rio Grande do Sul: “Rio Grande” s.d., *F. Sellow s.n.* (K [barcode K000470356], **lectotype here designated**).

Notes: Sprengel (1827: 39) cited the material studied of *Galium megapotamicum* Spreng. as “*Rio Grande*. Sello.” The original material of *G. megapotamicum* at B, where Sprengel worked, was destroyed during WWII. Searching for possible original material, a sheet at K has two specimens mounted on it, with different collectors and collection localities. The specimen on the right side of the sheet, with barcode K000470357, has the note handwritten directly on the sheet “Minas Gerais, Claussen”, and therefore, is not original material. The specimen on the left side of the sheet, with barcode K000470356, has the following handwritten label “*Galium hirtum* Linn.! – *G. megapotamicum* Spr.! – Sellow, Brasilia”; this specimen is here designated as the lectotype of *G. megapotamicum*.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 511:

“22-3. *Galium noxium* (A. St. Hil.) Dempster, Allertonia 5(3): 292. 1990. - *Rubia noxia* A. St. Hil., Hist. Pl. Remarq. Brésil 229. 1824. - *Relbunium noxium* (A. St. Hil.) K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 110. 1888. Tipo: Brasil, Minas Gerais, s.d., *A. Saint-Hilaire 556* (holótipo, P).”

Accepted name: *Galium noxium* (A.St.Hil.) Dempster

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais: “Bois à Itapuera paroisse de St Miguel de Mato Dentro”, s.d., *A. Saint-*

Hilaire 556 (first-step lectotype designated by Dempster (1990: 292); P [barcode P00723673], **second-step lectotype here designated**).

Note: Auguste de Saint-Hilaire (1824: 229) cited the collection locality of the material studied of *Rubia noxia* A. St. Hil. as “Crescit in sylvis primaevae provinciae Minas Gerais. Floret Februario-Martio” and did not cite the collection number. Dempster (1990: 292) cited the type of *R. noxia* as “Brazil: Minas Gerais, St. Hilaire 556 (B holotype, destroyed, photos at F, GH).” It is unknown to me why she cited as holotype a specimen at B that was destroyed during WWII, as she certainly knew that Saint-Hilaire’s original material is at P. It is possible that her citation is a typographical error, as she wrote “B” but she probably intended to write “P”. However, this seems to be unlikely because, as she stated that it was destroyed, which indicates that she intended to write that the specimen was at B. Therefore, Dempster’s citation can only be interpreted as a first-step inadvertent lectotypification because she cited *Saint-Hilaire 556* as type.

Auguste de Saint-Hilaire worked at P, hence this is the institution where his original specimens should be found. Delprete (2010a: 511) cited as holotype a specimen of *Saint Hilaire 556* at P. Such citation cannot be interpreted as a second-step lectotypification because after 2001 it should have been accompanied by “here designated” or a similar expression. At P there are several original specimens of *Rubia noxia* collected by A. Saint-Hilaire in Brazil, and only one of them has collection number 556. That specimen, with barcode P00723673, consists of several branches with flowers and fruits. On the bottom left corner of the sheet is affixed a label with the annotation “*Rubia noxia* Aug. de St Hil. – Bois à Itapuera paroisse de St Miguel de Mato Dentro (A. de St. Hilaire Script.)” handwritten by Saint-Hilaire. On the upper left corner of the sheet there is a label with the heading “Herbarium Musei Parisiensis” and the penciled annotation “Type = St. Hilaire 556, n. date, Minas Gerais.” That specimen is here designated the second-step lectotype of *Rubia noxia*.

23. **GARDENIA** J. Ellis, *nom. cons.*, Philos. Trans. 51: 935, pl. 23. 1761.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 519:

“23-1. ***Gardenia augusta*** (L.) Merrill, Int. Herb. Amb. 485. 1917. - *Varneria augusta* L., Amoen. Acad. 4: 136. 1759. Tipo: Cultivada no jardim do Sr. Richard Warner, em Woodfoot Race (Perto de Londres), trazida pelo

Capitão Hutchinson desde o Cabo de Boa Esperança [daí a origem do nome comum da planta] (holótipo, BM-LINN).”

Accepted name: ***Gardenia jasminoides*** J. Ellis, Philos. Trans. 51: 935, pl. 23. 1761.

Correct citation: ***Gardenia augusta*** (L.) E.D. Merrill, *nom. illeg. superfl.*, Int. Herb. Amb. 485. 1917. - *Varneria augusta* L., *nom. illeg.*, Amoen. Acad. 4: 136. 1759.

Type: [icon]: Rumphius, Herb. Amboin. 7: 26, tab. 14, fig. 2, “Catsjopiri”. 1755, lectotype designated by Smith in Amer. J. Bot. 61: 113. 1974.

Notes: Jarvis (2007: 914), regarding *Varneria augusta* L., presented the following explanation “This name is invalid because the genus *Varneria* was given no separate generic description. Under Art. 42.1 [now Art. 38.5 (Turland et al. 2018)], the names of a genus and a species may not be simultaneously validated by a reference to an earlier description or diagnosis, as occurred here. However, some authors (e.g. Merrill 1917: 486) have treated this as the basionym of *G. [Gardenia] augusta* (L.) Merrill, wrongly interpreted as the correct name for the species otherwise known as *G. jasminoides* J. Ellis (1761).”

24. **GENIPA** L., Syst. Nat. ed. 10, 2: 931. 1759.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 524:

“24-1. ***Genipa americana*** L., Syst. Nat. ed. 10, 2: 931. 1759. Tipo: Brasil, Pernambuco, Rio São Francisco, “Mboacica” [provavelmente Boa Cica], J. Marcgrave, Hist. Nat. Bras. 92. 1642 (lectótipo, designado por Howard, 1989).”

Accepted name: ***Genipa americana*** L.

Type: [icon] “*Ianipaba Brasiliensibus, seu ut Lusitani efferunt Ienipapo: figura convenit Fago*”, Piso & Marcggraf, Hist. Rer. Nat. Bras., p. 92. 1648, lectotype designated by Howard in Fl. Lesser Antilles 6: 413. 1989.

Notes: The lectotype designated by Howard (1989) is here followed. Piso and Marcggraf’s table of “*Ianipaba Brasiliensibus...*” is reproduced on page 148 of Jarvis’ (2007) *Order Out of Chaos*.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 531:

“24-2. *Genipa spruceana* Steyererm., Mem. New York Bot. Gard. 23: 353. 1972. Tipo: Brasil, Amapá, Lago Cujumbim, 10/VIII/1962 (fl, fr), *J. Murça Pires & P. Cavalcante 52451* (holótipo, NY; isótipo, K n.v.)”

Accepted name: *Genipa spruceana* Steyererm.

Type: BRAZIL. Amapá: Lago Cujumbim, 10 Aug. 1962 (fl, fr), *J. Murça Pires & P. Cavalcante 52451* (holotype, NY [without barcode (on loan to GB)]; isotypes, COL [COL000004605], K [2 sheets, barcodes K000424492, K000424488], US [barcode 00138106]).

25. **GEOPHILA** D. Don, Prodr. Fl. Nepal 136. 1825, *nom. cons.*

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 536:

“25-1. *Geophila repens* (L.) I. M. Johnst., Sargentia 8: 281. 1949. - *Rondeletia repens* L., Syst. 928. 1759. - *Carinata repens* (L.) Smith & Downs, Sellowia 7: 65, fig. 22 g-j. 1956. - Tipo: Jamaica (Violae folio baccifera repens, flore albo pentapetaloides, fructu dispermo) Sloane, Jam. Cat. 115. 1696 and Hist. 1: 243, n. 42 (BM-LINN).”

Accepted name: *Geophila repens* (L.) I.M. Johnst.

Type: Sloane Herb. vol. 4: 111 (BM [barcode 000589966]), lectotype designated by Howard in Fl. Lesser Antilles 6: 416. 1989).

26. **GONZALAGUNIA** Ruiz & Pav., Prodr. 12. 1794.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 542:

“26-1. *Gonzalagunia dicocca* Cham. & Schltdl., Linnaea 4: 194. 1829. - *Gonzalea dicocca* (Cham. & Schltdl.) Steud., Nom. ed. 2, 1: 701. 1840. - *Gonzalagunia hirsuta* (Jacq.) K. Schum. var. *dicocca* (Cham. & Schltdl.) K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 292, t. 131. 1889. Tipo: Brasil, Rio de Janeiro, *Sellow s.n.* (holótipo B, destruído).”

Accepted name: *Gonzalagunia dicocca* Cham. & Schltdl.

Type: BRAZIL. Rio de Janeiro: s.d., *F. Sellow s.n.* (K [barcode K000424262], **neotype here designated**).

Notes: In the protologue of *Gonzalagunia dicocca* Cham. & Schltdl., Chamisso and Schlechtendal (1829b:

194) cited the material studied as “E Brasilia aequinotialis misit Sellow; in vicinis urbis Rio de Janeiro lectam.” The original material at B was destroyed during WWII, and apparently there is no extant specimen in any herbarium that have been studied by the original authors. There is a specimen at K, barcode K000424262, which has been annotated by Bertil Ståhl as possible original material of this name. On the sheet it is handwritten “Sello. Brazil” in ink, and “Gonzalea” in pencil. That specimen is here designated as the neotype of *G. dicocca*.

27. **GUETTARDA** L., Sp. Pl. 991. 1773.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 548:

“27-1. *Guettarda burchelliana* Muell. Arg., Flora 58: 450, 456. 1875. - Sintipos: Goiás, *Burchell 6453* (G n.v.); Minas Gerais, Uberaba, *Regnell 103* (G n.v.)”

Accepted name: *Guettarda pohliana* Müll. Arg.

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais: Uberaba, 18 Sep. 1848, *A.F. Regnell III.103* (BR [barcode 000000530542], **lectotype here designated**; isolecotypes BR [barcode 000000552316], G [barcode G00413560], R [barcode R000140146], S [Acc. No. S10-27705], S [Acc. No. S10-27713]; isolecotype fragments F [(ex C) Acc. Nos. 656026, 606865]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Guettarda burchelliana* Müll. Arg., Müller Argoviensis (1875: 450, 456) cited two gatherings as “Goyaz: Burchell n. 6453, [Minas Gerais] Uberaba: Regnell n. 103.” The gathering that has duplicates in more herbaria is *Regnell III.103*, which are described and discussed below.

A specimen at BR, with barcode 000000530542, has three labels. One label has the annotation “III.103. Ad Uberaba. In Minas Gerais, Brasiliae. Leg. Novbr “Arnhequer” [?], Incolis: veludo do vermelho [vernacular name: red velvet, referring to the texture and color of the fruits]” with the stamp “Herb. Hort. Bruxell. Coll. Martii.” Another label has the printed text “Brasiliae prov. Minarum ad Uberaba (with “Caldas” stroke through), Communic. Andr. Frid. Regnell 1867, sub No. III.103” and has the stamps “Herb. Hort. Bruxell. Coll. Martii” and “Mueller d’Argovie determ.” A third label has the handwritten annotation “Guettarda burchelliana Müll. Arg.” The specimen consists of a ramified branch with several leaves and several inflorescences, and a smaller branch with several inflorescences. This specimen, with the stamp confirming that was examined by Müller

Argoviensis, is here designated the lectotype of *Guettarda burchelliana*.

A sheet at F with Accession No. 656026, has an envelope with the typewritten annotation “Guettarda Burchelliana Müll. Brazil: Uberaba, Minas Geraes, A. F. Regnell III.103, Sept. 1848, Ex. hb. Copenh.” In the envelope are included one leaf and one inflorescence. This specimen is an isoelectotype of *Guettarda burchelliana*.

A second sheet at F, with Accession No. 606865, has an envelope with the handwritten annotation “Guettarda Burchelliana Müll. Arg. α nitens M. Arg., Uberaba, Minas Geraes, Brazil, Regnell 103.” In the envelope are included two leaves and a few young flower buds. This specimen is an isoelectotype of *Guettarda burchelliana*.

The S specimen with Accession No. S10-27705, has a label with the heading “Ex herb. Brasil. Regnellian. Musei bot. Stockholm” and the annotation “No. III 103, Guettarda, Prov. Minas Geraes, Uberaba, 18/9/48. A.F. Regnell.” The specimen consists of a ramified branch with many leaves and numerous inflorescences. It was annotated as *Guettarda pohliana* by M.R. Barbosa in 2010 and is an isoelectotype of *Guettarda burchelliana*.

The second S specimen, with Accession No. S10-27713, has a label with the heading “Mus. Bot. Holm. Herb. Brasil. Regnelli” and the annotation “No. III 103, Guettarda Burchelliana Müll. (Velludo do vermelho incolar.), Prov. Minas Geraes, Uberaba, 18/9/48. R. [Regnell]” The specimen is constituted by a branch with many leaves and numerous inflorescences. The sheet was annotated by M.R. Barbosa in 2010 as *Guettarda pohliana*, and is an isoelectotype of *Guettarda burchelliana*.

A specimen in R, with barcode R000140146, has a label with the heading “Ex herb. Brasil. Regnellian. Musei bot. Stockholm” and the annotation “No. III 103, Guettarda, Prov. Minas Geraes, Uberaba, 18/9/48. A.F. Regnell.” The specimen consists of several branches and inflorescence with flowers in anthesis and flower buds. This specimen is an isoelectotype of *Guettarda burchelliana*.

In G there is a sheet with a small envelope with the annotation “Guettarda Burchelliana Müll. Arg. α nitens, Goyaz: Burch. n. 6453. Ramus major: Uberaba: Regnell 103” handwritten by Müller Argoviensis. That envelope originally contained two collections. The portion with a branch with flowers, *Regnell 103*, collected in Uberaba, have remained in that envelope and was assigned barcode G00413560, and is an isoelectotype. The two leaves and the fruit that were included in the original envelope were separated from it and included in another envelope, which is now affixed on the sheet with barcode G00413561.

Barbosa (2007: 347) treated *Guettarda burchelliana* Müll. Arg. as a synonym of *G. pohliana* Müll. Arg., without explanation. Delprete (2010a: 548), maintained

the two species as distinct, using corolla and fruit size as diagnostic characters. As a result of a morphological comparison of specimens from throughout their geographic range, I concluded that Barbosa was correct in treating these two names as synonymous, and the name to be used for this species is *G. pohliana*.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 549:

Synonym:

“*Guettarda burchelliana* Muell. Arg. var. *nitens* Muell. Arg. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(5): 25. 1881, **syn. nov.** Sintipos: Goiás, *Burchell 6453* (G n.v.); São Paulo, “Villa de Batataes”, *Regnell 103** (G n.v.); Minas Gerais, Uberaba, *Regnell 103* (G n.v).”

Accepted name: *Guettarda pohliana* Müll. Arg.

Notes: Müller Argoviensis (1881: 25) for *Guettarda burchelliana* Müll. Arg. var. *nitens* Müll. Arg. cited several syntypes “Habitat prope urbem Goyaz: Burchell n. 6453; in prov. S. Paulo ad villa de Batataes: Regnell n. 103*; in prov. Minas Geraes prope Uberaba: Regnell n. 103.”

This varietal name is invalid because according to Art. 26.2 of the *Code* “A name of an infraspecific taxon that includes the type (i.e. the holotype or all syntypes or the previously designated type) of the adopted, legitimate name of the species to which it is assigned is not validly published unless its final epithet repeats the specific epithet unaltered.” Because *Regnell III.103*, from Uberaba, Minas Gerais, was cited among the original specimens of *Guettarda burchelliana* Müll.Arg., this varietal name is invalid, and it does not have a type.

A BR sheets, with barcode 000000552316, has one label with the annotation “III.103^X N^o. 1 Batataes – Prov. St. Pauli” handwritten by Regnell. On the same sheet is affixed a second label with the stamp “Herb. Hort. Bruxell. – Coll. Martii”, the stamp “Mueller d’Argovie determ.”, and the annotations “Brasiliae prov. S. Pauli, Villa Batataes [with “Minarum ad Caldas” stroke through], Communic. Andr. Frid. Regnell 1867, sub. N^o III^X.” Because *Regnell III.103^X* is from Batataes, state of São Paulo, a locality not reported for *Guettarda burchelliana* Müll. Arg., this specimen is original material of the invalid name *G. burchelliana* var. *nitens*.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 549:

Synonym:

“*Guettarda burchelliana* Muell. Arg. var. *opaca* Muell. Arg. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(5): 25. 1881, **syn. nov.** Tipo:

Goiás, “prope urbem Goyaz” [agora cidade de Goiás], *Burchell 6447* (G n.v.)”

Accepted name: *Guettarda pohliana* Müll.Arg.

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: Town of Goiás, s.d., *W.J. Burchell 6447* (BR [barcode 000000530477], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotypes G [barcode G00413562], K [barcode K000424803]).

Notes: Müller Argoviensis (1881: 25) for *Guettarda burchelliana* Müll. Arg. var. *opaca* Müll. Arg. cited a single gathering as “Habitat prope urbem Goyaz: Burchell n. 6447.” I was able trace three specimens of *Burchell 6447*, which are discussed below.

A BR sheet, with barcode 000000530477, has a label with the annotation “*Guettarda burchelliana* β *opaca* Müll. Arg.” handwritten by Müller Argoviensis. The specimen consists of one branch with two leaves and several inflorescences and is here designated the lectotype of *Guettarda burchelliana* var. *opaca*.

On a sheet at K, with barcode K000424803, not annotated by Müller Argoviensis, is affixed a specimen of *Burchell 6447* that consists of one branch with several leaves and several inflorescences, which is an isolectotype. On the same sheet is mounted a specimen of *Burchell 6453*, with barcode K000424804.

On a G sheet, with barcode G00413562, is affixed an envelope containing a loose inflorescence, several loose flower buds, and one leaf. On the envelope is the annotation “*Guettarda Burchelliana* β *opaca* Müll. Arg., Goyaz: Burchell n. 6447” handwritten by Müller Argoviensis. This specimen is an isolectotype.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 552:

“27-2. *Guettarda pohliana* Muell. Arg., *Flora* 58: 450, 456. 1875. - Sintipos: Brasil, Goiás, “Goyaz, Vila Boa” [agora cidade de Goiás], 1818-1819, *Pohl 883* (G, K, foto-K em NY), *Pohl 2048* (G).”

Accepted name: *Guettarda pohliana* Müll.Arg.

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: “Villa Boa, Corallinho” [now the town of Goiás, locality Corralinho], s.d., [1818–1819], *J.B.E. Pohl 2048 (833d)* (W [2 sheets, Acc. Nos. W0004205, W0004206], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotypes K [2 sheets, barcodes K000424805, K000424806], NY [barcode 00131685]; dubious isolectotype G [barcode G00642009]).

Notes: Müller Argoviensis (1881: 25) described *Guettarda*

pohliana Müll.Arg. as “7. Folia 7—13 cm lg., 4--6½ cm. lt., oblongo-ovata, subtus adpresso- et renitenti-vestita. Corolla 15—18 mm. lg., lobi 5—8.” and cited the gathering “Goyaz: Pohl 2048, 883”, where “2048” is the collection number, and “883” is the number in Pohl’s diary.

A sheet in W, Accession No. W0004205, has the annotation “Bogen 1 von 2”. On this sheet are affixed three labels. The label at the bottom right corner of the sheet has the handwritten annotations “2048, Hb. Bras., Villa Boa. Corallinho, (883d), Pohl.” Just above that label, is affixed another label with the annotation “*Guettarda Pohliana* Müll.-Arg.” handwritten by Müller Argoviensis. Above those two labels is the annotation “type of *Guettarda pohliana* Müll. Arg., 21/VII/2005, det./rev. M. Regina Barbosa” handwritten by Barbosa. A second sheet in W, Accession No. W0004206, has the annotation “Bogen 2 von 2”. On the second sheet are affixed three labels, with the same information of the other sheet. As these two sheets are kept together and are annotated as “1 of 2” and “2 of 2”, they are here treated as a single specimen with multiple preparations. In addition, the number “2048” represent Pohl’s collection number, and the number “883d” refers to Pohl’s Diary No. 883. Therefore, Pohl’s numbers 2048 and 883d refer to the same gathering. This specimen, mounted on two sheets, is here designated the lectotype of *Guettarda pohliana*.

A specimen in NY, with barcode 00131685, has a label with the heading “Dupl. ex Herb. Musei Natur. Vindob.” and the handwritten annotation “*Guettarda Pohliana* Müll. Arg. Villa Boa. Corallinho, (883d) Pohl” and the stamp “Duplum ex Herb. Mus. Hist. Nat. Vindobon.” The specimen consists of a ramified branch with numerous leaves and inflorescences with flower buds and flowers in anthesis.

A specimen in K, with barcode K000424805, consists of a ramified branch with numerous leaves and inflorescences with flower buds and flowers in anthesis. At the base of the stem is affixed a small label with the annotation “Brazil. Herb. Mus. Vind.” handwritten directly on the sheet by an unknown author, and a small label with the number “883”. The specimen is ramified branch with numerous leaves and inflorescences with flower buds and flowers in anthesis.

A second K specimen, with barcode K000424806, consists of a ramified branch with numerous leaves and inflorescences with flower buds and flowers in anthesis. At the base of the stem is affixed a small label with the annotation “N° 883, Brasilia, Herb. Mus. Vind. 1837”.

A sheet in G, with barcode G00642009, has an envelope affixed on it, containing a few loose leaves and inflorescences, one of them with flowers in anthesis.

On the envelope is the annotation “*Guettarda pohliana* Müll. Arg., Pohl” handwritten by Müller Argoviensis. Because the collection number is not specified, this specimen is dubious original material.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 560:

“27-3. *Guettarda viburnoides* Cham. & Schltld., *Linnaea* 4: 182. 1829. Tipo: Brasil tropical, s.d., *Sellow s.n.* (B, destruído; foto em NY; lectótipo, P (ex B), aqui escolhido).”

Accepted name: *Guettarda viburnoides* Cham. & Schltld.

Type: BRAZIL: “Brasilia tropica”, without locality, s.d., *F. Sellow s.n.* (B†; P [ex B; barcode P00836586], lectotype designated by Delprete (2010a: 560); possible isoelectotype G [barcode G00642010]; photo-B (F0BN000393) at NY).

Notes: Chamisso and Schlechtendal (1829b: 182) cited the material studied of *Guettarda viburnoides* Cham. & Schltld. as “E Brasilia tropica misit Sellowius.” The original material in B was destroyed during WWII. The photograph (negative No. F0BN000393) of the destroyed B specimen shows a label with the annotation “*Guettarda viburnoides* N. Linn. 4. p. 182. *Sellow. Brasilia.*” Delprete (2010a: 560) designated as lectotype of this name a specimen at P (ex B). That specimen, with barcode P00836586, has a label with the heading “Ex Museu botanico Berolinensi”, the handwritten annotation “*Guettarda viburnoides* Ch. & Schl.”, the stamp “determ. C. Schumann”, and the printed note “Brasilia, Leg. Sellow.”

In G there is a sheet, with barcode G00642010, on which is affixed an envelope containing two loose leaves and an inflorescence with flower buds. The envelope has the annotation “*Guettarda viburnoides* Cham. & Schlechtld.” handwritten by Müller Argoviensis. This specimen is a possible isoelectotype of *Guettarda viburnoides*.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 560:

Synonym:

“*Guettarda viburnoides* Muell. Arg. var. *pannosa* Muell. Arg. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(5): 17. 1881, **syn. nov.** Sintipos: Rio de Janeiro [...]; Minas Gerais [...]; Goiás, “inter Rio Paranaíba et urbem Goyaz” [entre Rio Paranaíba e cidade de Goiás], s.d., *Burchell 6251* (G n.v.).”

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais: Without locality, s.d., *P. Claussen s.n.* (G [barcode G00642012] lecto-

type here designated; isoelectotypes G [G00642013, G00642014]).

Notes: Müller Argoviensis (1881: 17) described *Guettarda viburnoides* var. *pannosa* Müll. Arg. and cited several gatherings from different Brazilian states. In G there is no original specimen associated with this varietal name. Delprete (2010a: 560) cited an unseen specimen of *Burchell 6210* in G, but such a specimen is not there.

In G there are three sheets, with barcodes G00642012, G00642013 and G00642014. Those sheets have a label with the printed text “BRÉSIL. Minas Geraes. P. Claussen. 1^{er} envoi reçu en mars 1839.” and the annotation “*Guettarda viburnoides* Ch. & Schlecht. var. *pannosa* Müll. Arg.” handwritten by Müller Argoviensis. The specimen with barcode G00642012 is here designated as the lectotype of this varietal name.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 560:

Synonym:

“*Guettarda viburnoides* Muell. Arg. var. *genuina* Muell. Arg. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(5): 18. 1881, **syn. nov.** Sintipos: Rio de Janeiro [...]; Minas Gerais [...]; Bahia [...]; Tocantins, “Porto Real” [Porto Nacional], s.d., *Burchell 8669* (G n.v.); sem localidade, s.d., *Gardner 3216* (G n.v.).”

Type: BRAZIL. Rio de Janeiro: Without locality, s.d., *Collector Unknown s.n.* (G [barcode G00642011], **lectotype here designated**).

Notes: Müller Argoviensis (1881: 18) described *Guettarda viburnoides* var. *genuina* Müll. Arg. citing numerous gatherings from several Brazilian states. In G there is a sheet with barcode G00642011 on which is affixed an envelope containing several loose leaves, a loose inflorescence, several flowers in anthesis, and one fruit. On the envelope is the annotation “*Guettarda viburnoides* β *genuina* Müll. Arg., Rio de Janeiro” handwritten by Müller Argoviensis. On the lower portion of the sheet is affixed a label with the annotation “G. *viburnoides* β *genuina* Müll. Arg.!” handwritten by Müller Argoviensis. This specimen is original material of *Guettarda viburnoides* var. *genuina*, and is here designated as the lectotype of this varietal name. However, *G. viburnoides* var. *genuina* is not a valid name (Art. 24.3, Turland et al., 2018).

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 560:

Synonym:

“*Guettarda viburnoides* Muell. Arg. var. *rhombofolia* Muell. Arg. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(5): 18. 1881, **syn. nov.**

Sintipos: Rio de Janeiro [...]; Ceará [...]; Tocantins, “inter Conceição et Natividade” [entre Conceição do Tocantins e Natividade], s.d., *Burchell* 8229 (G n.v.)”

Type: BRAZIL. Tocantins: “inter Conceicao et Natividade” [between the towns of Natividade and Conceição do Tocantins], s.d., *J.W. Burchell* 8229 (BR [barcode 000000531620], **lectotype here designated**).

Notes: Müller Argoviensis (1881: 18) described *Guettarda viburnoides* var. *rhombofolia* Müll. Arg. and cited the material studied as “*Habitat in prov. Ceara: Gardner n. 1696; inter Conceicao et Natividade: Burchell n. 8229; prope Rio de Janeiro: Widgren n. 1038, Glaziou n. 711, 4021, Sello.*”

A specimen in BR, barcode 000000531620, has a label with the stamp “Herb. Hort. Bruxell.” and the handwritten annotation “Brasilia: inter Conceicao et Natividade, prov. Goyaz, communic. H. Kewense 1864, Burchell n° 8229.” On the sheet is affixed a second label with the annotation “*Guettarda viburnoides* Ch. & Schl. α [r]hombifolia” handwritten by Müller Argoviensis. The specimen consists of a sterile branch with several leaves and a fertile branch with numerous leaves and inflorescences with flower buds and flower in anthesis. This specimen is here designated the lectotype of this varietal name.

No original specimen associated with this name could be found in G.

28. **HAMELIA** Jacq., Enum. Pl. Carib. 2, 16. 1760.

FGT, vol. 40(1), p. 577:

“28-1. ***Hamelia patens*** Jacq., Enum. Pl. Carib. 16. 1760. Tipo: Republica Dominicana, s.d., *Jacquin s.n.* (P? W? cf. Elias 1976:102).”

Accepted name: *Hamelia patens* Jacq.

Type: DOMINICAN REPUBLIC. La Romana: La Uvita, S of town of Cumayasa, km 10, on Mar Caribe coast, marine coral limestone, 18°24'N, 69°3'W, less than 10 m, 24 Nov. 1980 (fl, fr), *M. Mejía & T. Zanoni* 9390 (NY [barcode 01326210], **neotype here designated**).

Notes: Nikolaus Joseph Jacquin (1760: 16) published *Hamelia patens* Jacq. with the sole description “racemis patentibus” without citing any locality or specimen. Elias (1976) indicated and discussed the possible original

material of *H. patens* as “Type. Domingo (Dominican Republic), Jacquin s.n. P? There is apparently some confusion as to the type locality. Standley in North American Flora cites the type locality as forests near Cartagena, Colombia.” The initial description was made as a result of a voyage to the Greater Antilles and Colombia. Three years after *H. patens* was first published, Jacquin (1763: 72) published a lengthy description of this species and cited the following “Habitat in Domingo...” It appears that the type locality is the Dominican Republic rather than Colombia. A Cuban specimen labeled *H. patens* and collected by Jacquin was examined: Cuba, without exact locality, Jacquin s.n. (F fra.! ex Vienna).” (Elias, 1976: 81).

D’Arcy (1970) discussed in detail the fate of the Jacquin Herbarium and explained the difficulty of finding original material. He stated that “today there is no single “Jacquin Herbarium” but his specimens are to be found at Vienna [W], the Linnaean Herbarium [LINN], in the British Museum [BM], and some are dispersed in other European herbaria.” According to Stafleu and Cowan (1979: 407) “Sir Joseph Banks acquired Jacquin material. This is often referred in literature as the Jacquin herbarium. [...] It is difficult to find West Indian material collected by him. It is doubtful whether Jacquin brought home from the West Indies sizeable collections of dried plants. J.E. Dandy informed us that the Jacquin material from the West Indies in the Banks herbarium (now BM) is rare and consists of scraps or small specimens.” See discussion also under *Coutarea hexandra*.

Delprete (2010a: 577), following Elias (1976), indicated that possible original material might be present in P or W. After an exhaustive search in both herbaria, no specimen of *Hamelia patens* attributable to Jacquin was found. Additional extensive searches were also conducted in the herbaria indicated by D’Arcy (1970), Elias (1976), and Stafleu and Cowan (1979), but no original specimen of *H. patens* was found. Therefore, a neotype needs to be selected for this name. The specimen *Mejía & Zanoni* 9390 at NY, with barcode 01326210, collected in the Dominican Republic, has inflorescences with flowers and fruits, and is here designated the neotype of this name.

Cabral and Salas (2022d: 301) cited the type of *Hamelia patens* as “TIPO. República Dominicana [Santo Domingo], *N. J. Jacquin s. n.* (holotipo, P),” but there is no such specimen in P.

Synonym:

(=) *Schoenleinia thyrsoides* Miers, Proc. Roy. Hort. Soc. 4: 186. 1864.

Type: COLOMBIA: Río Magdalena, s.d., *J. Weir 26* (holotype BM [barcode BM001008877]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Schoenleinia thyrsoidea* Miers, Miers (1864: 186) cited the material studied as “Rio Magdalena. – Weir 26.” and stated that “this plant approaches nearest to the genus *Schoenlinia* of Klotzsch, the type of which is *Exostemma cuspidata* St. Hil.; I find no species among the *Cinchona* group hitherto described, to which it can be referred.” At BM there is a specimen, with barcode BM001008877, and the label “HERB. JOHN MIERS. Bequeathed 1879.” On the same sheet are affixed three additional labels, with blue paper. One small label has the annotation “*Schoenlinia thyrsoidea* nob.” handwritten by Miers. On the second label with blue paper is handwritten “Rio Magdalena, N. Grenada, Weir 26.” On a third label with blue paper is present a long species description, handwritten by Miers, corresponding to that of his publication. This specimen is the holotype of this name.

29. **IXORA** L., Sp. Pl. 110. 1753.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 584:

“29-1. *Ixora araguaiensis* Delprete, J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 2(1): 456, fig. 2. 2008. Tipo: Brasil, Tocantins, Mun. Pium, Ilha do Bananal, Parque Nacional do Araguaia, Posto de fiscalização do Projeto Quelônios da Amazônia, 09°50'57”S, 50°11'31”W, 190 m, 26/III/1999 (fl), *M.A. da Silva, R.C. Mendonça, E. Cardoso, A.D. dos Santos, N.G. Sousa, N.R. Oliveira & J.T. dos Santos 4164* (holótipo, IBGE; isótipo, NY).”

Accepted name: *Ixora araguaiensis* Delprete

Type: BRAZIL. Tocantins: Mun. Pium, Ilha do Bananal, Parque Nacional do Araguaia, Posto de fiscalização do Projeto Quelônios da Amazônia, 9°50'57”S, 50°11'31”W, 190 m, 26 Mar. 1999 (fl), *M.A. da Silva, R.C. Mendonça, E. Cardoso, A.D. dos Santos, N.G. Sousa, N.R. Oliveira & J.T. dos Santos 4164* (holotype, IBGE [Acc. No. 046569]; isotype, NY [barcode 01085900]).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 589:

“29-2. *Ixora brevifolia* Benth., Linnaea 23: 448. 1850. Tipo: Brasil, Minas Gerais, sem localidade, 1845-1846 (fl), *Widgren 1119* (lectótipo, UPS V-134607, selecionado por Delprete, 2003; isolectótipo UPS V-102640).”

Accepted name: *Ixora brevifolia* Benth.

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais: Without locality, “skogstrakter” [forest tracts], 1845–1846, *J.F. Widgren 1119* (UPS V-134607, lectotype designated by Delprete (2003: 1472); isolectotype UPS V-102640; isolectotype fragment NY [barcode 00688209; ex UPS V-134607]).

Notes: For synonyms and types see Delprete (2003).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 593:

“29-3. *Ixora casei* Hance in Walp., Ann. Bot. Syst. 2: 754. 1852. - Tipo: Ilhas Carolinas (“Strong Island”), s.d., *Q.W. Case s.n.* (holótipo, K).”

Accepted name: *Ixora casei* Hance in Walp.

Type: CAROLINE ISLANDS: Strong Island, s.d., *Q.W. Case s.n.* (holotype, K [barcode K000763383]).

For synonyms see Delprete (2010b: 593).

Notes: Hance (in Walpers 1852: 754) in the protologue of *Ixora casei* Hance cited the collection locality of the material studied as “in insulis Carolis ad “Strong’s Island.” In K, there are two specimens reporting that locality associated with this name.

The K specimen with barcode K000763379 has the annotations “Type specimen of Bot. Mag. t. 685” and “*Ixora macrothyrsa* J. & B.) *I. duffii* Veitch! See Fl. & Pom. 1878, p. 76 with woodcut) Ualan on Strong Island, Caroline Group, Kew Gardens Sept. 18, 1884” handwritten directly on the sheet. A third annotation says “Not *I. macrothyrsa* of Malaysia! See Bremekamp in Bull. Jard. Bot. Buit. sér. 3, 14, 278 (1937)” handwritten by Bremekamp directly on the sheet. The specimen consists of three loose leaves and two inflorescences. This specimen is not original material, because it was gathered from a plant cultivated in the Kew Gardens in 1884, reproduced from material collected in Strong’s Island.

The K specimen with barcode K000763383 has the the annotation “*Ixora casei* Hance in Walpers Ann. Vol. II, p. 754. Strong Island (Caroline Islands) An S. N. Case” handwritten in pencil directly on the sheet. This specimen is the holotype of *I. casei*.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 595:

“29-4. *Ixora chinensis* Lam., Encycl. Meth. 3: 344. 1789. - Tipo: China, “communicated by Sonnerat” (holótipo, P-Lam.).”

Accepted name: *Ixora chinensis* Lam.

Type: CHINA. Without locality, s.d., *P. Sonnerat s.n.* (first-step lectotype designated by Fosberg & Sachet (1989a: 77); P-LA [barcode P00308523], **second-step lectotype here designated**).

For synonyms see Delprete (2010b: 595).

Notes: In the protologue of *Ixora chinensis* Lam., Lamarck (1789: 344) cited “*Flamma sylvarum peregrina*, Rumph. Amb. 4. p. 107, t. 47.” and stated “Je ne vois pas pourquoi Linné a associé le *Flamma sylvarum* de Rumphe avec le *Pavetta* de Rhéede, dont je traiterai après cette espèce. A la vérité, ces deux plantes sont du même genre; mais elles sont très-distinctes l’une de l’autre, comme l’examen m’en a convaincu, les possédant toutes deux dans mon herbier.” (“I don’t see why Linnaeus associated *Flamma sylvarum* of Rumphius with *Pavetta* of Rhéede, which I will treat after this species. To the truth, these two plants belong to the same genus; but they are very distinct from each other, as the examination convinced me, both of them being present in my herbarium). He described the material studied of *I. chinensis* as “Cette espèce nous a été communiqué par M. Sonnerat, comme provenant de la Chine; elle croit aussi dans l’Isle de Java. (v.s.)” (“This plant was communicated by Mr. Sonnerat, as originated from China; it grows also in the Island of Java”). The abbreviation “v.s.” (vidi siccum) means that he saw a specimen in his own herbarium. Therefore, original material of this name is a specimen collected by Sonnerat present in the Lamarck herbarium (P-Lam.) in Paris and plate 47 “*Flamma sylvarum peregrina*” of Rumphius’s *Herbarium amboinense*, vol. 4 (1743). The geographic region studied in this publication is “Amboina” or “Amboyna”, nowadays called Ambon Island, which is part of the Maluku Islands of Indonesia.

On Rumphius’s plate 47 is depicted a branch with numerous leaves and an inflorescence with flower buds and flowers in anthesis. The leaves are depicted as short-petiolated, with blades acute at base and obtuse-subacute at apex, and with 6–8 secondary veins on each side of the midrib. The flower buds are depicted as acute at the apex. The corollas are drawn as having a long-narrow tube and short lobes round at the apex. On page 107, Rumphius described the provenance of the plant as “Elegans *Flammae sylvarum* species circa annum 1675 in Amboinam fuit delata sub nomine floris Japanensis mirabilis, pro quo & per decem annos istum habui, tandem vero a diversis Europaeis, qui diu in ista regione versati fuere, intellexi, hanc arborem sese non in Japana, sed in Java aliisque Malayensibus regionibus vidisse,

unde & hanc tanquam peregrinam species *Flammae sylvarum* describam.” The description that follows that statement is quite detailed and corresponds to all features depicted on plate 47.

Fosberg and Sachet (1989a: 77) cited the type of *Ixora chinensis* Lam. as “Type: China. “communicated by Sonnerat” (P-Herb. Lam. Not seen by us).” As their type citation was published before 2001, it should be treated as an inadvertent first-step lectotypification, because in P-LA there is a sheet with two different gatherings. On the right side of the sheet are affixed a small branch with one leaf and a terminal inflorescence, and two loose leaves, with barcode number P00308523. On the stem is affixed a label with the annotation “*ixora chinensis* lam. dict.” The corollas have broadly ovate to almost round lobes, obtuse at the apex. This specimen, with barcode number P00308523, is here designated as the second-step lectotype of *Ixora chinensis*.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 598:

“29-5. *Ixora coccinea* L., Sp. Pl. 110. 1753; L., Gen. Pl., ed. 5, 48. 1754. - Tipo: “Habitat in Índia” (BM-LINN).”

Accepted name: *Ixora coccinea* L.

Type: [icon] “*Schetti*” in Rheede, Hort. Malab. 2: 17, tab. 13. 1679, lectotype designated by Fosberg and Sachet in Taxon 38: 488. 1989.

For synonyms see Delprete (2010b: 598–599).

Notes: Fosberg and Sachet (1989b: 486–489) showed that the various potential typifications of *Ixora coccinea* L. were either inadequate or invalid (Bremekamp, 1937: 198; Corner, 1941: 185; respectively). They concluded that, to maintain the traditional usage of the name for the species they designated Table 13 of Rheede’s (1679) second volume of *Hortus Indicus Malabaricus* as the lectotype of this name. Jarvis (2007: 598) cited Fosberg and Sachet’s discussion and typification, and accepted Rheede’s plate as lectotype.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 601:

“29-6. *Ixora congestiflora* Delprete, J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 2(1): 456, fig. 1. 2008. Tipo: Brasil, Tocantins, Mun. Caseara, Parque Estadual do Cantão, transecto 11, floresta estacional semidecídua, 09°18’00”S, 50°01’57”W, 15/I/2000 (fl), *P.E. Nogueira & M. Richter 692* (holótipo, IBGE; isótipo, NY).”

Accepted name: *Ixora congestiflora* Delprete

Type: BRAZIL. Tocantins: Mun. Caseara, Parque Estadual do Cantão, transecto 11, floresta estacional semidecídua, 9°18'0"S, 50°1'57"W, 15 Jan. 2000 (fl), *P.E. Nogueira & M. Richter* 692 (holotype, IBGE [Acc. No. 047624]; isotype, NY [barcode 01085899]).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 604:

"29-7. *Ixora finlaysoniana* Wall. ex G. Don, Gen. Hist. 3: 572. 1834. Tipo: Indias Orientais (holótipo, BM)."

Type: "EAST INDIES". Without locality, s.d. [1821–1823], *G. Finlayson s.n.* (holotype, K [barcode K001123205, Wallich Cat. No. 6166]; isotype, LE [barcode LE00017478]).

Notes: George Don (1834: 572) published *Ixora finlaysoniana* Wall. ex G. Don and cited "Wall. cat. no. 6166" and "Native of the East Indies." Delprete (2010b: 604) cited the holotype at BM, but apparently there is no original material associated with this name in that institution. At K there is a specimen, with barcode K001123205, with the handwritten label "6166 *Ixora Finlaysoniana* Wall. M. Finlayson." This specimen is the holotype of this name.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 605:

"29-8. *Ixora irwinii* Delprete, J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 2(1): 459, fig. 3. 2008. Tipo: Brasil, Tocantins, 1 km S de Araguaina, Rio das Lontras, 300 m, 15/III/1968 (fr), *H.S. Irwin, H. Maxwell & D.C. Wasshausen* 21221 (holótipo, UB; isótipo, NY)."

Accepted name: *Ixora irwinii* Delprete

Type: BRAZIL. Tocantins: 1 km S de Araguaina, Rio das Lontras, 300 m, 15 Mar. 1968 (fr), *H.S. Irwin, H. Maxwell & D.C. Wasshausen* 21221 (holotype, UB [barcode UB0040430]; isotypes, NY [barcode 01085901], US [barcode US02508907]).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 609:

"29-9. *Ixora pubescens* Willd. in Schult. & Schult. f., Mant. 3: 126. 1818 [sic! 1827]. - *Faramea vaginata* Benth., *nom. nud.*, Linnaea 23: 454. 1850; non Griseb. (1866, *nom. superfl.*). Tipo: Brasil, Pará [provavelmente perto de Belém], s.d., *F.W. Sieber s.n.* (holótipo B-W 2807 [*dedit Hoffmannsegg*])."

Accepted name: *Ixora pubescens* Willd. in Schult. & Schult. f., Mant. 3: 126. 1827.

Type: BRAZIL. Pará: [probably Belém], s.d., *F.W. Sieber in J.C. Hoffmannsegg s.n.* (holotype B-W [barcode B -W 02807 -01 0]; isotype frag. G [barcode G00634186]).

Notes: Joseph A. Schultes and Julius H. Schultes (1827: 126) published *Ixora pubescens* Willd. in Schult. & Schult. f. (Art. 46.3, Ex. 15) and cited the material studied as "*In Para Brasiliae, com. a Hoffmannsegg.*" That material was collected by Sieber, and Hoffmannsegg never set foot in Brazil. In B-W there is a specimen, with barcode B -W 02807 -01 0, with the handwritten label "arbuste rbr. gull. (Sieber)" affixed on the bottom right corner of the sheet. The annotation "*Ix. pubescens*" is handwritten directly on the the upper right corner of that sheet. This is the specimen of *Ixora pubescens* studied by Willdenow and is the holotype.

In G there is a sheet, barcode G00634186, with an envelope containing one leaf and the fragment of an inflorescence. On the envelope is handwritten "*Ixora pubescens* Willd., Pará: Hoffmannsegg, fol. numis & flor." This is an isotype fragment of this name.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 609:

Synonym:

"*Ixora pubescens* var. *glabrifolia* Muell. Arg. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(5): 62. 1881. Tipo: Brasil, Pará, "in vicinibus Pará" [agora Belém], *Spruce* 328 (lectótipo G, aqui selecionado, isolectótipos G, K, foto-G [lectótipo] em US, foto-G [isolectótipo] em NY, foto-K em NY)."

Type: BRAZIL. Pará: "in vicinibus Pará" [near Belém], s.d. [Jul.–Aug. 1849], *R. Spruce* 328 (first-step lectotype designated by Delprete (2010b: 609); G [barcode G00634184], **second-step lectotype here designated**; isolectotypes, FI [barcode FI004824], G [barcode G00634185], K [barcode K000424499], M [barcode M-0187733]; photo-G [F neg. No. 25677] in NY; photo-K in NY).

Notes: Müller Argoviensis (1881: 62) cited the gatherings *Spruce* 328, *Burchell* 9456, and *Burchell* 9270 for *Ixora pubescens* var. *glabrifolia* Müll. Arg., without citing the herbarium of deposit. In G, there are two specimens of *Spruce* 328. Therefore, Delprete's (2010b: 609) statement "lectótipo G, aqui selecionado" should be treated as first-step lectotypification. The specimen with the annotation "*I. pubescens* β *glabrifolia*, scripsit Müll.-

Arg.!” with barcode G00634184, is here designated the second-step lectotype of this name.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 611:

“29-10. *Ixora undulata* Roxb. in Carey & Wall. (Eds.), Fl. Indica ou escr. Indian Pl. 1: 395. 1820. Tipo: Bangladesh/India, “nativo das florestas de Bengala”, s.d., *Roxburg s.n.* (não visto).”

Accepted name: *Ixora undulata* Roxb. ex Sm.

Correct author and bibliographic citation: *Ixora undulata* Roxb. ex Sm. in Rees, Cycl. 19: *Ixora* No. 7. 1814.

Type: “EAST INDIES”: Without locality, 1808, *Lord Valentia s.n.*, Herb. Smith No. 189.9 (LINN [barcode unknown], lectotype designated by I.M. Turner (2021: 397)).

Synonym:

Ixora undulata Roth in Roemer & Schultes, Syst. Veg. 3: 178. 1818, *nom. illeg.*, non Roxb. ex Sm. 1814 [“*Ixora undulata* B.Heyne ex Roth”, Nov. Pl. Sp.: 91. 1821, isonym].

Type: [INDIA]: Without locality, s.d., *W. Roxburgh s.n.* (B-W [barcode B -W 02809 -01 0], neotype designated by Turner (2021: 397)).

Ixora undulata Roxb., Fl. Ind. 1: 385. 1820, *nom. illeg.*, non Roxb. ex Sm. 1814, nec Roth 1818.

Type: [INDIA]: Without locality, s.d., *W. Roxburgh s.n.* (K n.v. [barcode unknown], lectotype designated by Husain and Paul in J. Econ. Taxon. Bot., Addit. Ser. 6: 178. 1989).

Notes: Ian M. Turner (2021: 397) explained the lectotypification of *Ixora undulata* Roxb. ex Sm. and its synonyms as follows: “This is another case where the same name was published by different authors over a relatively short period. In this case they all concern the same species. No specimens likely to have been seen by Roth have been found. The species is confined to North India, so it is likely that Heyne was sent a specimen by Roxburgh, or received one when he visited Roxburgh in Calcutta in late 1811, before his departure from India on leave (Heyne, 1814). Therefore, selecting a Roxburgh specimen as neotype for Roth’s name seems acceptable. The earliest validation of *Ixora undulata* was by Smith.

There is a specimen from Roxburgh in Smith’s herbarium in LINN, which is here designated as lectotype for Smith’s name. There is more than one Roxburgh specimen of this species in K, so I am not currently able to state which specimen is the lectotype of *Ixora undulata* Roxb.”

30. **LADENBERGIA** Klotzsch in Hayne, Getr. Darst. Gew. 14: tab. 15. 1846.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 614:

“30-1. *Ladenbergia cujabensis* Klotzsch in Hayne, Getr. Darst. Gew. 14: tab. 15. 1846. - *Remijia cujabensis* (Klotzsch) Wedd., Ann. Sci. Nat., Sér. 3, 10: 13. 1848. - *Cinchona cujabensis* Manso ex Klotzsch in Hayne, *pro syn.*, Getr. Darst. Gew. 14: tab. 15. 1846. - Tipo: Brasil, Mato Grosso, perto de Cuiabá, s.d., *Manso & Lhotsky 20* (holótipo, B, destruído, foto em NY; lectótipo, F, aqui escolhido, isolectótipo, G).”

Accepted name: *Ladenbergia cujabensis* Klotzsch ex Walp.

Type: BRAZIL. Mato Grosso: Near Cuiabá, 1832, *P.A.L. da Silva Manso & J. Lhotsky 20* (F [Acc. No. 768356], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotypes, B†, BR [barcode 00000552387, without collection number], F [Acc. No. 686819], G [(2 sheets) barcode G00405218]).

Notes: There are three citations of *Cinchona cujabensis* Manso prior or contemporary to the publication of *Ladenbergia cujabensis* Klotzsch ex Walp. (Walpers, 1846): Fürnrohr (1832: 26), Martius (1843: 57), and Mérat (1846: 614). However, those three publications cite *C. cujabensis* as a *nomen nudum*. Hence, the combinations based on *C. cujabensis* are invalid, because the basionym is a *nomen nudum*.

Ladenbergia cujabensis Klotzsch ex Walp. was first validly published by Walpers (1846: 67), who was the first author to provide a description of this species and attributed the name to Klotzsch.

Weddell (1848: 13) published the combination *Remijia cujabensis* (Klotzsch ex Walp.) Wedd. His citation was “8. R. Cujabensis. — *Ladenbergia Cujabensis* Kltzsch. l. c.” This is an indirect reference to *Ladenbergia cujabensis*, the basionym, because he cited Klotzsch.

Andersson (1997: 276) cited the authority of *Ladenbergia cujabensis* as “*Ladenbergia cujabensis* Klotzsch in Hayne, Getreue Darstell. Gew.] 14 t. 15. 1846.” Hayne’s (1846) publication consists of a series of

plates accompanied by an unpaginated text. The text of plate 14, on line 22, says “8) *L. (Casc.) cujabensis* Kl. (*Cinchona cujabensis* Manso) Brasilia.” On line 22, there is no description, but a basionym is cited, *C. cujabensis*. Andersson (1997: 276) cited the type of *L. cujabensis* as “Type: *Manso & Lhotsky* 20; Brazil, Mato Grosso, vicinity of Cuiabá (B holotype, destroyed, photo F-155; F, G isotypes),” Andersson’s “holotype” cannot be corrected to “lectotype” because the B specimens was destroyed during WWII.

Delprete (2010b: 614) followed Andersson’s citation of the authority of this name, by citing *Ladenbergia cujabensis* Klotzsch in Hayne. Delprete (2010b: 614) designated the lectotype of this name as a specimen at F. However, at F there are two sheets of *Manso & Lhotsky* 20, both consisting of fragments removed from material in G. Hence, a lectotype needs to be designated.

The F specimen with Acc. No. 686819 is a fragment with one loose leaf and an infructescence with open capsules. The other specimen at F, Acc. No. 768356, has a label with the heading “Herbier de Candolle” on which is handwritten “No. 20” and “M. da Silva Manso” and another label with the handwritten annotation “20. *Ladenbergia cuyabensis* Kl. Cujabae. fl. nov. – leg. M. da Silva Manso 1832.” The F specimen with Acc. No. 768356 consists of a small branch with three leaves and an infructescence with open capsules and is here designated the lectotype of this name.

In G there are two sheets, with barcode G00405218, that are original material associated with this name. On the first sheet is affixed a label with the annotation “M. da Silva Manso 1832, 20, *Cinchona Cuyabensis* Manso, [...] Cuyaba, fl. Nov.” On both sheets are affixed branches with several leaves and inflorescences with dehisced capsules. This specimen, mounted on two sheets, is an isolectotype of *Ladenbergia cujabensis*.

31. **LIMNOSIPANEA** Hook. f., Hooker’s Icon. Pl. 11: 38. 1868.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 621:

“31-1. *Limnosipanea erythroides* (Cham.) K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 253, tab. 123. 1889. - *Sipanea erythraeoides* Cham., Linnaea 9: 242. 1834. Tipo: “Brasilia inter tropicos”, s.d., *Sellow s.n.* (holótipo B, destruído).”

Accepted name: *Limnosipanea erythraeoides* (Cham.) K. Schum.

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: Near Caiapônia, “in arenosis humidiusque, Aldeia dos Cayapos” [sandy

humid savanna, near the indigenous village of the Cayapos (now the town of Caiapônia, ca. 16°57’S, 51°48’W)], Aug. 1826 (fl), *L. Riedel* 409 (BR [barcode 00000824532], neotype designated by Delprete (2022: 54); isoneotypes BM [barcode BM000614341], FI [barcode FI018882], P [barcode P00729267], US [Acc. No. 254488]).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 624:

“31-2. *Limnosipanea palustris* (Seem.) Hook. f. in Hook., Ic. Pl. 11: 38, pl. 1050. 1868. - *Sipanea palustris* Seem., Bot. Voy. Herald 136. 1854; non *Sipanea palustris* (A. Rich.) J.H. Kirkbr., nom. superfl. [= *Sipanea wilsonbrownei* R.S. Cowan]. Tipo: Panamá, perto da cidade de Panamá, *Seeman* 347 (holótipo, K; isótipo, K, fotos em NY).”

Accepted name: *Limnosipanea palustris* (Seem.) Hook. f.

Correct citation: *Limnosipanea palustris* (Seem.) Hook. f., Ic. pl. 11: 38. 1868. - *Sipanea* [as “*Sipanea*”] *palustris* Seem., Bot. Voy. Herald 136. 1854; non *Sipanea palustris* (A. Rich.) J.H. Kirkbr., Brittonia 49: 360. 1997, *comb. illeg. superfl.* (= *Sipanea wilsonbrownei* R.S. Cowan); non *Bertiera palustris* A. Rich. ex DC. (Sep. 1830: 392).

Type: PANAMA: Near Panama City, swamps, s.d. [1846–1849], *B.C. Seemann* 347 (BM [barcode BM000614301]), lectotype designated by Delprete (2022: 57); isolectotype K [without barcode]; photo-BM at BM, MO, NY, US).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 624:

Synonym:

“*Limnosipanea schomburgkii* Hook. f. in Hook., Icon. Pl. 1050. 1868.”

Correct citation: *Limnosipanea palustris* (Seem.) Hook. f., Ic. pl. 11: 38. 1868.

Type: GUYANA. [“Roraima”, sic!], Rovuma River, s.d. [1842–1843], *R.H. Schomburgk ser. II, 464* (= *M.R. Schomburgk* 744), (BM) [BM000614338] lectotype designated by Delprete (2022: 57); isolectotypes F [Acc. No. 766892], G [2 sheets, barcodes G00379139, G00379140], K [without barcode], P [barcode P00729461], US [barcode 00588519]; photo-P at NY; photo-G at F [Neg. No. 25681]).

Limnosipanea schomburgkii var. *robustior* Pilg. in Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 30: 198. 1901 [title page of the volume reports “1902” but the third page of the same volume reports that “Heft 2 (pp. 129–288)” was published in “2 July 1901”].

Type: BRAZIL. Mato Grosso: Near Cuiabá “gesellig in kleinen Complexen auf trockner Wiese bei Cuyabá,” Apr. 1899 (fl), *R. Pilger 400* (holotype B [barcode B 10 0673663]).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 627:

“31-3. *Limnosipanea spruceana* Hook. f. in Hook., Icon. Pl. 11: 38, pl. 1050. 1868. Tipo: Brasil. Pará: Amazon River, marshy and sandy places near Pará [Belem], Spruce (1851). “*Sipanea limnophila* Spruce,” in *herb.*, *R. Spruce 1027* (lectótipo, K, aqui selecionado; isolectótipo, RB). [parátipo: “Vicinius Santarem, Prov. Pará, Brazil, VIII/1850, *R. Spruce 677*” citado por Steyermark (1967: 283) como o tipo desta espécie.]”

Accepted name: *Limnosipanea spruceana* Hook. f.

Type: BRAZIL. Pará: Amazon River, marshy and sandy places near Pará [Belem], *R. Spruce 1027*, (K [without barcode], lectotype designated by Delprete (2010b: 627); isolectotypes P [barcode 00729466], RB [ex P, barcode 00543629]; possible isolectotypes (without collection number) BM [barcode BM000614344], E [2 sheets, barcodes E00499997, E00499998], F [2 sheets, Acc. Nos. 768300 and 971288], FI-Webb [barcode FI004806], MPU [barcode MPU 021333], P [barcode 00729465]; S [Acc. No. S05-474]; photo-B and photo-K at NY).

32. **MACHAONIA** Bonpl. in Humb. & Bonpl., Pl. Aequin. 1: 101, tab. 29. 1806 [“1808”].

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 631:

“32-1. *Machaonia acuminata* Bonpl. in Humb. & Bonpl., Pl. Aequin. 1: 101, pl. 29. 1806 [“1808”]; emend. K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 100, tab. 89, fig. 1. 1888. Tipo: Ecuador, Guayaquil, s.d., *Humboldt & Bonpland 3828* (lectótipo, P, aqui selecionado).”

Accepted name: *Machaonia acuminata* Bonpl.

Type: ECUADOR: Guayaquil, s.d., *A. Bonpland & A. Humboldt 3828* (P [barcode P00135075] lectotype

designated by Delprete (2010b: 631); isolectotype P [barcode P00135076]).

33. **MALANEA** Aubl., Hist. Pl. Guiane 1: 106, pl. 49. 1775.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 640:

“33-1. *Malanea macrophylla* Bartl. ex Griseb., Fl. Brit. W. Ind. 337. 1861. - *Malanea macrophylla* Bartl. in M.R. Schomburgk, Faun. & Fl. Brit. Gui. 947. 1848. Tipo: Guiana, Morocco River, s.d. *Rich. Schomburgk s.n.* (holótipo, BM).”

Accepted name: *Malanea macrophylla* Bartl. ex Griseb.

Type: GUYANA: Morocco River, s.d. *M. Rich. Schomburgk 1484* (GOET [barcode GOET008943], **lectotype here designated**).

Notes: The name *Malanea macrophylla* Bartl. was first published by Moritz Richard Schomburgk (1848: 947) as a *nomen nudum*. In the protologue of *Malanea macrophylla* Bartl. ex Griseb., Grisebach (1861: 337) cited “MS in *Rich. Schom. Fl. Guian. p. 947*” and the gatherings “Hab. S. Vincent! *Guild.*; Trinidad!, *Cr.*, as S. Anns; [Guiana].”

Delprete (2010b: 640) cited as holotype of *M. macrophylla* a specimen at BM from Guyana, Morocco River, collected by Moritz Richard Schomburgk, without collection number. As Delprete’s citation was published after 2001, it cannot be treated as an inadvertent lectotypification, because it is not accompanied “here designated” or a similar expression.

At GOET, where Grisebach worked, there is a sheet, with barcode GOET008943, on which are affixed two labels. The label at the lower left corner of the sheet has the handwritten annotation “*Malanea macrophylla* Bartl. - In Guyana Anglica, ad fl. Morocco leg. Rich. Schomburgk n. 1484, Acc. 1848.” Just above that label, there is another label with the annotation “1484, Malanea ... fl. Marocco, Britt. Gujana Richard Schomburgk, Sept. 1843” probably handwritten by Schomburgk. This specimen consists of a branch with one leaf, two axillary inflorescences, and well-developed apical stipules, and a loose leaf, and is here designated the lectotype of *M. macrophylla*.

34. **MANETTIA** Mutis ex L., Mant. 2: 553, 558. 1771, *nom. cons.*

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 648:

“34-1. *Manettia cordifolia* Mart., Königl. Akad. Wiss. Königl. Gen.-Conserv. Wiss. Saaml. München 9: 95, pl. 7. 1824. - *Manettia ignita* var. *cordifolia* (Mart.) K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 170. 1889. - Tipo: Brasil, Minas Gerais, Villa Rica [agora Ouro Preto], s.d., *Martius s.n.* (holótipo M).”

Accepted name: *Manettia cordifolia* Mart.

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais: “Villa Rica” [now the town of Ouro Preto], “habitat in sepibus, locis camporibus ad Villam Ricam etc. Provinciae Min. Geraes, Apr.”, s.d. [Apr. 1818], *C.F.P. Martius s.n.* (M [barcode M-0198125], lectotype designated by Gauto et al. (2022: 430)).

Notes: Martius (1824: 96) cited the locality of *Manettia cordifolia* Mart., from material collected by himself, as “Habitat in sepibus et inter virgulta nec non in sylvarum prope Villam Ricam et alibi in Provincia Minarum [...]” At M there are seven specimens with this name, collected by Martius in Minas Gerais. On the stem of each specimen is attached a small tag with a number handwritten by Töpfer in the 1900s (for reference see Fleischmann & Gonella, 2020). Those specimens are described below.

Specimen with barcode M-0198125: label without heading, with the handwritten annotation “Nacibea cordifolia Mart., habitat in sepibus, locis camporibus ad Villam Ricam etc. Provinciae Min. Geraes, Apr.” and the printed text “Dr. Martius Iter Brasil.” A second label, handwritten by K. Schumann says “Manettia ignita M. var. cordifolia m” and the stamp “det Schumann in Fl. Bras.” The specimen has a small tag attached to the stem with the number “3015” handwritten by Töpfer. This specimen consists of a significant plant portion with numerous leaves, numerous flower buds, and numerous flowers in anthesis. In addition, it has a label saying that it was collected in an open field near Villa Rica, now the town of Ouro Preto, Minas Gerais. This specimen was designated as the lectotype of *Manettia cordifolia* by Gauto et al. (2022: 430).

Specimen with barcode M-0198128: label without heading, with the handwritten annotation “Manettia, habitat in sylvis ad Mariana et V.R.^a [Villa Rica], Provinciae M. G., Apr.” and the printed text “Dr. Martius Iter Brasil.” A second label, handwritten by K. Schumann says “Manettia ignita m. var. cordifolia m” and the stamp “det. Schumann in Fl. Bras.” On the specimen stem is attached a small tag

with the number “3034” handwritten by Töpfer.

Specimen with barcode M-0198129: label without heading, with the handwritten annotation “Nacibea punicea Mart., Habitat in Campis ad Grão Magor Montem [Grão Mogol Mountain], Provinciae Min. Geraes, Aug.” and the printed text “Dr. Martius Iter Brasil.” A second label, handwritten by K. Schumann says “Manettia ignita m. var. cordifolia m” and the stamp “det Schumann in Fl. Bras.” On the specimen stem is attached a small tag with the number “3026” handwritten by Töpfer.

Specimen with barcode M-0198130: label with heading “Herbarium Regium Monacense” and the handwritten annotation “Manettia, Martius Iter Brasiliense.” A second label, printed, says “Manettia [ignita K. Schum. var. cordifolia K. Schum].” On the specimen stem is attached a small tag with the number “3012” handwritten by Töpfer.

Specimen with barcode M-0198131: label with heading “Herbarium Regium Monacense” and the handwritten annotation “Manettia, Martius Iter Brasiliense.” A second label has the printed text “Manettia [ignita K. Schum. var. cordifolia K. Schum].” On the specimen stem is attached a small tag with the number “3011” handwritten by Töpfer.

Specimen with barcode M-0198132: label with heading “Herbarium Regium Monacense” and the handwritten annotation “Manettia, Martius Iter Brasiliense.” A second label has the printed text “Manettia [ignita K. Schum. var. cordifolia K. Schum].” On the specimen stem is attached a small tag with the number “3010” handwritten by Töpfer.

Specimen with barcode M-0198134: label without heading, and the handwritten annotation “Sabicea acuminata Mart., Mart. Obs. 638., Habitat in campestribus, prope Villam Ricam, Provinciae Min. Geraes, Apr.” and the printed text ““Dr. Martius Iter Brasil.” A second label, handwritten by K. Schumann says “Manettia ignita M. an M. gracilis Ch. et Schl. exempl. minimi imperfectum” and the stamp “det Schumann in Fl. Bras.” On the specimen stem is attached a small tag with the number “3007” handwritten by Töpfer.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 656:

“34-2. *Manettia irwinii* Steyererm., Brittonia 30: 36. 1978. - Tipo: Brasil. Goiás: Serra dos Pireneus, Valley of Rio Corumbá, steep rocky slope 15 km N of Corumbá de Goiás, on road to Niquelândia, 1150 m, 17/I/1968 (fl), *H.S. Irwin, H. Maxwell & D. Wasshausen 18688* (holótipo, UB; isótipos, K n.v., MBM, NY, VEN).”

Accepted name: *Manettia irwinii* Steyererm.

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: Serra dos Pireneus, Valley of Rio Corumbá, steep rocky slope 15 km N of Corumbá de Goiás, on road to Niquelândia, 1150 m, 17 Jan. 1968 (fl), *H.S. Irwin, H. Maxwell & D. Wasshausen* 18688 (holotype, UB [barcode UB0040326]; isotypes, K [barcode K000173773], MBM [barcode MBM068350], MO [Acc. No. 2817602], NY [barcode 00132193], US [barcode 00130538], VEN [Acc. No. 103020]).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 659:

“34-3. *Manettia luteo-rubra* (Vell.) Benth., *Linnaea* 23: 445. 1850. - *Guagnebina luteo-rubra* Vell., *Fl. Flum.* 46. 1825; *Icon.* 1: 121. 1831. Tipo: Brasil, coletor desconhecido, s.d., in Vellozo, *Fl. Flum. Icon.* 1: 121. 1831 (lectótipo).”

Accepted name: *Manettia luteorubra* (Vell.) Benth.

Type: BRAZIL. [State of Rio de Janeiro or São Paulo] [illustration]: Original parchment plate of *Florae Fluminensis* in the Manuscript Section of the Biblioteca Nacional of Rio de Janeiro [Catalogue No. mss1095062_125]), **lectotype here designated.**

Notes: Frei José Mariano da Conceição Vellozo (1742–1811) worked on the flora of the state of Rio de Janeiro and contiguous areas in the state of São Paulo and commended the drawings of the species to be published in his publication. According to Borgmeier (1937) and Carauta (1973), the text of *Florae Fluminensis* was printed in 1825 and distributed in 1829; and the illustrations in *Florae Fluminensis Icones* were edited in 1827 and published 1831. As Plate 121 of Vellozo’s *Icones* was published two years after the publication of *Guagnebina luteorubra*, it cannot be treated as original material. An original drawing on parchment of *G. luteorubra* is kept in the Manuscript Section of the National Library in Rio de Janeiro. The original drawing prepared for Vellozo has the heading “Tetrand. Monog. GUAGNEBINA luteo rubra” printed at the top-center of the drawing, and the number “125” handwritten on the upper right corner. On the drawing is depicted a voluble branch with several solitary flowers. The original plate of *G. luteorubra*, with Catalogue No. mss1095062_125, is here designated the lectotype of this name.

35. **MITRACARPUS** Zucc. ex Schult. & Schult. f., *Syst. Veg.*, *Mant.* 3: 210, 399. 1827.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 668:

“35-1. *Mitracarpus baturitensis* Sucre, *Rodriguésia* 26(38): 255. 1971. Tipo: Brasil, Ceará [Serra de Baturité], s.d., *A. Loefgren* 898 (holótipo, RB).”

Accepted name: *Mitracarpus baturitensis* Sucre

Type: BRAZIL. Ceará: [Serra de Baturité], s.d., *A. Loefgren* 898 (holotype, RB [Acc. No. 3899, barcode 00285709]).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 672:

“35-2. *Mitracarpus eritrichoides* Standl., *Publ. Field Mus. Nat. Hist., Bot.* 11: 223. 1936. Tipo: Brasil, Mato Grosso, Diamantino, nascente do Rio Paraguai, XII/1844 (fl, fr), *H.A. Weddell* 3090 (holótipo, P).”

Accepted name: *Mitracarpus eritrichoides* Standl.

Type: BRAZIL. Mato Grosso: Diamantino, nascente do Rio Paraguai, Dec. 1844 (fl, fr), *H.A. Weddell* 3090 (holotype, P [barcode P01090381], isotype fragment, F [ex P; Acc. No. 654376 barcode V0069777F]).

Notes: Standley (1936: 223–224) cited the type of *Mitracarpus eritrichoides* Standl. the specimen *Weddell 3090* at P, which is the holotype (Souza and Cabral, 2010; Souza et al., 2010).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 676:

“35-3. *Mitracarpus hirtus* (L.) DC., *Prodr.* 4: 572. 1830. - *Spermacoce hirta* L., *Sp. Pl.* ed. 2. 148. 1762. Tipo: Jamaica, s.l., s.d., *Coletor Desconhecido s.n.* (holótipo, LINN 125.4, foto!).”

Accepted name: *Mitracarpus hirtus* (L.) DC.

Type: JAMAICA. Without locality, s.d. [1746–1755], *P. Browne s.n.* (holotype, LINN-HL 125.8).

Notes: Linnaeus (1762: 148) described *Spermacoce hirta* L. as “erecta subirsuta, folia elliptica, lineata, subtus pubescentia [...] verticilli multiflori” and cited “Brown. jam. 141. Habitat in Jamaica.” Five years later, Linnaeus (1767: 115) re-described *S. hirta* as “scabra, fol. oblongis [...] Folia elliptica, lineata, scabra utriusque (subtus vero imprimis nervis), non villosa, subpetiolata petiolis connexis membrana multisetata. Flores albi, tubulosi, laterales. Stamina fauce longiora. Antherae

violaceae” and did not cite any specimen or collection locality.

The specimen LINN-HL 125.8 is annotated as “Spermacoce 3. Brown” by Solander, and “Spermacoce 3 hispida” by Linnaeus. Two other annotations are present: “villosa? Swartz” and “villosa? Swartz, non hispida”, both handwritten in pencil by J.E. Smith. On the sheet are two branches with flowers and fruits. At the bottom of the lower branch Linnaeus wrote “Br”, which confirms that this specimen was collected by Patrick Brown in Jamaica.

The specimen LINN-HL 125.4 is annotated as “hirta” by Linnaeus. No annotation by Linnaeus regarding the collector is present on the sheet. This is the specimen that he used to describe *Spermacoce hirta* in 1767. This specimen consists of a sterile branch. Nicolson (1977: 572) wrote that “The description of flower and anther color strongly suggests that Linnaeus prepared his description from living material and pressed the flowering specimen for his herbarium (now 125.4).” This specimen is not a species of *Mitracarpus*.

Verdcourt (1975: 317–322) provided a long discussion about the complex nomenclatural history and identity of this species, and stated that “the earliest name bestowed on the plant is *Spermacoce hirta* L., Sp. Pl. ed. 2, 1: 148 (1762) [...] The Brown reference (Civil and Nat. Hist. Jamaica: 141 (1756) is to SPERMACOCE 3. Erecta subirsuta, foliis oblongis venis arcuatis refertis, superioribus majoribus appropinquatus, floribus constipatis ad alas [...]” and “The actual Browne specimen referred to is still in existence and is preserved in the Linnean Herbarium as number 125.8. This specimen is undoubtedly to be taken as the holotype of *Spermacoce hirta* L. and is undoubtedly the same plant as *Mitracarpus villosus* (Sw.) DC.” (Verdcourt, 1975: 318–319).

Nicolson (1977) reconsidered the application of the names *Mitracarpus hirtus* (L.) DC. vs. *M. villosus* (Sw.) DC, and agreed with Verdcourt (1975) that LINN-HL 125.8 is the holotype of *Spermacoce hirta* L. (Linnaeus 1762: 148). Also, regarding *S. hirta* he stated that “I grant that there is implicit exclusion of the type of *S. hirta* Linnaeus (1762) from *S. hirta* Linnaeus (1767), since the taxa circumscribed are clearly different, by modern standards. However, there seems to be sufficient evidence that Linnaeus (1767) explicitly excluded the type of his 1762 name. By modern standards it appears that Linnaeus simply misidentified his new material, 125.4, with his earlier material, 125.8. Hence, *S. hirta* Linnaeus (1767) is not a later homonym of *S. hirta* Linnaeus (1762), although the taxon to which he applied the name is different.” (Nicolson 1977: 572).

Dwyer (1980: 284), Souza and Cabral (in Delprete 2010b: 676) and Souza et al. (2010: 334) erroneously cit-

ed the specimen LINN 125.4 as the holotype of *Spermacoce hirta*.

Jarvis (2007: 868) regarding *Spermacoce hirta* L. wrote “Lectotype (Verdcourt in Kew Bull. 30: 318. 1975): Herb. Linn. No. 125.8 (LINN). [...] Note: See discussion by Verdcourt, and Nicholson (in *Taxon* 26: 572. 1977) and Howard (*Fl. Less. Antilles* 6: 434. 1989).” It is clear that the sole original specimen of *S. hirta* L. (Linnaeus 1762: 148) is LINN 125.8, which is the holotype.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 683:

“35-4. *Mitracarpus microspermus* K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 83. 1888. Tipo: Brasil: [Roraima?], “ad fluvium Rio Branco”, 1840 (fl, fr), *Schomburgk 856 pro parte* (holótipo B, destruído; lectótipo BM, selecionado por E.B. Souza et al., 2010).”

Accepted name: *Mitracarpus microspermus* K. Schum.

Type: BRAZIL. [Roraima?], “ad fluvium Rio Branco”, Jul. 1840 (fl, fr), *Rob. H. Schomburgk ser. I, 856 pro parte* (B†, photo F [F0BN000914]; BM [barcode BM014124066], lectotype designated by Souza et al. (Apr.–Jun. 2010: 338)).

Notes: Schumann (1888: 83–84) for *Mitracarpus microspermus* K. Schum. cited the gatherings “*Habitat in Guiana Anglica ad fluvium Rio Branco: Rob. Schomburgk n. 856 ex p.; in Guiana Batava: hb. Petropol.; in republica Guatemalensi ad Mniogalpa: Friedrichstal*” and cited “*M. scabrellum* Benth. in J. Bot. 3: 238 ex p. (Bentham, 1841)” as a synonym of *M. microspermus*.

Souza et al. (Apr.–Jun. 2010: 338) designated as lectotype of *Mitracarpus microspermus* the specimen *Rob. Schomburgk ser. I, 856 pro parte* at BM. That specimen has barcode BM014124066, and has a label with the handwritten annotation “On the Rio Branco. In Guiana Anglica, legit Schomburgk No. 856!, VII 1840.” On the same label is handwritten “*Mitracarpus scabrellum* Benth! in Hook. Journ. III. p. 238.” However, there is no evidence on that sheet that it was studied by Schumann.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 683:

Synonym:

Mitracarpus minutiflorus K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 80. 1888. Tipo: Brasil, Goiás, “*inter urbem Goiaz et Cavalcante*”, 1828–1830 (fl, fr), *Burchell 7820* (holótipo B, destruído; lectótipo BR, selecionado por E.B. Souza et al. 2010; isolectótipo K).”

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: “*inter urbem Goiaz et Cavalcante*”, 1828–1830 (fl, fr), *W.J. Burchell* 7820 (B†; BR [barcode 000000574153], lectotype designated by Souza et al. (Apr.–Jun. 2010: 338); isolectotypes GH [barcode 01154967], K [barcode K000447056]).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 688:

“35-5. *Mitracarpus parvulus* K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 84. 1888. Tipo: Tocantins, “ad Porto Real” [agora Porto Nacional], 1828-1830 (fl), *Burchell* 8674 (holótipo B, destruído; lectótipo BR, selecionado por E.B. Souza et al. 2010; isolectótipos K, P). Paratipos (sintipos citados por K. Schumann): Brasil, Mato Grosso, “in siccis graminosis provinciae Goyas prope Cuyabá”, III/1827 (fl, fr), *Riedel* 870 (B, destruído, BR); Tocantins, “ad Porto Real” [agora Porto Nacional], 1828-1830 (fl), *Burchell* 8658 (B, BR, K, P), “in Brasilia occidentali”, *Tamberlik s.n.* (não localizado).”

Accepted name: *Mitracarpus parvulus* K.Schum.

Type: BRAZIL. Tocantins: “ad Porto Real” [now Porto Nacional], 1828–1830 (fl), *W.J. Burchell* 8674 (B†; BR [barcode 000000558758], lectotype designated by Souza et al. (Apr.–Jun. 2010: 340); isolectotypes K [barcode K000174166], P [barcode P03911661]).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 690:

“35-6. *Mitracarpus recurvatus* Standl., Publ. Field Mus., Bot. 8: 384. 1931. Tipo: Brasil, Minas Gerais [“Goyaz”], Paracatu, “prés de porto” [?], 1894 (fl, fr), *A. Glaziou* 21511 (holótipo, K; isótipos, G, P).”

Accepted name: *Mitracarpus recurvatus* Standl.

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais [“Brazil. Chiefly Province of Goyaz”]: Paracatu, “prés de Porto”, 1894 (fl, fr), *A.F.M. Glaziou* 21511 (holótipo, K [barcode K000174497]; isotypes, F [fragment from K, Acc. No. 630505], G [barcode G00436966], P [not traced]).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 694:

“35-7. *Mitracarpus robustus* E.B. Souza & E.L. Cabral, Rodriguésia 61: 345, fig. 8 A-G. 2010. Tipo: Brasil, Ceará, Mun Porteiras, Chapada do Araripe, 7°28’S, 39°08’W, 930 m, 30/III/2000 (fl), *P.G. Delprete, E.B. Souza, F.S. Cavalcanti & L.W. Lima-Verde* 7316 (holótipo, EAC; isótipos, HUEFS, NY).”

Accepted name: *Mitracarpus polygonifolius* (A.St.Hil.) R.M.Salas & E.B.Souza, Rodriguésia 66(3): 921. 2015.

Type: BRAZIL. Ceará: Mun Porteiras, Chapada do Araripe, 7°28’S, 39°08’W, 930 m, 30 Mar. 2000 (fl), *P.G. Delprete, E.B. Souza, F.S. Cavalcanti & L.W. Lima-Verde* 7316 (holótipo, EAC [2 sheets, Acc. No. 28985]; isotypes, HUEFS [barcode HUEFS0080844], NY [barcode unknown]).

Mitracarpus polygonifolius (A.St.Hil.) R.M.Salas & E.B.Souza, Rodriguésia 66(3): 921. 2015. – *Spermacoce polygonifolia* A. St. Hil., Voy. Distr. Diam. 1: 381. 1833.

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais: “Villa Rica” [now Ouro Preto], s.d., *A. de Saint-Hilaire s.n.* (P [barcode P03818586], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotype, MPU [barcode MPU022474]).

Notes: Souza and Cabral in Souza et al. (Apr.–Jun. 2010: 345) proposed *Mitracarpus robustus* E.B. Souza & E.L. Cabral as a new name for *Mitracarpus frigidus* var. *humboldtianus*, which is not a valid name. They cited the holotype of *M. robustus* as the specimen *Delprete et al.* 7316 at EAC. The EAC specimen is mounted on two sheets, both with Accession No. 28985. According to Art. 8.3 of the *Code* (Turland et al. 2018), the two sheets are treated as a single specimen with multiple preparations.

Salas et al. (2015) concluded that *Spermacoce polygonifolia* A.St.Hil. is synonymous with *Mitracarpus robustus* E.B.Souza & E.L.Cabral. Because Saint Hilaire’s name has nomenclatural priority, they published the new combination *Mitracarpus polygonifolius* (A.St.Hil.) R.M.Salas & E.B.Souza, which is the name to be used for this species.

Saint-Hilaire (1833: 381-383) published *Spermacoce polygonifolia* with a detailed description and comparison with several species of *Borreria* and *Spermacoce*, but did not cite any material examined or the herbarium of deposit. Two original specimens annotated as *S. polygonifolia* by Saint-Hilaire are found at P and MPU. Salas et al. (2015) cited the specimen at P as the holotype. However, because Saint-Hilaire did not cite any specimen or herbarium of deposit, the P specimen cannot be treated as the holotype. Also, Salas et al.’s citation cannot be treated as an inadvertent lectotypification, because, according to the *Code*, it should have been accompanied by “here designated” or a similar expression. Hence the specimen at P, with barcode P03818586, is here designated the lectotype of this name.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 697:

“35-8. *Mitracarpus schininianus* E.L. Cabral, W.A. Medina & E.B. Souza, *Candollea* 64: 154. 2009 – *Mitracarpus frigidus* var. *glaberrimus* Chodat & Hassl., *Bull. Herb. Boissier ser. 2, 4*: 191. 1904. Tipo: Paraguai, Canindeyú, “Iter ad Yerbales, montium Sierra de Maracayú”, s.d. (fl, fr), *E. Hassler 5027* (lectótipo, G, selecionado por Cabral et al., 2009).”

Accepted name: *Mitracarpus schininianus*
E.L.Cabral, W.A.Medina & E.B.Souza

Type: PARAGUAY. Canindeyú: “In sylva Ipé hú Sierra Maracayú”, Nov. 1898–1899 (fl, fr), *E. Hassler 5027* (G [G001166094], lectotype designated by Cabral et al. (2009: 154); isolectotypes G [2 sheets, barcodes G001166095, G001166096]).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 699:

“35-9. *Mitracarpus steyermarkii* E.L. Cabral & Bacigalupo, *Acta Bot. Bras.* 11(1): 50. 1997. Tipo: Brasil, Bahia, Barreiras, 7 km S of Rio Piau, ca. 150 km SW of Barreiras, 850 m, 13/IV/1966 (fl), *H.S. Irwin, J.W. Grear Jr., R. Souza & R. Reis dos Santos 14690* (holótipo K; isótipo NY).”

Accepted name: *Mitracarpus steyermarkii*
E.L.Cabral & Bacigalupo

Type: BRAZIL. Bahia: Barreiras, 7 km S of Rio Piau, ca. 150 km SW of Barreiras, 850 m, 13 Apr. 1966 (fl), *H.S. Irwin, J.W. Grear Jr., R. Souza & R. Reis dos Santos 14690* (holotype K [barcode K000016261]; isotypes CTES [barcode CTES0013507], F [Acc. No. 1784171], NY [barcode 00132260]).

37. OLDENLANDIA L., Sp. Pl. 119. 1753.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 712:

“37-1. *Oldenlandia corymbosa* L., Sp. Pl. 119. 1753. - *Hedyotis corymbosa* (L.) Lam., *Tabl. Encycl.* 1: 272. 1792. - Tipo: Não citado (holótipo, LINN).”

Accepted name: *Oldenlandia corymbosa* L.

Type: [icon] Plumier, *Nov. Pl. Amer. tab.* 36. 1703 (lower portion of Tab. 36, fruits and flowers of “Oldenlandia”), lectotype designated by Verdcourt (1976: 308).

Notes: Linnaeus (1753: 119) published *Oldenlandia corymbosa* L. and added the annotation “Oldenlandia pedunculis multifloris, foliis lineari-lanceolatis. + Oldenlandia humilis hyssopifolia. *Plum. gen.* 42. *Erhet. pict. t.* 4. f. I. - *Habitat in America meridionali.*”

Verdcourt (1976: 308) designated as lectotype the fruits and flowers of “Oldenlandia” depicted on the lower portion of Table 36 of Plumier (1703). According to The Linnaean Plant Name Typification Project (<https://data.nhm.ac.uk/dataset/the-linnaean-plant-name-typification-project>) “Verdcourt’s choice of type appears to be the earliest.”

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 716:

“37-2. *Oldenlandia lancifolia* (Schumach.) DC., *Prodr.* 4: 425. 1830. - *Hedyotis lancifolia* Schumach. in Schumach. & Thonn, *Beskr. Guin. Pl.* 92. 1828. - *Oldenlandia herbacea* sensu Bremek. in Pulle, *Fl. Surinam* 4: 126. 1934. Tipo: Africa, Gana, Valley of Aquapim, *Thonning 210* (lectótipo C, selecionado por Verdcourt, 1976, isolectótipo, S n.v.).”

Accepted name: *Oldenlandia lancifolia* (Schumach.) DC.

Type: GHANA: Valley of Aquapim, *P. Thonning 210* (C [barcode C10003933], lectotype designated by Verdcourt (1976: 292); isolectotype, S [Acc. No. S-G-3012]; possible isolectotype C [barcode C10003937, “legit Thonning in Guinea”, without collection number]).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 717:

“37-3. *Oldenlandia salzmännii* (DC.) Hook. f. in Benth. & Hook. f., *Gen. Pl.* 2: 58. 1873. - *Anotis salzmännii* DC., *Prodr.* 4: 433. 1830. - *Hedyotis salzmännii* (DC.) Steud., *Nom. Bot.*, ed. 2, 1: 728. 1841. - Tipo: Brasil, Bahia, s.d., *Salzmann s.n.* (holótipo G-DC).”

Accepted name: *Oldenlandia salzmännii* (DC.) Hook. f.

Type: BRAZIL. Bahia: “circà Bahiam frequentes” [near Salvador], s.d. [1827–1831], *P. Salzmann s.n.* (holotype G-DC [barcode G00666349]; isotypes E [barcode E00500000], HAL [2 sheets, barcodes HAL0114263, HAL0114264], K [barcode K000015898], MO [Acc. No. 4213062], MPU [barcode MPU021483]).

Notes: Candolle (1830: 433) cited the original material of *Anotis salzmannii* DC. as “circà Bahiam frequentes. Mediinter priorem et sequentem. Cor. carnea. (v.s. comm. à cl. Salzman.)” In G-DC there is a specimen, with barcode G00666349, with two labels. One of them has the annotation “Anotis Salzmanni DC.” handwritten by Candolle. The other label has the annotation “Rubiaceae. 4. cor. pallida. Bahia, in humidis, Mr. Salzman, 1830” probably handwritten by Salzman. That specimen is the holotype of this name.

38. **PAEDERIA** L., Mant. Pl. 1: 7, 52. 1767.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 723:

“38-1. *Paederia brasiliensis* (Hook. f.) Puff, Opera Bot. Belg. 3: 328. 1991. - *Ligodisodea brasiliensis* Hook. f., J. Bot. (London) 2: 25, tab. 2. 1840. - Tipo: Brasil, Ceará, Serra do Araripe, 1838, *Gardner 1698* (holótipo, K, foto at IPA 41369; isótipo, BM).”

Accepted name: *Paederia brasiliensis* (Hook. f.) Puff

Type: BRAZIL. Ceará: Serra do Araripe, Oct. 1838, *G. Gardner 1698* (holotype, K [barcode K000016022]; isotypes, BM [barcode BM001009097], BR [barcode 000000531735]; photo-K at IPA 41369).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 723:

Synonym:

“*Paederia gardneri* Hook. f. in Benth. & Hook. f., Gen. Pl. 2: 134. 1873. - *Honbesseion gardneri* (Hook. f.) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 1: 285. 1891. Tipo: Brasil, Tocantins [“Goyáz”], Arraias [ca. 12°58’S, 46°53’W, 27 Feb-4 May] 1840, *Gardner 3768* (holótipo, K, fotos em IPA 41368 e NY).”

Type: BRAZIL. Tocantins [“Goyáz”]: Arraias [ca. 12°58’S, 46°53’W], [27 Feb-4 May] 1840, *G. Gardner 3768*, holotype (K [barcode K000432990], photo in IPA 41368 and NY).

New Record for the state of Goiás: In 2010, when the Rubiaceae of the FGT were published, *Paederia brasiliensis* (Hook. f.) Puff was known to me in the area studied only by the holotype of *Paederia gardneri* Hook. f., collected in 1840 near the town of Arraias, located near the southern border of the state of Tocantins. When the gathering *Gardner 3768* was collected, the state of Goiás included what is now the state

of Tocantins. A gathering of this species was collected in 2000, in a nearby area, in the municipality of Monte Alegre de Goiás, a locality near the northern border of the state of Goiás, and is the sole collection of this species in that state. I had the occasion to study a duplicate of this gathering in 2012, in IBGE. The data of that gathering is here presented.

BRAZIL. Goiás: Mun. Monte Alegre de Goiás, estrada próxima ao povoado de Prata em direção à balsa do Rio Paranã, trepadeira heliófila cobrindo arbusto próxima ao córrego, corola exbranquisada, cálice e botões florais esverdeados, folhas discolores verdes; planta com cheiro muito desagradável, mata de galeria alterada na margem da estrada de terra, solo claro, 13°27’9”S, 46°49’35”W, alt. 675 m, 13 Apr. 2000 (fl), *M.A. da Silva, M.L. Fonseca, R.C. Mendonça & E. Cardoso 4387* (CEN [barcode 00036393], IBGE [barcode IBGE00048196], NY [barcode 00950510], RB [Acc. No. 426610, barcode 00410327], UEC [barcode UEC082449], HUVA [not there, E.B. Souza, pers. comm. 2022], US [barcode 02367649]).

The specimen of *M.A. da Silva et al. 4387* at CEN had been erroneously identified as *Condylocarpon isthmicum* (Vell.) A. DC. by P.A. Messias in November 2018, but is a member of *Paederia brasiliensis* instead.

39. **PAGAMEA** Aubl., Hist. Pl. Guiane 1: 112, fig. 44. 1775.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 729:

“39-1. *Pagamea plicata* Spruce ex Benth., J. Linn. Soc., Bot. 1: 109. 1857. Tipo: Brasil, Amazonas, Rio Negro, São Gabriel da Cachoeira, 1852, *R. Spruce 2342* (holótipo, BM).”

Accepted name: *Pagamea plicata* Spruce ex Benth.

Type: BRAZIL. Amazonas: Rio Negro, São Gabriel da Cachoeira, [Jan.-Aug.] 1852, *R. Spruce 2342* (K [barcode K000265553], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotypes, B†, BM [barcode BM000649989], BR [barcode 000000552323], E [barcode E00504632], F [3 sheets, Acc. No. 768230, Acc. No. 607213 (fragment and photo of the B specimen), Acc. No. 871636 (fragment ex B)], GH [barcode 00094820], GOET [barcode GOET010417], LD [Acc. No. 1819681], NY [barcode 00132426, with same locality but with collection number “2243”], P [2 sheets, barcodes P02285069 (with collection date June 1852), P02285070 (with collection date Jan.-Aug. 1852)], RB [2 sheets, Acc. No. 1106, barcodes 00543643, 00560025]).

Note: Bentham (1857: 109) cited the material studied of *Pagamea plicata* Spruce ex Benth. a gathering collected by Richard Spruce near São Gabriel da Cachoeira, Rio Negro, Amazonas, Brazil, without indicating the collection number or the herbarium of deposit. Bentham regularly studied specimens in both K and BM herbaria. The original gathering corresponds to *Spruce 2342*, which has duplicates in numerous herbaria. Delprete (2010b: 729) cited the BM specimen as the holotype. As Delprete's citation was published after 1 January 2001, according to the *Code* it cannot be interpreted as an inadvertent lectotypification. The K specimen with the stamp "Herbarium Benthamianum" and barcode K000265553, is here designated the lectotype of this name.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 732:

"39-2. *Pagamea guianensis* Aubl., Hist. Pl. Guiane 1: 113, fig. 44. 1775. - Tipo: Guiana Francesa, "prope e supra montem Serpent et a l'abitation appellée Gallion", s.d., *J.B.C.F. Aublet s.n.* (holótipo BM, foto em NY)."

Accepted name: *Pagamea guianensis* Aubl.

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: Montagne Serpent [a series of hills parallel to the road between the town of Gallion and the bridge on the Comté River], "habitat propè & supra montem *Serpent* dictum" and "cet arbrisseau étoit en fleur et en fruit dans le mois d'Aout. [...] au sommet de la montagne Serpent & à l'abitation appellée Gallion", s.d. [1762–1764], *J.B.C.F. Aublet s.n.* (P-JJR 4: 143), lectotype designated by Lanjouw and Uittien (1940: 155); isolectotypes BM [barcode BM000624228], LINN-SM [No. 340.8].

Notes: For additional information regarding the typification of *Pagamea guianensis* Aubl. see Delprete (2015).

40. **PALICOUREA** Aubl., Hist. Pl. Guiane 172. 1775.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 739:

"40-1. *Palicourea coriacea* (Cham.) K. Schum. in Engl. & Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4(4): 115. 1891. - *Patabea coriacea* Cham., Linnaea 9: 234. 1834. - Tipo: Brasil, sem localidade, s.d., *Sellow s.n.* (holótipo, B, destruído; foto em NY)."

Accepted name: *Palicourea coriacea* (Cham.) K.Schum.

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: Mun. Cocalzinho, Parque Estadual dos Pireneus, trilha que segue do portal da Fazenda Capitão do Mato em direção do Morro do Cabeludo, vegetação de cerrado rupestre com áreas de campos encharcados, arbustinho multicaule, com geopódio basal lenhoso, caule de 40-60 cm, ráquis e brácteas amarelo vivo, 15°48'23-24"S, 48°49'20-29"W, alt. 1150 m, 19 Nov. 2006 (fl), *Delprete et al.* 9947 (RB [Acc. No. 494074, barcode 00584026], **neotype here designated**; isoneotypes NY [barcode 01146630], SPF [barcode SPF 189601], UFG [Acc. No. 43349]).

Notes: Chamisso (1834: 234–235) cited the material studied of *Patabea coriacea* Cham. as "E Brasilia misit Sellowius." The original material at B was destroyed during WWII. No other specimens associated with this name, collected by Sellow, could be found in any herbarium. Therefore, a neotype needs to be designated. The specimen *Delprete et al.* 9947 at RB is here designated the neotype of *Patabea coriacea* Cham. [= *Palicourea coriacea* (Cham.) K.Schum.], and the duplicates of this gathering, present in other herbaria, are isoneotypes.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 751:

"40-2. *Palicourea crocea* (Sw.) Roem. & Schult., Syst. Veg. 5: 193. 1819. - *Psychotria crocea* Sw., Prodr. Veg. Ind. Occ. 44. 1788. - Tipo: "Jamaica et Hispaniola", s.d., *Swartz s.n.* (holótipo, S n.v.)."

Accepted name: *Palicourea crocea* (Sw.) Roem. & Schult.

Type: JAMAICA. Without locality, s.d., *O. Swartz s.n.* (S [Acc. No. S-R-5316], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotypes LD [Acc. No. 1255937], M [barcode M-0198314], S [Acc. No. S-R-5317], SBT [barcode SBT13385]).

Notes: Olof Swartz (1788: 44) described *Psychotria crocea* Sw. as "[...] panicula erecta terminali crocea, pedunculis coloratis, Psychotrophium 2. *Brown. jam.* 160. t. 13. f. 2, I. *Jamaica, Hispaniola*" without citing any specimen. He wrongly cited the figure in Browne's publication. The plate associated with this name is Table 13, Figure 1, and not Figure 2 as cited by Swartz.

Under *Psychotria crocea*, Swartz cited "Psychotrophium 2", which was described and illustrated in Patrick Browne's (1756) *Civil and Natural History of Jamaica*. Browne (1756: 169) published the polynomial "Psychotrophium 2. Fructulosum, foliis amplioribus ovatis,

stipulosis rigidis interpostis, ramulis crassioribus, racemis umbellatis, sustentaculis ternato-ternatis. Tab. 17. f. 2” However, his *Psychotrophum* 2 is on Table 13, figure 1 (on Table 17, Figure 2 is depicted a species of *Chione*). To this species he assigned the English vernacular name “The smaller succulent *Psychotrophum*”, which was followed by a detailed description of the species. On Table 13, figure 1 of Browne’s publication is depicted an inflorescence with flower buds, flowers in anthesis, and fruits. At the bottom of the branch are drawn a flower bud, a flower in anthesis, a dissected corolla, several representations of the hypanthium and style, and a mature fruit crowned by the persistent calyx. This drawing is original material of *Psychotria crocea*.

According to Stafleu and Cowan (1986: 116), the main set of Swartz’s herbarium is at S, and the “West-Indian collections (1748–1786) at S, are not complete”. They also indicated that additional Swartz specimens can be found in numerous herbaria.

Taylor (1989: 31) and Andersson (1992: 157) wrote that the holotype of *Psychotria crocea* [= *Palicourea crocea* (Sw.) Roem. & Schult.] is at BM. Taylor (1999a: 154) cited the type of this name as “Type: Jamaica, without locality, without date, O. Swartz s.n. (S holotype, photo JBSD).” Then, Taylor (2012b: 170) reverted her citation, and wrote that holotype of this name is at BM. After exhaustive search, no specimen collected by Swartz associated with this name was found in BM.

Delprete (2010b: 751) cited the holotype of this name as “holótipo, S n.v.” This citation can not be interpreted as inadvertent lectotypification, because it is not accompanied by “here designated” or a similar expression. Searching for possible original material associated with this name, there are two specimens at S and specimens at SBT, M and LD collected by Swartz that should be considered as possible original material and are discussed below.

Two specimens associated with this name and collected by Swartz are present in S. The specimen with Accession No. S-R-5316 has the annotation “Ind. Occ. Swartz” handwritten by an unknown author on the bottom left corner of the sheet. The sheet also has the annotations “*Palicourea crocea* De Cand., *Psychotria crocea* Sw.” handwritten by an unknown author on the bottom right corner. This specimen consists of two branches with leaves and terminal inflorescences with flower buds, a small branch with a terminal infructescence with mature fruits, and the distal portion of an inflorescence with flower buds. Considering the ample material present on this sheet, this specimen, with Accession No. S-R-5316, collected by Swartz in Jamaica, is here designated the lectotype of *Psychotria crocea* Sw.

The other S specimen, with Accession No. S-R-5317, is mounted on a smaller sheet glued onto a larger supporting sheet. On the smaller sheet is affixed a single branch with a fastigiate inflorescence with the corollas fallen off, and a loose fruit. On the smaller sheet, there is the handwritten annotation “*Psychotria crocea* Sw.! *Palicourea crocea* DC. – Jamaica: Ol. Swartz!” The annotation “Ol. Swartz!” means that this specimen was collected and studied by Swartz. On the bottom of the larger sheet are affixed two labels with the annotations “*Psychotria crocea* Swartz, Prodr. 44. 1788. [...] det. C.M. Taylor XI 1988” and “= *Palicourea crocea* (Sw.) R. & S. – det. C.M. Taylor XI 1988.” This specimen is an isolectotype.

The SBT specimen, with barcode SBT13385, consists of a branch with numerous leaves and a terminal inflorescence with flower buds and flowers in anthesis. On the back of the sheet is handwritten in ink “Jamaica, Swartz. *Palicourea crocea* De Cand., 6 *Psychotria* Swartz *crocea*.” This specimen is an isolectotype.

The M specimen with barcode M-0198314 has a label with the upper heading “Herbarium Regium Monacense” and the lower heading “Herbar. Schreberianum.” The label has the handwritten annotation “*Psychotria crocea* Sw., *Palicourea crocea* R. & Schult., (nec De Cand., qui cum aliis confundere), Jamaica, Ol. Swartz.” The specimen, collected by Swartz in Jamaica, is composed of a single branch with numerous leaves and a terminal inflorescence with flower buds. This specimen is an isolectotype.

The LD specimen, with Acc. No. 1255937, has the stamp “Museum Acharianum”. It has two annotations handwritten directly on the sheet “Jamaica. Swartz” and “P. crocea”, both by different unknown authors, not Swartz. The specimen, collected by Swartz in Jamaica, consists of a single branch with several leaves, and a terminal infructescence with three fruits. This specimen is an isolectotype.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 760:

“40-3. *Palicourea guianensis* Aubl., Hist. Pl. Guiane 1: 173. 1775. - *Psychotria guianensis* (Aubl.) Rusby, Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 3: 48. 1893. - Tipo: Guiana Francesa, Forêts d’Orapu, s.d., J.B.C.F. Aublet s. n. (holótipo, P).”

Accepted name: *Palicourea guianensis* Aubl.

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: Kaw Mountains, “in sylvis territorii Caux” and “arbrisseau en fleur dans les forêts de Caux, au mois de Février”, s.d. [Feb–Mar 1764], J.B.C.F. Aublet s.n. (P-JJR 8: 268, lecto-

type designated by Lanjouw and Uittien (1940: 155); isolectotype BM [barcode BM000058138].

Notes: For additional information regarding the typification of *Palicourea guianensis* Aubl., see Delprete (2015).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 765:

“40-4. *Palicourea macrobotrys* (Ruiz & Pav.) Roem. & Schult., Syst. Veg. 5: 194. 1819. - *Psychotria macrobotrys* Ruiz & Pav., Fl. Peruv. 2: 57, pl. 203, fig. a. 1799. Tipo: Perú, Huánuco, “in Andium nemoribus ad Cuchero et Chinchao”, s.d., *Tafalla & Manzanilla [in Herb. Ruiz & Pavón] s.n.* (holótipo, MA; isótipo, MPU).”

Accepted name: *Palicourea macrobotrys* (Ruiz & Pav.) DC.

Correction of authority: *Palicourea macrobotrys* (Ruiz & Pav.) DC., Prodr. 4: 527. 1830. – “*Palicourea macrobotrys* (Ruiz & Pav.) Roem. & Schult.”, Syst. Veg. 5: 194. 1819, name cited as a *Psychotria* species.

Type: PERU. Huánuco. [Protologue: “Habitat in *Andium* nemoribus imis ad *Cuchero* et *Chinchao* tractus”, “Cuchero”, s.d. *J.J. Tafalla & J.A. Manzanilla [in Herb. H. Ruiz López & J.A. Pavón y Jiménez] s.n.* (MA [barcode MA 815923], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotypes K [barcode K000471538], MA [2 sheets, barcodes MA 815921, MA 815922], MPU [barcode MPU022082], drawing by Isidro Gálvez in Herbarium Archives of the Royal Botanical Expedition to the Viceroyalty of Peru [Acc. No. AJB04-D-0415_001]; isolectotype fragment F [Accession No. 591760]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Psychotria macrobotrys* Ruiz & Pav., Ruiz and Pavón (1799: 57) cited the collection locality as “Habitat in *Andium* nemoribus imis ad *Cuchero* et *Chinchao* tractus.” Taylor (1999a: 232) cited the type of *P. macrobotrys* as “Habitat in *Andium* nemoribus ad Cuchero et Chinchao, Jul.–Aug., Ruiz and Pavón s.n. (MA holotype, n.v.).” Because there are several original specimens at MA, Taylor’s type citation cannot be treated as a lectotypification. According to the *Code* (Turland et al., 2018), original material is “The set of specimens and illustrations from which a lectotype may be chosen (Art. 9.4, Notes 2 and 3, Art. F. 3.9, and Note 2 for details), or the holotype (Art. 9.1).” At MA, there are one original drawing and several original specimens, which are described and discussed below.

The original drawing of *Psychotria macrobotrys* made by Isidro Gálvez is preserved in the Herbarium Archives of the Royal Botanical Expedition to the Viceroyalty of Peru made by Hipólito Ruiz & José Pavón. On the colored drawing, two species of *Psychotria* are represented. On the left side is depicted a branch with an inflorescence with flowers and fruits of *Psychotria macrobotrys*, on the lower portion are drawn a dissected corolla, a stamen, hypanthium and style, a fruit, and two pyrenes. On the right side of the drawing is depicted a branch with an inflorescence with flowers and fruits of *Psychotria amethystina*, and just below are drawn a dissected corolla, a stamen, a hypanthium and style, a fruit, and two pyrenes. On the upper margin of the drawing is the handwritten number “203”. Above the drawing is a label with the heading “REAL JARDÍN BOTÁNICO, CSIC – ARCHIVO, Real Expedición Botánica al Virreinato del Perú, AJB04-D-0415_001.”

The MA specimen with barcode MA815921 has two labels. One label has the annotation “*Palicourea macrobotrys* D.C., *Psychotria macrobotrys* Fl. Per.” handwritten by an unknown author. Just above that label is affixed another label, with the annotation “*Psychotria macrobotrys* Fl. P.” handwritten by Hipólito Ruiz. On the sheet, are mounted two branches with several leaves and terminal inflorescences with flowers and young fruits.

The MA specimen with barcode MA815922 has a label with the annotation “*Psychotria lutea*, Cochero insi locis [?] et Julio, *Psychotria macrobotrys* Fl. P. vol. 2. p. 57. t. 203.f.a.” handwritten by Hipólito Ruiz. On the sheet are mounted two branches with several leaves and terminal inflorescences with flowers and young fruits.

On the MA specimen with barcode MA815923, is glued a small sheet of paper with a detailed description of *Psychotria macrobotrys*, handwritten by Joseph Dombey, which is very similar to the description published by Ruiz and Pavón (1799: 57). Above that label is another label with the annotation “*Psychotria macrobotryx* Sp. Pl. Fl. Per. de Cuchero” handwritten by Hipólito Ruiz. On the sheet, are mounted two branches with several leaves and terminal inflorescences with flowers and young fruits. This specimen is here designated the lectotype of this name.

At K there is a sheet with barcode K000471538, and a small handwritten label (author unknown) with the annotation “*Psychotria*, Ex Herb de R et P., Lima.” On the lower margin is handwritten “*Palicourea macrobotrys* (R. & P.) R. & S., PCS [Paul Carpenter Standley]”. On the sheet, is mounted a branch with several leaves and a terminal inflorescence with numerous flower buds.

At MPU there is a sheet with barcode MPU022082 and with a handwritten label (author unknown) saying

“Psychotria macrobotrys Fl. Per., Pérou. dedit Pavon.” On the lower right corner is a second label with the heading “Institut de Botanique de Montpellier” and the handwritten annotation “Palicourea macrobotrys Roem & Sch., Psychotria _____ Ruiz & Pav.” On the sheet is mounted a branch with several leaves and a terminal infructescence.

At F there is a sheet, Accession No. 591760, on which is mounted an envelope containing a few flower buds and a leaf fragment. On the envelope is typewritten “Palicourea macrobotrys (R. & P.) R. & S., Peru, Ruiz & Pavón.”

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 769:

“40-5. *Palicourea marcgravii* A. St. Hil., Pl. Rem. Brés. 231, tab. 22, fig. A. 1824. - *Psychotria marcgravii* (A. St. Hil.) Spreng., Syst. Cur. Post. 79. 1827. - Tipo: Brasil, “cucilli entre Rossinha da Negra e Varge, route de Rio de Janeiro à Minas Gerais”, s.d. (fl), A. Saint-Hilaire 2209 (holótipo, P).”

Accepted name: *Palicourea marcgravii* A.St.Hil.

Type: [icon] Saint-Hilaire, Hist. Pl. Remarq. Bresil, Tab. 22, fig. A. 1824, **lectotype here designated.**

Notes: Augustin de Saint-Hilaire (1824: 231–232) provided a detailed description of *Palicourea marcgravii* A. St. Hil. and, among many other characters, stated that is a shrub 5–6 feet tall, with opposite leaves that are puberulous below when young or glabrous below when mature, terminal multiflorous cymes with puberulous rachis, corollas 5–7 lines [10.5–15 mm] long, gibbous at base, basally yellow and distally purple, 2-locular ovary, and ascending ovules. Above the description he cited “Galvania sp. 2da (Erva do rato) Vell. Mss. – Erva do rato Marcg. Bras. 60, fig. 2 (1). – N. Vulg. Erva do rato”, and represented this species in Table 22, fig. A.

Delprete (2010b: 765) cited the type of *Palicourea marcgravii* as “A. Saint-Hilaire 2209 (holótipo, P).” The specimen cited by Delprete, which now has barcode P00852566, cannot be treated as a holotype because Saint-Hilaire in the protologue did not cite any of his own collections.

Saint-Hilaire’s (1824) Table 22, Figure A, of *Histoire des plantes les plus remarquables du Bresil et du Paraguay* well represents this species and is consistent with Saint-Hilaire’s accurate description. Aside from a branch with numerous leaf pairs and two terminal inflorescences, at the base of the plate are depicted a longitudinally dissected corolla, an anther, a style with

two short lobes, and a hypanthium topped by the calyx and exceeding nectariferous disk. Hence, Saint-Hilaire’s Table 22, fig. A is here designated the lectotype of *Palicourea marcgravii*.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 769:

Synonym:

Palicourea marcgravii A. St. Hil. var. β , *pubescens* A.St.Hil., Pl. Rem. Brés. 232. 1824.

Type: [icon] Saint-Hilaire, Hist. Pl. Remarq. Bresil, Tab. 22, fig. A. 1824, **lectotype here designated.**

Notes: Saint-Hilaire (1824: 232) described *Palicourea marcgravii* var. *pubescens* A. St. Hil. as “V. β , *pubescens*; foliis subtus pubescentibus aut puberulis.” and cited the areas of occurrence as “Frequens in provinciis Minas Geraes et Pernambuco (Marcg.), ad margines sylvarum primaevarum et in sylvis caeduis. Floret Decembre–Martio.” Because in the original publication he described the leaves of *P. marcgravii* as puberulous below when young or glabrous below when mature, the morphological characters of var. *pubescens* fit within the variation of the species, and Saint-Hilaire’s Table 22, fig. A is here designated the lectotype of *P. marcgravii* var. *pubescens*.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 783:

“40-6. *Palicourea officinalis* Mart. in Spix & Mart., Reise Bras. 2: 544. 1828. - *Psychotria medica* Muell. Arg. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(5): 232. 1881, *nom. nov. superfl.* Tipo: Brasil, Minas Gerais, “in arenosis siccis campestribus deserti ad flumen S. Francisco”, 1818 (fl), C.F.P. Martius s.n. (holótipo, M).”

Accepted name: *Palicourea officinalis* Mart.

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais: “campis editis Serro Frio”, s.d. (fl), C.F.P. Martius s.n. (holotype, M [barcode M-0198485]).

Notes: Martius in Spix and Martius (1828: 544) did not cite the collection locality of *Palicourea officinalis* Mart. or the herbarium of deposit. At M there is a specimen with barcode M-0198485 and a label specifying “Dr. Martius Iter Brasil.” On the label is annotated the name *Palicourea officinalis*, a short description, and the locality “campis editis Serro Frio” handwritten by Martius. This specimen is the holotype of this name.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 794:

“40-7. *Palicourea rigida* Kunth in Humb. & Bonpl., Nov. Gen. Sp. 3: 370 (quarto ed.). 1819. - *Psychotria rigida* var. *genuina* Muell. Arg. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(5): 230. 1881. Tipo: Venezuela, Sucre, “prope Quetepe et in Monte Cocollar”, s.d., *Humboldt & Bonpland s.n.* (holótipo, P-Bonpl; isotipo, B-Willd).”

Accepted name: *Palicourea rigida* Kunth

Type: VENEZUELA. Sucre: “prope Quetepe et in Monte Cocollar”, s.d., *J.A. Bonpland & F.W.H.A. Humboldt 246* (holotype, P-Bonpl [barcode P00671115]; isotype B-W [barcode B-W 04106-02 0]).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 809:

“40-8. *Palicourea triphylla* DC., Prodr. 4: 526. 1830. - *Psychotria triphylla* (DC.) Muell. Arg. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(5): 233. 1881. Tipo: Guiana Francesa, sem localidade, s.d., *Patris s.n.* (holótipo, G-DC).”

Accepted name: *Palicourea triphylla* DC.

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: Without locality, s.d., *J.B. Patris s.n.* (holotype, G-DC [2 sheets, barcodes G00667830, G00667831]).

Notes: In G-DC there are two sheets associated with this name, which are kept together in the same folder and numbered as 1 and 2. Sheet number 1, with barcode G00667830, has one labeled with the annotation “Cayenne” handwritten by Candolle, and one infructescence. Sheet number 2, barcode G00667831, has the labels with “Cayenne” and “*Palicourea triphylla* DC.” handwritten by Candolle, and branch with a few leaves and an inflorescence, and a separate node with a leaf pair. Because the two sheets are numbered consecutively and kept together, they are treated as one specimen, which is the holotype of *Palicourea triphylla* DC.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 813:

“40-9. *Palicourea urbaniana* Standl., Publ. Field Columbian Mus., Bot. Ser. 8: 219. 1930. - Tipo: Brasil, Goiás, sem localidade, 1894-1895 (fl, fr), *A. Glaziou 21533* (holótipo, B, destruído).”

Accepted name: *Palicourea urbaniana* Standl.

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: Capelinha de Santo Antonio, 1894-1895 [“25 Dec. 1894”] (fl, fr), *A.F.M. Glaziou*

21533 (K [barcode K000471481], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotypes P [barcode P00837027] fragment F [Acc. No. 611912 barcode V0070103F]).

Notes: The original material of *Palicourea urbaniana* Standl. at B was destroyed during WWII. Three specimens associated with this name are at F, K and P, which are discussed below. On the F sheet with Acc. No. 611912 is affixed an envelope in which are included fragments from B, consisting of two leaves and a distal portion of a branchlet with a few flower buds. On the envelope is typewritten “*Palicourea urbaniana* Standl. - Brazil: Capelinha de Santo Antonio, Goyaz, A. Glaziou 21533 - 1894-95. From type in hb. Berol.” On the same sheet is mounted a photograph of the destroyed B specimen. Above the photograph is annotated “C.N.H.M. negative number 49964.”

On the K sheet with barcode K000471481 are affixed two flowering branches and a label with the heading “Brazil: Chiefly Province of Goyaz” and printed at the bottom “Comm. Dr. A. Glaziou, 1896.” On the label is handwritten (author unknown) “21533. *Palicourea* sp.” Below the two branches is the annotation “*Palicourea Urbaniana* Standl! det. P.C.S.” handwritten directly on the sheet by Paul Standley. This specimen is here designated the lectotype of *Palicourea urbaniana*.

The P specimen, with barcode P00837027, has a label with the heading “HERB. MUS. PARIS”, the printed text “BRÉSIL, ..., Herbar de A. GLAZIOU, donné par Mme SIMART, sa fille, en 1907”, and the handwritten annotation “*Psychotria Crulsiana* Glaz. n. sp., (Goyaz) Capelinha de Sto. Antonio, 25 décembre 1894, Arbuste, n. 21533.” At the lower left corner of the sheet there is a label with the annotation “Capelinha de S^{to} Antonio, le 25 decembre 1894, Crulsiana Glaz. n. sp. Arbuste” handwritten in pencil by Glaziou. *Psychotria crulsiana* Glaziou, published by Glaziou (1909b: 350) is a nomen nudum because it was listed with the sole description “Arbuste, fl. jaunes”, which is insufficient for distinguishing this taxon from numerous other yellow-flowered *Palicourea* species. The specimen P00837027 consists of a branch with numerous leaves and inflorescences and is an isolectotype of *Palicourea urbaniana*.

41. **PENTAS** Benth. in Hook., Bot. Mag. 70: pl. 4086. 1844.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 817:

“41-1. *Pentas lanceolata* (Forssk.) Deflers, Voy. Yemen, 142. 1889. - *Ophiorrhiza lanceolata* Forssk., Fl. Aegypt. Arab. 42. 1775. - *Manettia lanceolata* (Forssk.) Vahl.,

Symb. Bot. 1: 12. 1790. - *Neurocarpaea lanceolata* (Forssk.) R. Br. in Salt., Voy. Abyss. App. 4: 64. 1814. - *Pseudomussaenda lanceolata* (Forssk.) Wernham, J. Bot. 54: 298. 1916. - Tipo: Africa, Yemen, Hadie Mts., *Forsskål s.n.* (holótipo, C; isótipo, BM)."

Accepted name: *Pentas lanceolata* (Forssk.) Deflers

Type: YEMEN. Hadie Mountains, "In montibus altioribus *Hadie*, alibique", s.d. [1763], *Forsskål s.n.* (first-step lectotype designated by Verdcourt (1976: 208); C [barcode C10002685, *Addit no. 1526*], **second-step lectotype here designated**; dubious isolectotypes, BM [barcode BM000945087], C [barcodes C10002684, C10002686], S [Acc. Nos. S05-9977, S05-9978]).

Notes: *Forsskål* (1775: 42–43) along with the description of *Ophiorrhiza lanceolata* Forssk. cited material collected by himself in the locality "montibus altioribus *Hadie*, alibique" but did not cite any collection number. Verdcourt (1976: 208) cited the type of *O. lanceolata* as "Type: Yemen, Hadie Mts., *Forsskål* (C, holo!, BM, iso!). Verdcourt's citation is an inadvertent first-step lectotypification, because at C there are three specimens with this name collected by *Forsskål*, which are below discussed.

The specimen *Forsskål s.n.* [*Addit no. 1525*] at C, with barcode C10002684, has the stamp "Reinserted in the Herb. Forskalii from the General Herbarium at C, and recorded as *Addit. No. 1525* [number handwritten]." On the upper corner of the sheet is the label "IDC microfiche foto *Forsskål nr. 74I*, 5-6 [numbers handwritten]." This specimen is a distal portion of a branch, with three leaf pairs and two inflorescences with flowers in anthesis and flower buds, and the base of the inflorescences there is an infructescence with mature capsules. On the the reverse side of the sheet, on the upper right corner is handwritten (author unknown) "Coll. *Forsskål*." On the same side of the sheet is glued a piece of paper with the handwritten text "This plant has had a very chequered nomenclatural history & I am writing it of in the Kew Bulletin. The exact details will appear in my thesis. D.C. & Wernham both treated it as a synonym of *Mussaenda luteola* Dec & Wernham erected the genus & name *Pseudomussaenda lanceolata* (Forssk.) Wernham. He was quite wrong since this plant on the sheet is clearly a *Pentas* & the correct combination is *Pentas lanceolata* (Forssk.) Deflers. The other plant must now be called *Pseudomussaenda flava* Verdcourt ined. nom. nov. *Pentas lanceolata* is not at all equal to the cultivated *P. carnea* Benth. as has always been supposed.

Also *Pentas lanceolata* (Forssk.) K. Schum. is a misidentification since K. Schumann had *P. bussei* Krause (= *P. coccinea* Stapf) in mind. B.V." (Names underlined by Verdcourt). On that piece of paper is glued a smaller piece of paper with the handwritten date "28/12/50" and the printed annotation "Det. by Bernard Verdcourt".

The C specimen *Forsskål s.n.* [*Addit no. 1526*], with barcode C10002685, has the stamp "Reinserted in the Herb. Forskalii from the General Herbarium at C and recorded as *Addit. No. 1527* [number handwritten]. At one time in the private "Herb. Leibmann [last word handwritten]." On the upper margin of the sheet is the label "IDC microfiche foto *Forsskål nr. 74I*, 7-8 [numbers handwritten]." On this sheet are present the distal portion of a branch with a few leaf pairs and one flower in anthesis, an inflorescence subtended by one leaf, with one flower in anthesis, and a branch with seven leaf pairs and a terminal infructescence with immature fruits. On the bottom of the sheet, it is handwritten by Vahl "Manettia lanceolata. Ophiorrhiza" [*lanceolata*] Forsk !"

The C specimen *Forsskål s.n.* [*Addit no. 1527*], with barcode C10002686, has the stamp "Reinserted in the Herb. Forskalii from the General Herbarium at C and recorded as *Addit. No. 1527* [number handwritten]. At one time in the private "Herb. Leibmann [last word handwritten]." This is a small specimen with a few leaf pairs and two flowers in anthesis.

As the three above described specimens were collected by *Forsskål*, they represent original material of *Ophiorrhiza lanceolata*. However, there is no indication on those sheets that they belong to the same gathering. Specimen with barcode C10002685 is the most complete and is here designated the second-step lectotype of this name.

At BM there is a sheet with two different gatherings of *Pentas lanceolata* affixed on it. The specimen with number "1" and barcode BM000945087, has the annotation "Yemen: Mts. of Hadie, *Forsskål*, march 1763" penciled directly on the sheet by an unknown author (not *Forsskål*). This annotation was certainly added after the specimen was mounted on the sheet. A label affixed on the sheet has the annotation "1. Ex Oriente *Forsskål*." This is the specimen cited by Verdcourt (1976: 208) as "isotype" as it has been annotated by him as "Type of *Pentas lanceolata* (Forssk.) K. Schum. = *Ophiorrhiza lanceolata* Forssk., Det. BV, 1951, duplic. of *Forsskål* sp. in Copenhagen." Because this specimen has not been annotated by *Forsskål*, it is a dubious isolectotype.

Two specimens collected by *Forsskål* are at S. Specimen with Accession No. S05-9977 does not report the collection locality, is not annotated by him, and has

the annotation “Possible type of *Ophiorrhiza lanceolata* Forssk.” handwritten in pencil by an unknown author directly on the sheet. The specimen consists of a branch with numerous leaves and a terminal inflorescence. Specimen with No. S05-9978 does not report the collection locality, is not annotated by him, and has the annotation “Possible type of *Ophiorrhiza lanceolata* Forssk.” handwritten by the same unknown author in pencil directly on the sheet. The specimen consists of a small branch with a few leaves and a terminal inflorescence, mounted on a smaller sheet glued on a larger sheet. On the smaller sheet, below the small branch, is handwritten “*Mussaenda luteola* Delile” in black ink, by an unknown author. The two S specimens are here treated as dubious isolectotypes of *Ophiorrhiza lanceolata*.

42. **PERAMA** Aubl., Pl. Guian. Franc. 1: 54, pl. 18. 1775.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 822:

“42-1. *Perama hirsuta* Aubl., Hist. Pl. Guiane 54, pl. 18. 1775. - *Mattuschkea hirsuta* (Aubl.) Vahl, Symb. 3: 11. 1794. - Tipo: Guiana Francesa: “In locis humidis & arenosis Aurora & Orapu”, VII/[1762-1764] (fl), *J.B.C.F. Aublet s.n.* (holótipo, BM, foto em NY).”

Accepted name: *Perama hirsuta* Aubl.

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: Near Roura and near the Orapu River, moist open fields with sandy soil, “in locis humidis & arenosis Aroura & Orapu” and “dans les lieux humides & sablonneux des quartiers d’Aroura & d’Orapu”, s.d. [Apr, Sep 1763, Mar 1764], *J.B.C.F. Aublet s.n.* (P-JJR 3: 117), lectotype designated by Lanjouw and Uittien (1940: 155); isolectotype, BM [barcode BM001009065]).

Notes: For further information about the typification of *Perama hirsuta* Aubl., see Delprete (2015).

43. **POSOQUERIA** Aubl., Hist. Pl. Guiane 133. 1775.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 831:

“43-1. *Posoqueria latifolia* (Rudge) Roem. & Schult., Syst. Pl. 5: 227. 1819. - *Solena latifolia* Rudge, Pl. Guian. 1: 26, tab. 40. 1806. - Tipo: Guiana Francesa, Rudge, Pl. Guian., pl. 40. 1806 (lectótipo).”

Accepted name: *Posoqueria latifolia* (Rudge) Roem. & Schult.

Correct bibliographic citation: *Posoqueria latifolia* (Rudge) Roem. & Schult., Syst. Pl. 5: 227. 1819. - *Solena latifolia* Rudge, Pl. Guian. 1: 26. 1805; tab. 40. 1806 (the plate is not original material because it was published after the publication of the name). - *Tocoyena latifolia* (Rudge) Poir., Tabl. Encycl. 2(5): 259. 1819. [on page 259 for *Tocoyena latifolia* (Rudge) Poir. is cited “Tab. 163, fig. 2”; Plate 163 has the title “Tocoyena” and in the plate are present two different taxa; on fig. 2 is depicted a species of *Posoqueria*, while on fig. 1 is depicted a species of *Tocoyena*].

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: Without locality, s.d., *J. Martin s.n.* (BM [barcode BM001008912], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotypes BM [barcode BM001008911], FI-W [2 sheets, barcodes FI067338, FI067339]).

Notes: According to Stafleu and Cowan (1983: 972), the description of *Solena latifolia* is in part 3 of Rudge’s (1805) *Plantarum Guianae*, which was published on June 1805, while Plate 40 is in part 4, which was published on April–May 1806. Therefore Plate 40 is not original material. The material used by Rudge to describe the taxa in his *Plantarum Guianae Rariorum Icones et Descriptiones* was collected by Joseph Martin in French Guiana. The fate of Martin’s specimens was described by Stearn and Williams (1957) and summarized by Stafleu and Cowan (1983: 971–972). Succinctly, in 1803, France and England were at war, and the specimens collected by Joseph Martin in French Guiana, originally intended for the Museum of Natural History of Paris, were confiscated by two British privateers and brought to London. About 400 of these specimens were bought by the British Museum (BM), and 772 were bought by Edward Rudge (1763–1846) and included in his own herbarium (Stafleu & Cowan, 1983: 971–972). Also, Rudge gave a partial set of those specimens to Banks, whose herbarium became the founding collection of BM. After Rudge’s death, his widow donated his herbarium to BM in 1847. Therefore, most of Martin’s collections converged to BM, although some of his specimens are also reported to be at FI or FI-Webb (Stafleu & Cowan, 1983: 971–972). Those specimens have the handwritten information “Guiana. Martin”, which may give the false impression that they were collected in modern day Guyana, but they actually came from French Guiana.

Rudge (1805: 27) below the description of *Solena latifolia* added the following observation “OBS. In figurâ Prosoquerieae [sic! Posoqueriae] apud Aublet, limbus regularis depingitur, nihilominus valde suspicor irregularem esse: in ejus specimine, nunc in Herbario

Banksiano conservato, Antherae, quae persingulares, certe similes sunt: itaque hanc stirpem congenerem esse judicavi.” (Translation: “In the figure of *Posoqueria* by Aublet, the limb margin is depicted as regular, it does not seem irregular at all: in his [Aublet’s] specimen, preserved in Banks’ herbarium, the Anthers are peculiar, certainly similar: therefore, I judge this species as congeneric”). In Rudge’s observation, he compared his new taxon with an Aublet specimen of *Posoqueria longiflora* Aubl. [see Delprete (2015: 613) for discussion of that specimen] present in Bank’s herbarium (now integrated in BM), but he did not cite where the material of *S. latifolia* that he studied is kept. Taylor (2012c: 191) cited the type of *Solena latifolia* as “Holotipo: Guayana Francesa, Martin s.n. (BM)” without citing the barcode number(s).

In BM there are two sheets collected by Martin in French Guiana annotated with this name. The BM sheet with barcode BM001008912, on the upper left corner has a small handwritten label with the annotation “Guiana, Martin”. On the bottom of the sheet is penciled “*Posoqueria latifolia* Rom. & Sch., *Solena latifolia* Rudge Ic.” At the lower right corner is penciled “2”. This specimen consists of a branch with several leaves and an inflorescence with numerous flower buds at different stages of development and three flowers in anthesis. No annotation by Rudge is also present on this sheet. This specimen is here designated the lectotype of *Solena latifolia*.

The BM sheet with barcode BM001008911, on the upper left corner has a small label with the handwritten annotation “Guiana, Martin”. On the bottom of the sheet is penciled “*Posoqueria latifolia* Rom. & Sch., *Solena latifolia* Rudge Ic.” At the lower right corner is penciled “2”. The specimen is a branch with several leaves and an inflorescence with four flower buds and two flowers in anthesis. This sheet also does not have any annotation by Rudge and is an isolectotype of *Solena latifolia*.

In FI-W there are three specimens annotated as “*Tocoyena latifolia*” that were integrated in the Webb Herbarium from the Desfontaine herbarium, as indicated by the labels present on those sheets. Those specimens need to be evaluated as possible original material of *Solena latifolia*, and are discussed below.

The FI-W specimen with barcode FI067338 has a label with the annotation “Cayenne, Martin”. A second label has the printed text “Herb. Webbianum” and “Ex Herb. Desfontaines”, and “*Tocoyena latifolia* Poir.” handwritten in pencil by an unknown author. The specimen consists of a branch with several leaves and two terminal inflorescences. It is part of the original gathering by Martin in French Guiana. Although it does not have any annotation by Rudge, it is here treated as original material, and is an isolectotype of *Solena latifolia*.

The FI-W specimen with barcode FI067339 has a handwritten label with “*Posoqueria*” stroke through and the annotation “*Tocoyena latifolia* Poirét, décrit dans les actes de la société d’histoire nat. par M. Richard. Cayenne. Martin.” A second label has the headings “Herb. Webbianum” and “Ex Herb. Desfontaines”. This specimen, consisting of a branch with several leaves and a terminal inflorescence, is part of the original gathering by Martin in French Guiana. Although it does not have any annotation by Rudge, it is here treated as original material, and is an isolectotype of *Solena latifolia*.

The FI-W specimen with barcode FI067340 has the handwritten annotation “*Tocoyena* species nova, Confer. *Posoqueria* Aublet, Cayenne, Martin.” On the sheet is affixed a second label with the printed heading “Herb. Webbianum” and “Ex Herb. Desfontaines”. The specimen consists of several loose leaves and one flower in anthesis. Because of the actinomorphic corolla with acute lobes, this specimen belongs to the genus *Tocoyena*, and is not original material of *Solena latifolia*.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 837:

“43-2. *Posoqueria longiflora* Aubl., Hist. Pl. Guiane 1: 134, tab. 51. 1775; non Roxb. (1824). - *Solena longiflora* Willd., Sp. Pl. 1: 961. 1798. - *Kyrtanthus longiflorus* (Aubl.) Gmel., Syst. Nat. 2(1): 362. 1791. Tipo: Guiana Francesa, “sur le bords de grands rivières de la Guiane”, *Aublet s.n.* (holótipo, BM).”

Accepted name: *Posoqueria longiflora* Aubl.

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: Margins of great rivers, “Floret Novembri; fructum sert Januario [...] ad ripas fluviorum” and “sur les bords des grandes rivières de la Guiane [...] en fleur dans le mois de Novembre & en fruit au mois de Janvier”, s.d. [1762–1764], *J.B.C.F. Aublet s.n.* (P-JJR (P-JJR 6: 199), lectotype designated by Lanjouw and Uittien (1940: 156); isolectotype, BM [barcode BM001008904]).

Notes: For further information about the typification of *Posoqueria longiflora* Aubl., see Delprete (2015).

44. **PSYCHOTRIA** L., Syst. Nat., ed. 10, 929, 1364. 1759, *nom. cons.*

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 851:

“44-1. *Psychotria anceps* Kunth in Humb. & Bonpl., Nov. Gen. Sp. 3: 360. 1819. Tipo: Colômbia, Rio Tequen-

dama, s.d., *Humboldt & Bonpland s.n.* (holótipo P-Bonpl., isótipo, B destruido). [Subg. *Psychotria*.]”

Accepted name: *Psychotria anceps* Kunth

Original material: Colombia. Cundinamarca: Bogotá River, Tequendama Falls, “Crescit in temperatis subfrigidis Regni Novo-Granatensis, juxta cataractam Tequendamae, alt. 1300 hex. Floret Augusto”, s.d., *A. Bonpland & F.W.H.A. Humboldt s.n.* (lost).

Type: COLOMBIA. Boyacá: Canada Castero, 20 km de Sta. Elena, piste Mani-Sta. Elena, 25 Feb. 1971 (fl), *C. Sastre* 862 (P [barcode P04579979], **neotype here designated**; isoneotype COL [Acc. No. 140074, barcode COL000157756]).

Notes: The fate of the botanical specimens gathered by Bonpland and Humboldt has been discussed by several authors (Delprete 2001; Hiepko 2006; Kirkbride and Wiersema 2020; Lack 2004a, 2004b, 2009, 2018; Stauffer et al. 2012). After his return to Paris, Humboldt divided those collections in several sets, to be studied by different specialists. The main set, although incomplete, is currently kept in the Bonpland Herbarium (P-Bonpl.), in the Museum of Natural History in Paris. Bonpland was initially in charge of writing the descriptions for the *Nova genera et species plantarum*, but after several months he renounced the task. Then, Humboldt invited Carl Ludwig Willdenow (1765–1812) to take up this huge task, to whom he sent a set in Berlin, but he died shortly after. Then, Humboldt invited Carl Sigismund Kunth (1788–1850), who was in Berlin, to take up this enormous work. Kunth arrived in Paris in 1813 and started immediately to write the descriptions for the *Nova genera*. In 1816, Bonpland emigrated from France to Argentina, with his personal herbarium, which was a third, incomplete set of the collections that he made with Humboldt. Therefore, Kunth and Bonpland were both in Paris from 1813 to 1816, and it is possible that Kunth was able to study the specimens in Bonpland’s personal herbarium during that period. Kunth went to La Rochelle, just before Bonpland’s departure, to convince him to leave his personal set and his field books in France, but he was only able to obtain Bonpland’s field books (“*Journal Botanique*”). Bonpland eventually returned his personal herbarium from Argentina in 1832, which arrived in Paris in 1833, and was then integrated in the P general herbarium in 1837. Those specimens have the label “Herbier de l’Amérique équatoriale, donné par M. A. Bonpland” and Kunth’s Rubiaceae names were published before 1833, therefore they cannot be treated as original material.

Kunth studied the specimens collected by Bonpland and Humboldt in Paris. For many names published by Kunth, no specimens are found in the herbaria where Bonpland and Humboldt’s collections are deposited. This is not surprising, as the absence of original specimens in P-Bonpl. has puzzled many botanists (e.g., Stauffer et al. 2012). For example, out of the about 350 monocotyledon names described by Kunth, Stauffer et al. (2012) reported that 235 original specimens are not present in P-Bonpl. After an exhaustive search in P and P-Bonpl. no original specimen of *Psychotria anceps* was found. Jurai Paule (B herbarium curator) confirmed the absence of original material *P. anceps* in B-W. Because no original specimen associated with this name could be found, it is therefore necessary to designate a neotype. The specimen *Sastre* 862 at P with barcode P04579979 is here designated the neotype of this name, and the duplicate at COL is an isoneotype.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 856:

“44-2. *Psychotria bracteocardia* (DC.) Muell. Arg., in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(5): 362. 1881. - *Cephaelis bracteocardia* DC., Prodr. 4: 534. 1830. - *Uragoga bracteocardia* (DC.) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. 2: 959. 1891. Tipo: Brasil, Bahia, *Salzmann s.n.* (holótipo, G-DC; isótipos, MPU [2]). [Subg. *Heteropsychotria*.]”

Accepted name: *Palicourea bracteocardia* (DC.) Delprete & J.H.Kirkbr., J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 10(2): 414. 2016.

Type: BRAZIL. Bahia: [“in collibus umbrosis”], s.d. [1827–1830], *P. Salzmann s.n.* (first-step lectotype designated by Steyermark (1972: 689); G-DC [barcode G00300922], second-step lectotype designated by Delprete and J.H. Kirkbride (2016: 414); isolecotypes, MPU [4 sheets, barcodes MPU022236, MPU022237, MPU022238, MPU022239]).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 860:

“44-3. *Psychotria capitata* Ruiz & Pav., Fl. Peruv. 2: 59, pl. 206, fig. a. 1709. Tipo: Peru, Dept. Huánuco, Chinchao, s.d., *Ruiz & Pavón s.n.* (holótipo, MA). [Subg. *Heteropsychotria*.]”

Accepted name: *Palicourea violacea* (Aubl.) A.Rich., Mem. Fam. Rubiac. 95. 1830.

Type: PERU. Huánuco: Chinchao, “in Andium montibus nemorosis per Chinchao runcationes,” s.d., *H.*

Ruiz López & J.A. Pavón y Jiménez s.n. (MA [barcode MA815948]), lectotype designated by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 435). – PERU. Junín: Schunke Hacienda, above San Ramon, 1300–1700 m, dense forest, Aug.–Sep. 1923, C. Schunke A19 (US [Acc. No. 1470372], epitype designated by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 435)).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 866:

“44-4. *Psychotria carthagenensis* Jacq., Enum. Pl. Carib. 16. 1760. Tipo: Colômbia, Bolívar, Cartagena, s.d., *Jacquin s.n.* (LE?, W?). [Subg. Psychotria].”

Accepted name: *Psychotria carthagenensis* Jacq.

Original material: Colombia. Bolívar: “habitat Carthagenae”, Aug., *N.J. Jacquin s.n.* (not traced).

Type: [Icon] “*Psychotria carthagenensis*” in Jacquin, Select. Stirp. Amer. Hist. tab. 174, fig. 22. 1763, neotype designated by Taylor in Taylor et al. (2020: 6, e-publication). – COLOMBIA. Magdalena: Santa Marta, 200 ft. [ca. 60 m], Mar. 1898–1901, *H.H. Smith 1802* (MO [Acc. No. 124263, barcode MO-1765070], epitype designated by Taylor in Taylor et al. (2020: 6, e-publication); isoeotype US [Acc. No. 533747, barcode 02518872]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Psychotria carthagenensis* Jacq., Jacquin (1760: 16) did not cite any locality or herbarium specimen. No original specimen associated with this name is present at LE, P or W. Because of absence of original specimens, Taylor et al. (2020) designated as lectotype table 174, figure 22 of Jacquin’s (1763) *Selectarum Stirpium Americanarum Historia*. In that figure, *P. carthagenensis* is represented only by one leaf, one flower, and one fruit, with features that could apply to numerous species of *Psychotria* and are insufficient to fix the application of the name. Also, as table 174, figure 22 was published three years after the publication of the name, it is not original material. Hence, Taylor’s lectotype citation, according to Art. 9.10 of the *Code*, can be corrected to a neotype designation. The epitype specimen designated by Taylor, *H.H. Smith 1802* at MO, is valid and is here followed, and an isoeotype specimen is present in US.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 877:

“44-5. *Psychotria colorata* (Willd. ex Roem. & Schult.) Muell. Arg. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(5): 372. 1881. - *Cephalis colorata* Willd. ex Roem. & Schult., Syst. Veg. 5: 214.

1819. Tipo: Brasil, s.d., *Sieber s.n.* (B-Willd 4149). [Subg. Heteropsychotria].”

Accepted name: *Palicourea colorata* (Hoffmanns. ex Willd.) Delprete & J.H.Kirkbr., J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 10(2): 416. 2016.

Type: BRAZIL: [Amazon Basin], without locality, s.d., *F.W. Sieber s.n.* [dedit J.C. Hoffmannsegg] (holotype: B-W 04149-01(a) B-W 04149-02(b)).

Notes: For additional synonyms and types of *Palicourea colorata* (Hoffmanns. ex Roem. & Schult.) Delprete & Kirkbr., see Delprete and Kirkbride (2016).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 877:

Synonym:

“*Psychotria megapontica* Muell. Arg. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(5): 362. 1881. *Uragoga megapontica* (Muell. Arg.) Kuntze, Revis. Gen. Pl. 2: 961. 1891. - *Psychotria colorata* (Willd. ex Roem. & Schult.) Muell. Arg. ssp. *megapontica* (Muell. Arg.) Steyerl., Mem. New York Bot. Gard. 23: 685. 1972. Tipo: Brasil, Goiás, “Megaponte” [sic! “Meia Ponte” agora Pirenópolis], s.d., *Pohl 976* (holótipo G; sintipo B, destruído; foto-B em NY).”

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: “Megaponte” [sic! “Meia Ponte,” now town of Pirenópolis], s.d., *J.E. Pohl 976* (G [barcode G 00301215], lectotype designated by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 416)).

Notes: Müller Argoviensis (1881: 362) for *Psychotria megapontica* Müll. Arg. cited the only gathering *Pohl 976* without citing the herbarium of deposit. Delprete (2010b: 877) cited the specimen at G as the holotype. According to the *Code*, Delprete’s citation cannot be corrected as a lectotype designation because it lacks “here designated” or a similar expression. Delprete & Kirkbride (2016: 416) designated the same specimen as lectotype.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 886:

“44-6. *Psychotria deflexa* DC., Prodr. 4: 510. 1830. Tipo: Guiana Francesa, s.d., *Patris s.n.* (holótipo, G-DC). [Subg. Heteropsychotria].”

Accepted name: *Palicourea deflexa* (DC.) Borhidi, Acta Bot. Hung. 53: 243. 2012 [“2011”].

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: Without locality, s.d., *J.B. Patris s.n.* (first-step lectotype designated by

Steiermark (1972: 502); G-DC [barcode G00478847 barcode, sheet No. 4, with two flowering branches, annotated as “*Psychotria deflexa* DC.” by Candolle], second-step lectotype designated by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 418); isolectotypes, G-DC [barcode G00478847, sheet No. 3, with flower buds]).

Notes. In G-DC, inside the folder annotated as “*Psychotria deflexa* DC., De Cand. prodr. 4 p. 510 n. 57”, aside from the two preparations designated by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 418) as lectotype and isolectotype, there are two additional specimens, both with barcode G00478849, on sheet No. 1 (sterile) and sheet No. 2 (with flower buds). Those two additional specimens were annotated by Delprete in 2013 as “dubious isolectotypes G-DC, probably not the same species.” The two specimens with barcode G00478849 were identified by A. Berger in 2022 as *Palicourea octocuspis* Müll. Arg.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 890:

“44-7. *Psychotria goyazensis* Muell. Arg., Flora 59: 548, 551. 1876. Tipo: Brasil, Goiás, “prope urbem Goyaz” [cidade de Goiás], s.d., *Burchell 6723* (holótipo, G). [Subg. Heteropsychotria.]”

Accepted name: *Palicourea brevicollis* (Müll. Arg.) C.M. Taylor in Taylor and Hollowell, Novon 25(1): 85–86. 2016.

Notes: For a clarification of the species delimitation, full synonymy and typification of *Palicourea brevicollis* (Müll. Arg.) C.M.Taylor is presented below. Additional information is provided by Taylor in Taylor and Hollowell (2016: 85–86).

Synonymy:

Palicourea brevicollis (Müll. Arg.) C.M.Taylor in C.M.Taylor and Hollowell, Novon 25(1): 85. 2016. - *Psychotria brevicollis* Müll. Arg., Flora 59: 548, 552. 1876c. - *Uragoga brachytere* Kuntze, Revis. Gen. Pl. 2: 955. 1891, replacement name, not *Uragoga brevicollis* (Müll. Arg.) Kuntze (based on *Mapouria brevicollis* Müll. Arg., 1876b). Type: Brazil. Minas Gerais: Caldas, s.d., A.F. Regnell III.116 p.p. (lectotype BR [barcode BR000000531615], designated by C.M. Taylor in Taylor and Hollowell (2016: 85).

Psychotria regnellii Müll. Arg., Flora 548, 552. 1876. - *Uragoga regnellii* (Müll. Arg.) Kuntze, Revis. Gen. Pl. 2: 952. 1891.

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais: Caldas, s.d., A.F. Regnell III.116 p.p. (BR [barcode BR000000531569], lectotype designated by C.M.Taylor in Taylor and Hollowell (2016: 85)).

Psychotria goyazensis Müll. Arg., Flora 59: 548, 551. 1876. - *Palicourea goyazensis* (Müll. Arg.) Borhidi, Acta Bot. Hung. 59(1-2): 34. 2017.

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: “prope urbem Goyaz” [town of Goiás], s.d., W.J. Burchell 6723 (BR [BR000000531632], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotype K [barcode K000174296]; isolectotype fragment G [barcode G00096064]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Psychotria goyazensis* Müll.Arg., Müller Argoviensis (1876c: 548, 551) cited the gathering *Burchell 6723* collected in the town of Goiás, the first capital of the homonymous state. In BR there is a specimen from the Martius Herbarium, with barcode BR000000531632, annotated by Müller Argoviensis. The specimen label has the annotation “Brasilia: Prope urbem Goyaz, 1861, Burchell no. 6723” and is here designated as the lectotype of this name. Fragments consisting of a few fruits and a leaf portion of *Burchell 6723* are in an envelope in G annotated by Müller Argoviensis, and represent an isolectotype. A duplicate of *Burchell 6723* in K, not annotated by him, is another isolectotype.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 895:

“44-8. *Psychotria gracilentia* Muell. Arg., Flora 59: 542, 545. 1876. Tipo: Brasil, Bahia, sem localidade, s.d., *Blanchet 1590* (holótipo, G). [Subg. Heteropsychotria.]”

Accepted name: *Palicourea gracilentia* (Müll.Arg.) Delprete & J.H.Kirkbr., J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 10(2): 421. 2016.

Notes: Clarification of the species delimitation, full synonymy and typification of *Palicourea gracilentia* (Müll. Arg.) Delprete & J.H.Kirkbr. is presented below.

Palicourea gracilentia (Müll.Arg.) Delprete & J.H.Kirkbr., J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 10(2): 421. 2016. - *Psychotria gracilentia* Müll. Arg., Flora 59:542, 545. 1876.

Type: BRAZIL. Bahia: without locality, s.d. [1834], J.S. Blanchet 1590 (G [barcode G00300770], lectotype designated by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 421); isolectotype BM [barcode BM000624171]).

Psychotria brachybotrya Müll.Arg. in Martius, Fl. Bras. 6(5):327. 1881.

Type: BRAZIL. Amazonas: Rio Negro, Sao Gabriel da Cachoeira, Mar. 1852, R. Spruce 2190 (first-step lectotype designated by Steyermark (1972: 609); P [barcode P00836988], second-step lectotype designated by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 421); isolectotypes, K [2 sheets, barcodes K000173545, K00173546], P [barcode P00836988], W [barcode 1889-0014256]).

Psychotria iquitosensis Standl., Publ. Columbian Mus., Bot. Ser. 8:195. 1930.

Type: PERU. Loreto: Near Iquitos, 14 Jul. 1929, Ll. Williams 1391 (holotype (F [Acc. No. 604636 barcode V0041058F]; isotypes fragm. G [barcode G00300771], S [Acc. No. S05-1086]).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 898:

“44-9. *Psychotria hoffmannseggiana* (Willd. ex Roem. & Schult.) Muell.Arg. in Mart., Fl. Bras 6(5): 336. 1881. - *Cephaelis hoffmannseggiana* Willd. ex Roem. & Schult., Syst. Veg. 5: 214. 1819. Tipo: Brasil, Pará, s.d., Sieber s.n. (holótipo B-W 4155 [dedit Hoffmannsegg], foto em NY) [Subg. Heteropsychotria].”

Accepted name: *Palicourea hoffmannseggiana* (Roem. & Schult.) Borhidi

FGT vol. 40(2), fig. 86 (page 926): Figure 86 is labeled as *Psychotria officinalis* (Aubl.) Sandwith, but is *Palicourea hoffmannseggiana* (Roem. & Schult.) Borhidi.

Notes: The species delimitation of *Psychotria hoffmannseggiana* (Roem. & Schult.) Müll. Arg. presented by Delprete (2010b: 898–904) was incorrect. To clarify the delimitation of this species, as here recognized, full synonymy and typification is presented below.

Palicourea hoffmannseggiana (Roem. & Schult.) Borhidi, Acta Bot. Hung. 53: 245. 2012 [“2011”]. - *Cephaelis hoffmannseggiana* Roem. & Schult., Syst. Veg. 5: 214. 1819. - *Psychotria hoffmannseggiana* (Roem. & Schult.) Müll. Arg. in Martius, Fl. Bras. 6(5):336. 1881.

Type: BRAZIL. Pará: without locality, s.d., F.W. Sieber s.n. [dedit J.C. Hoffmannsegg] (holotype B-W [barcode B-W 04155 01 0], photo at NY).

Cephaelis rubra Hoffmanns. ex Roem. & Schult., Syst. Veg. 5: 214. 1819. - *Psychotria rubra* (Hoffmanns. ex Roem. & Schult.) Müll.Arg. in Martius, Fl. Bras. 6(5): 336. 1881.

Type: BRAZIL. [Amazon Basin]: without locality, s.d., F.W. Sieber s.n. [dedit J.C. Hoffmannsegg] (holotype B-W [barcode B-W 04150 -01 0]).

Psychotria barbiflora DC., Prodr. 4: 509. 1830.

Type: BRAZIL. Bahia: without locality, 1831, P. Salzmann s.n. (first-step lectotype designated by Steyermark (1972: 602); G [barcode G00300780] second-step lectotype designated by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 422); possible isolectotypes, MPU [2 sheets, MPU022106, MPU022107], P [barcode P00836992]).

Carapichea patrisii DC., Prodr. 4: 536. 1830. *Cephaelis patrisii* (DC.) D. Dietr., Syn. Pl. 1: 773. 1839. - *Uragoga carapichea* (DC.) Kuntze, Revis. Gen. Pl. 2:955. 1891; non *Uragoga patrisii* (DC.) Kuntze (1891).

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: Without locality, s.d., J.B. Patris s.n. (G-DC) [without barcode, sheet N. 4], lectotype designated by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 422); possible isolectotypes, G-DC [without barcode, sheets N. 1, 2 and 3]).

Psychotria furcata DC., Prodr. 4: 512. 1830.

Type: PANAMA: Without locality, s.d., T. Haenke s.n. (holotype: G-DC [barcode G00478835]).

Psychotria heterocephala Müll.Arg. in Martius, Fl. Bras. 6(5): 333. 1881.

Type: BRAZIL. [State unknown]: Rio São João, s.d., A.C.V. Schott 5301 (G [barcode G00300784], lectotype designated by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 422)).

Psychotria bahiensis Müll.Arg. in Martius, Fl. Bras. 6(5): 338. 1881, *nom. illeg.* [non *Psychotria bahiensis* DC. 1830].

Type: BRAZIL. Bahia: without locality, s.d., J.S. Blanchet 1815 (G [barcode G00301031], lectotype designated by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 422); isolectotypes, F [Acc. No. 734074], P [barcode P00836959]).

Psychotria flavicans Müll.Arg. in Martius, Fl. Bras. 6(5): 339. 1881.

Type: BRAZIL. Bahia: Igreja Velha, 1841, *J.S. Blanchet* 3246 (G [barcode G00300761, sheet No. 1], lectotype designated by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 422); isolectotypes, BM [barcode BM000624170], F [2 sheets, Acc. Nos. 520907, 734075], G [barcode G00300761, sheet No. 2], NY [barcode 00132671], P [2 sheets, barcodes P00837029, P00837030], U [barcode U0006199]).

Psychotria hoffmannseggiana f. *pubescens* Steyerl., Mem. New York Bot. Gard. 23: 607. 1972.

Type: GUYANA: Kaieteur Plateau, vicinity of Kaieteur Falls, along W rim of Potaro River, ca. 1400 ft [= 426 m], 18 Feb. 1962, *R.S. Cowan & T.R. Soderstrom* 1897 (holotype VEN [Acc. No. 78483]; isotypes MICH [barcode 1108255], US [barcode 00138792]).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 905:

“44-10. *Psychotria leiocarpa* Cham. & Schltdl., Linnaea 4: 22. 1829. Tipo: Brasil, “E. Brasilia tropica, varietaremque vicinus meridionalibus”, s.d., *Sellow s.n.* (holótipo B, destruído). [Subg. Heteropsychotria.]”

Accepted name: *Palicourea leiocarpa* (Cham. & Schltdl.) Delprete, **comb. nov.**

Type: BRAZIL: Without locality, s.d., *F. Sellow s.n.* (K [barcode K000174314], **neotype here designated**).

Notes: In the protologue of *Psychotria leiocarpa* Cham. & Schltdl., Chamisso and Schlechtendal (1829a: 22) cited material collected by Sellow in Brazil. The material at B studied by those authors was destroyed during WWII. The sole specimen associated with this name that could be found is at K, and has the handwritten annotation “Brazil, Sello.” That specimen, with barcode K000174314, is a branch with numerous inflorescences with flower buds and flowers in anthesis and has the penciled annotation (author unknown) “*Psychotria leiocarpa* Cham. et Schl.” Because there is no evidence on the specimen that it was studied by Chamisso and Schlechtendal, it is here designated the neotype of this name.

Chamisso and Schlechtendal (1829a: 22-23) described two varieties under *Psychotria leiocarpa*: var. *tropica* Cham. & Schltdl. and var. *extratropica* Cham. & Schltdl. Müller Argoviensis (1881: 281) described two additional varieties under *Psy. leiocarpa*, var. *constricta* Müll.Arg. and var. *intermedia* Müll.Arg. These four varieties have been treated as synonymous, and no infraspecific rank is here recognized for this species. The typifi-

cation of these varietal names is beyond the scope of the present study.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 909:

“44-11. *Psychotria lupulina* Benth., Hook. J. Bot. 3: 230. 1841. Tipo: Guyana, s.d., *Rob. Schomburgk* 26 (holótipo, K, isótipo, BM; foto-K em NY) [Subg. Heteropsychotria.]”

Accepted name: *Palicourea justicifolia* (Rugde) Delprete & J.H.Kirkbr., J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 10(2): 424. 2016.

Type: GUYANA: Without locality, s.d. [1836], *Rob. H. Schomburgk, Ser. I, 26 pro parte* “in the later sets” (BM [barcode BM001008948], lectotype designated by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 424); isolectotypes, BR [barcode 00000531573], E [2 sheets, barcodes E00285066, E00505324], F [Acc. No. 734131], G [2 sheets, barcodes G00300487, G00300593], GH [barcode 00095189], TCD [barcode TCD0005769], U [barcode U0006214]).

Notes: Full synonymy and typification of this species is presented in Delprete and Kirkbride (2016).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 914:

“44-12. *Psychotria mapourioides* DC., Prodr. 4: 509. 1830. Tipo: Guiana Francesa, Rio Sinnamary, s.d., *J.B.C.F. Aublet s.n.* (holótipo, BM). [Subg. Psychotria.]”

Accepted name: *Psychotria pedunculosa* Rich., Actes Soc. Hist. Nat. Paris 1: 107. 1792.

Notes: In the protologue of *Psychotria mapourioides* DC., Candolle (1830: 509) cited the material studied as “In Guianâ Gallicâ legit cl. Patris.” Delprete’s (2010b: 914) citation of the type specimen as collected by Aublet and present in BM is a typographical error, as the original material of this name was collected by Patris and is in G-DC. This species has traditionally been known as *P. mapourioides* and is the name that was used in FGT. A recent article by Taylor et al. (2020) established that the corrected name for this species is *P. pedunculosa* Rich., which predates the name traditionally used. For further discussion about the delimitation this species and details on the typification of synonyms, see Taylor et al. (2020). For a clarification of the species as presently recognized, full synonymy and typification is presented below.

Psychotria pedunculosa Rich., Actes Soc. Hist. Nat. Paris 1: 107. 1792. - *Palicourea pedunculosa* (Rich.) DC., Prodr. 4: 526. 1830. - *Uragoga pedunculosa* (Rich.) Kuntze, Revis. Gen. Pl. 2: 962. 1891.

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: Without locality, 1792, J.B. Leblond 319 (holotype G [barcode G00341845], photo-G [F neg. #25821] in MO).

Psychotria mapourioides DC., Prodr. 4: 509. 1830.

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: Without locality, s.d., J.B. Patris s.n. (holotype, G-DC [barcode G00667347], photo-G [F neg. #6674] in MO).

Palicourea chionantha DC., Prodr. 4: 526. 1830. - *Mapouria chionantha* (DC.) Müll. Arg. in Martius, Fl. Bras. 6(5): 387. 1881. - *Uragoga chionantha* (DC.) Kuntze, Revis. Gen. Pl. 2: 959. 1891. - *Psychotria chionantha* (DC.) Britton, Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 109. 1891. - *Psychotria mapourioides* var. *chionantha* (DC.) Steyerl., Mem. New York Bot. Gard. 23: 462. 1972.

Type: BRAZIL. Bahia: Without locality, 1830, P. Salzmann s.n. (holotype, G-DC [barcode G00667829], photo-G [F neg. #6666]; isotype, MO [Acc. No. 124067, barcode MO-1768543]).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 921:

“44-13. *Psychotria nemorosa* Gardner, London J. Bot. 4: 109. 1845. Tipo: Brasil, Rio de Janeiro, Serra dos Órgãos, in virgin forest, I/1837 (fl), Gardner 454 (holótipo K, foto em NY). [Subg. Heteropsychotria].”

Accepted name: *Palicourea nemorosa* (Gardner) Delprete, **comb. nov.**

Type: BRAZIL. Rio de Janeiro: Serra dos Orgãos, in virgin forest, Jan. 1837 (fl), G. Gardner 454 (holotype K [barcode K000174321]; isotype fragment G [barcode G00300277]; photo-K in NY).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 924:

“44-14. *Psychotria officinalis* (Aubl.) Raeusch. ex Sandwith, Kew Bull. 1931: 473. 1931. - *Nonatelia officinalis* Aubl., Hist. Pl. Guiane 1: 182, t. 70, f. 1. 1775. - *Oribasia officinalis* (Aubl.) Gmel., Syst. Nat. 367. 1791. - *Psychotria officinalis* (Aubl.) Raeusch., Nom. Bot. 55. 1797, **comb. inval.** - *Psychotria involucrata* Sw., Fl. Ind. Occ. 1: 413. 1797; non *Cephaelis involucrata* Willd. (1798), *nom.*

superfl., nec *Psychotria involucrata* Willd. ex DC. (1830). Tipo: Guiana Francesa, Cayenne, s.d., J.B.C.F. Aublet s.n. (holótipo, BM). [Subg. Heteropsychotria].”

Accepted name: *Palicourea tenerior* (Cham.) Delprete & J.H.Kirkbr., J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 10(2): 432. 2016.

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: Trail margins of Cayenne and French Guiana, “habitat Caienne in locis sterilibus” and “au bord des sentiers de Caienne & de la Guyane [...] en fleur & en fruit dans le mois d’Août”, s.d. [1762–1764], J.B.C.F. Aublet s.n. (P-JJR 8: 271A), lectotype designated by Lanjouw and Uittien (1940: 154). For further information, see Delprete (2015).

Notes: The application of the name *Nonatelia officinalis* Aubl. (≡ *Psychotria officinalis* (Aubl.) Raeusch. ex Sandwith) in FGT (Delprete, 2010b: 924) is erroneous. Also, Figure 86 (p. 926) of FGT, labeled as “*Psychotria officinalis*” is instead *Psychotria hoffmannseggiana* (Roem. & Schult.) Müll. Arg. [≡ *Palicourea hoffmannseggiana* (Roem. & Schult.) Borhidi]. The correct application of the name was realized when I was able to examine the lectotype specimen of *Nonatelia officinalis* Aubl. in P-JJR.

The name to be used for this species is *Palicourea tenerior* (Cham.) Delprete & J.H. Kirkbr. For a clarification of the species delimitation of *Pal. tenerior* (incl. *Psy. officinalis*) as presently recognized, a complete synonymy is presented below (reproduced from Delprete and Kirkbride, 2016). The new lectotypification of *Psychotria villosa* Vell. is also presented below.

Patabea tenerior Cham., Linnaea 9: 236. 1834. - *Psychotria tenerior* (Cham.) Müll. Arg. in Martius, Fl. Bras. 6(5): 331. 1881. - *Palicourea tenerior* (Cham.) Delprete & J.H.Kirkbr., J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 10(2): 2016.

Type: BRAZIL: without locality, s.d., F. Sellow s.n. (B†; K [K000015435], lectotype designated by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 432); probable isoelectotype G [barcode G00439809]).

Nonatelia officinalis Aubl., Hist. Pl. Guiane 1: 182, t. 70, f. 1. 1775, non *Palicourea officinalis* Mart. in Spix & Mart. (1828). - *Oribasia officinalis* (Aubl.) J.F.Gmel., Syst. Nat. 367. 1791. - *Psychotria officinalis* (Aubl.) Raeusch., Nomencl. Bot. 55. 1797, *nom. nud.* - *Psychotria involucrata* Sw. (1797), *nom. superfl.*, non *Cephaelis involucrata* Willd. (1798), *nom. superfl.*, nec *Psychotria involucrata* Willd. ex DC. (1830). - *Psychotria officinalis* (Aubl.)

Raesch. ex Sandwith, Kew Bull. 1931: 473. 1931. - *Palicourea swartziana* Borhidi, Acta Bot. Hung. 53: 247. 2012 ["2011"].

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: [Protologue: "habitat Caienne in locis sterilibus"], s.d. [1762–1764], *J.B.C.F. Aublet s.n.* (P-JJR 8: 271A, lectotype designated by Lanjouw and Uittien (1940:154)).

"*Psychotria villosa* Vell., Fl. Flum. 67. 1825, *nom. illeg.*, Icon. 2: f. 33. 1831 [non *Psychotria villosa* Ruiz & Pav. (1799)]."

Type: BRAZIL. [Rio de Janeiro: Paratí]: "*Habitat silvis maritimis Pharmacopolitanis*": [illustration] an original drawing on parchment for the *Florae Fluminensis* in the Manuscript Section of the Biblioteca Nacional, Rio de Janeiro [Catalogue No. mss1198651_036], **lectotype designated here.**

Notes: Delprete (2010b: 924) listed the illegitimate name *Psychotria villosa* Vell. among the synonyms of *Psy. officinalis* (Aubl.) Raesch. ex Sandwith, without citing the type. Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 432) cited as lectotype of *P. villosa*, plate 33 of the second volume of *Florae Fluminensis Icones*. The *Icones* were published two years after the the text of *Florae Fluminensis*, therefore the plates published in the *Icones* are not original material. Hence, Delprete & Kirkbride's lectotypification is not valid. An original drawing on parchment of *P. villosa* is in the Manuscript Section of the National Library in Rio de Janeiro, Catalogue No. mss1198651_036. The parchment plate has the heading "Pentand. Monog. PSYCHOTRIA villosa" and the handwritten number "33" on the upper right corner. On this drawing (as in Plate 33 of the *Icones*) is depicted a ramified branch with numerous leaves and three nodding, capitate inflorescences. Two leaf blades are drawn as elliptic or ovate with 9–10 secondary veins on each side of the midrib, and on the other leaves the secondary venation is not drawn. On the lower right portion of the drawing on parchment, are depicted a flower in anthesis, a longitudinally dissected corolla, and a pistil with reflexed style lobes.

The drawing on parchment of *C. sessilis*, Catalogue No. mss1198651_036, preserved in the Manuscript Section of the National Library in Rio de Janeiro, is here designated the lectotype of *P. villosa*.

Cephaelis microcephala Miq., Linnaea 18: 748. 1845 ["1844"], *nom. illeg.*, non *Cephaelis microcephala* Humb. & Bonpl. ex Roem. & Schult. (1819).

Psychotria microcephala Miq., Stirp. Surinam Select. 180. 1851, non *Psychotria microcephala* Müll. Arg. (1881), *nom. illeg.*

Type: SURINAME: Paramaribo, Mar–Apr 1844, *A. Kappler 1562* (first-step lectotype designated by Steyermark (1972: 605); second-step lectotype U [barcode U0006198], designated by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 432); isolectotype MO [Acc. No. 124059]).

Psychotria erythrophylla Müll.Arg., Flora 59: 542, 546. 1876. - *Psychotria hoffmannseggiana* var. *erythrophylla* (Müll.Arg.) Steyer., Mem. New York Bot. Gard. 23: 607. 1972.

Type: VENEZUELA. Amazonas: "Rio Casiquiari, Rio Vasiva and Rio Pacimoni" [In Vasiva ripis], Jan 1854, *R. Spruce 3439* (K [barcode K000432844], lectotype designated by Steyermark (1972: 608); isolectotypes, BR [barcode 00000531613], G [barcode G00300757], frag NY [barcode 00132666]).

Psychotria barbiflora var. *amazonica* Müll.Arg. in Martius, Fl. Bras. 6(5): 330. 1881.

Type: BRAZIL. Pará: near Santarem, May 1850 (fr), *R. Spruce 630* (first-step lectotype designated by Steyermark (1972: 606); second-step lectotype, K [barcode K000174364] designated by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 432)).

Psychotria officinalis subsp. *wilhelminensis* Steyer., Mem. New York Bot. Gard. 23: 615. 1972.

Type: SURINAME: Wilhelmina Geberte, Juliana Top, 3°36–41'N, 56°30–34'W, 1200 m, 2 Aug. 1963, *B. Maguire, J.P. Schultz, T.R. Soderstrom & N. Holmgren 54440* (holotype, NY [barcode 00132755]; isotype, U [barcode U0006228]).

New identification of specimens cited as "*Psychotria officinalis*" in FGT. Several specimens cited under *Psychotria officinalis* in FGT (Delprete, 2010b: 928–930) are here re-identified as *Palicourea hoffmannseggiana* (Roem. & Schult.) Borhidi. Those specimens are cited below.

BRAZIL. **Goiás:** Mun. Aparecida do Rio Doce, PCH Irara, 18°04'S, 51°11'W, borda de mata estacional, 18 Dec. 2007 (fl), *F.A.G. Guilherme et al.* 985 (UFG); Campo Belo e São Domingo, 24 Oct. 1965 (fl), *E. Pereira & A.P. Duarte 10401* (HB, UFG); Mun. Goiânia, Morro dos Lobos, 4 Sep. 1968 (fl-fr), *J.A. Rizzo & A.M. Barbo-*

sa 2108 (UFG); Mun. Goiânia, rod. Goiânia-Leopoldo de Bulhões, 18 km de Goiânia, 30 Dec. 1968 (fr), *J.A. Rizzo & A.M. Barbosa* 3202 (UB, UFG). **Tocantins:** Mun. Pedro Afonso, Fazenda Lagomar, Nov. 1979 (fl), *H.D. Ferreira* 299 (UFG), 300 (UFG); 80 km do entroncamento da Belém-Brasília (BR-153) com a Transamazônica, direção Araguatins, 16 Mar. 1972 (fr), *J.A. Rizzo* 7838 (UB, UFG); rod. Pequizero-Couto de Magalhães, 30 km antes de Couto de Magalhães, 8 Sep. 1973 (fl), *J.A. Rizzo* 9252 (UB, UFG); rod. para Tupiratins, 6 km antes da cidade, 12 Nov. 1973 (fl), *J.A. Rizzo* 9430 (UB, UFG); rod. Porto Nacional-Ponte Alta do Norte, 20 km de Ponte Alta do Norte [Ponte Alta do Tocantins], 7 Dec. 1973 (fl), *J.A. Rizzo* 9449 (UB, UFG).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 930:

“44-15. *Psychotria platypoda* DC., Prodr. 4: 510. 1830. Tipo: Guiana Francesa, s.d., *Patris s.n.* (holótipo, G-DC) [Subg. Heteropsychotria].”

Accepted name: *Palicourea dichotoma* (Rudge) Delprete & J.H.Kirkbr., J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 10(2): 418. 2016.

Notes: A clarification of the species delimitation, full synonymy and typification are presented below, with a few corrections to the typifications published by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016) and see additional notes below.

Palicourea dichotoma (Rudge) Delprete & J.H.Kirkbr., J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 10(2): 418. 2016. - *Cephaelis dichotoma* Rudge, Pl. Guian. 29, t. 44. 1805. - *Psychotria dichotoma* (Rudge) Bremek., Recueil Trav. Bot. Neerl. 31: 301. 1934, *nom. illeg.*, non *Psychotria dichotoma* Humb. & Bonpl. ex Roem. & Schult. (1819).

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: Cayenne, s.d., *J. Martin s.n.* (BM [barcode BM000611038], lectotype designated by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 418); isolectotypes: B† (photo-B No. 721 at G, NY), BM [barcode BM000611037]).

Psychotria platypoda DC., Prodr. 4: 510. 1830.

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: “Cayenne”, without locality, s.d., *J.B. Patris s.n.* (holotype, G-DC [barcode G00667406]).

Psychotria martiana Müll.Arg. in Martius, Fl. Bras. 6(5): 339, t. 51. 1881.

Type: BRAZIL. Rio de Janeiro: Serra de Macacu, s.d., *A.C.V. Schott* 5302 (799.d) (first step lectotype designated by Steyermark (1972: 600); NY [barcode 00132735], second-step lectotype designated by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 418); isolectotype fragment F [Acc. No. 870290]).

Notes: Candolle (1830: 510) in the protologue of *Psychotria platypoda* DC. cited the material studied as “in Guianâ Gallicâ legit cl. Patris. [...] Species distinctissima! (v.s. sine fl.)” Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 418) cited the type of *P. platypoda* as “French Guiana: without locality, s.d., *J.B. Patris s.n.* (lectotype, here designated: G-DC! [no barcode]; isolectotype G! [barcode unknown]).” However, after exhaustive search made in 2023, I was unable to find the sheet in G; hence, most likely this was an erroneous citation and presumably such specimen never existed in G.

The G-DC sheet corresponding with this name has the annotation “*Psychotria platypoda* DC.” handwritten by Candolle. Delprete examined and annotated it as the holotype of *P. platypoda* in 2013. All the material on that sheet was designated as the lectotype by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016), citing a duplicate in G as the isolectotype. However, a detailed re-examination of the sheet in G-DC supports a different conclusion. On that sheet are affixed two specimens that after Delprete’s examination were assigned two different barcodes, because they belong to two different gatherings. The specimen on the upper portion of the sheet, with barcode G00667343, is a branch with several leaf pairs and two infructescences. At the base of the upper specimen is affixed a label with the annotation “Cayenne ou Guyane française, Museum de Paris 1821” handwritten by an unknown author, most likely a person of the curatorial staff of the Natural History Museum in Paris in 1821. This also proves that specimen with barcode G00667343 was not collected by Patris, as Patris’ specimens were integrated in G-DC through L’Heritier Herbarium, hence this specimen is not original material.

The specimen on the lower portion of the G-DC sheet, with barcode G00667406, consists of a branch with two leaf pairs and a small infructescence. At the base of the lower specimen, is pinned a label with “Cayenne” handwritten by Candolle. Candolle was aware that this specimen was collected by Patris in French Guiana, as he wrote that information in the protologue (Candolle, 1830: 510), but did not add that information on the specimen label. In conclusion, the specimen with barcode G00667406 is the holotype of *Psychotria platypoda*.

Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 418) listed *Psychotria brevipes* DC. as a synonym of *Palicourea dichotoma* (Rudge) Delprete & J.H. Kirkbr. [incl. *Psychotria platypoda*] and designated the lectotype of *P. brevipes*

a specimen in G-DC, which at that time, did not have a barcode. That specimen was later assigned barcode G00667340. Delprete in 2013 annotated that specimen as the holotype of *P. brevipes*, and affixed an additional label with the annotation “*Psychotria* sp., not *P. platypoda* DC.” However, Delprete’s note about the identity of *P. brevipes* was overlooked by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016), who listed that name as one of the synonyms of *P. platypoda*. The identity of this name needs to be further studied. The specimen has strongly costate fruits, as characterized by Candolle in the original description, and is not synonymous with *P. platypoda*.

Another specimen in G, with barcode G00300388, was cited as isolectotype of *Psychotria brevipes* by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 418). That specimen has a label with the annotation “Cayenne, leg. Patris, Comm. De Candolle et L’Heritier.” It is not conspecific with the lectotype of *P. brevipes* in G-DC, which has barcode G00667340 (see above).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 934:

“44-16. *Psychotria poeppigiana* Muell. Arg. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(5): 370, tab. 57, fig. 1. 1881. Sintipos: Brasil, Amazonas, “Ega” [agora cidade de Tefé], *Poeppig 3065* (G), *Martius s.n.* (G); “in silvis Japurensibus ad Maribi” [Rio Japuré], *Martius s.n.* (G); Rio Negro, “ad Uauanaca et S. Gabriel” [entre Uauanaca e São Gabriel da Cachoeira], *Spruce 1892* (G, K); “prope Barra do Rio Negro”, *Martius s.n.* (G); “in silvis ad Nogueira”, *Martius s.n.* (G). [Subg. *Heteropsychotria*].”

Accepted name: *Palicourea tomentosa* (Aubl.) Borhidi, Acta Bot. Hung. 53: 248. 2012 [“2011”].

Notes: For a clarification of the species delimitation, full synonymy and typification is presented below. For further details, see Delprete and Kirkbride (2016).

Palicourea tomentosa (Aubl.) Borhidi, Acta Bot. Hung. 53: 248. 2012 [“2011”]. - *Tapogomea tomentosa* Aubl., Hist. Pl. Guiane 1: 160, t. 61. 1775, non *Psychotria tomentosa* (Oerst.) Hemsl. (Apr 1881). - *Callicocca tomentosa* (Aubl.) J.F.Gmel., Syst. Nat. 1: 371. 1791. - *Cephaelis tomentosa* (Aubl.) Vahl, Eclog. Amer. 1: 19. 1796. - *Psychotria tomentosa* (Aubl.) Müll. Arg. in Martius, Fl. Bras. 6(5): 370. Jul 1881, *nom. illeg.* - *Uragoga tomentosa* (Aubl.) K.Schum. in Engl. & Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4(4):120. 1891.

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: Without locality, s.d. [1762–1764], *J.B.C.F. Aublet s.n.* (P-JJR 8: 265D (lower portion of

the sheet), lectotype designated by Lanjouw and Uittien (1940: 159); isolectotype, BM [barcode BM001008942]).

Cephaelis hirsuta M.Martens & Galeotti, Bull. Acad. Roy. Sci. Bruxelles 11(1): 135. 1844, non *Psychotria hirsuta* Sw. (1797).

Type: MEXICO. Oaxaca: Without locality, s.d., *G.H. Galeotti 7185* (BR [000005316045], lectotype designated by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 433); isolectotypes, BR [2 sheets, 000005315710, 000005315833]).

Psychotria poeppigiana Müll.Arg. in Martius, Fl. Bras. 6(5): 370, t. 57, f. 1. 1881. - *Uragoga poeppigiana* (Müll. Arg.) Kuntze, Revis. Gen. Pl. 2: 962. 1891.

Type: BRAZIL. Amazonas: “prope Ega” [now Tefé], 1834, *E.F. Poeppig 3065* (first-step lectotype designated by Steyermark (1972: 680), second-step lectotype, G [barcode G00418072], designated by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 433); isolectotypes, W [Acc. No. W104833], fragment F [Acc. No. 766938]; possible isolectotypes, G [barcode G00418071], W [2 sheets, Acc. Nos. W0053537, W111261]).

Psychotria barcellana Müll. Arg. in Martius, Fl. Bras. 6(5): 369. 1881. - *Cephaelis barcellana* (Müll. Arg.) Standl., Publ. Field Columbian Mus., Bot. Ser. 8: 184. 1930. - *Psychotria poeppigiana* subsp. *barcellana* (Müll. Arg.) Steyermark, Mem. New York Bot. Gard. 23: 680. 1972.

Type: BRAZIL. Amazonas: Rio Negro, Arião, Nov 1851, *R. Spruce 1852 (or 1892), pro parte* (first-step lectotype designated by Steyermark (1972: 680); second-step lectotype K [barcode K000174426], designated by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 433)).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 937:

“44-17. *Psychotria prunifolia* (Kunth) Steyermark, Mem. New York Bot. Gard. 23: 655. 1972. - *Cephaelis prunifolia* Kunth in Humb. & Bonpl., Nov. Gen. Sp. 3: 377. 1819. Tipo: Venezuela, Amazonas, Río Orinoco, Rápidas de Maypures, s.d., *Humboldt & Bonpland 757* (holótipo, P-Bonp.). [Subg. *Heteropsychotria*].”

Accepted name: *Palicourea prunifolia* (Kunth) Borhidi, Acta Bot. Hung. 53: 248. 2012 [“2011”].

Type: VENEZUELA. Amazonas: Río Orinoco, Rápidas de Maypures, s.d., *A. Bonpland & A. Humboldt 757* (holotype, P-Bonp. [barcode P00671120]); pos-

sible isotype (without collection number) B-W [barcode B-W 04152-01 0]).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 937:

Synonyms:

“*Cephaelis microcephala* Willd. ex Roem. & Schult., Syst. Veg. 5: 214. 1819. - *Psychotria microcephala* (Willd ex Roem. & Schult.) Muell. Arg., in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(5): 351. 1881; *Non Psychotria microcephala* Miq. (1850).”

Type: VENEZUELA: Orinoco, s.d., A.J.A.G. *Bonpland* & F.W.H.A. *Humboldt s.n.* (holotype B-W [barcode B-W 04152-01 0]; possible isotype P-Bonp. [barcode P00671120])

Psychotria microcephala (Willd. ex Roem. & Schult.) Muell. Arg. var. *tripotamica* Muell. Arg., in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(5): 352. 1881.

Type: VENEZUELA: “habitat ad fluminis tria Casiquiari, Vasiva et Pacimoni regionis superioris Rio Negro” 1853–8154, R. *Spruce 3169* (G [barcode G00300400], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotypes, BM [barcode BM000624163], BR [barcode 000000531649], K [barcode K000432847], MO [Acc. No. 1620599], P [barcode P01817899], TCD [barcode TCD0005751]).

Notes: Müller Argoviensis (1881: 352) along with the description of *Psychotria microcephala* var. *tripotamica* Müll.Arg., cited the gathering *Spruce 3169*, without indicating the herbarium of deposit. Duplicates of this gathering are present in several herbaria. The specimen in G, with barcode G00300400, has two handwritten labels. One of them has the annotation “3169. *Cephaelis* (Pata-bea). Ad flumen Casiquiari, Vasiva et Pacimoni, coll. R. Spruce, 1853-4” handwritten by Spruce, and the other has the annotation “*P. microcephala* v. *tripotamica* Müll.-Arg., scripsit Müll.-Arg.!” The specimen with barcode G00300400 is here designated the lectotype of this name.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 938:

Synonym:

“*Psychotria xanthocephala* Muell. Arg., in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(5): 351, tab. 53, fig. 1. 1881. Síntipos: Brasil, Goiás: Serra do Caretão, s.d. [1818-1819], *Pohl 808* (G, K, foto-K em NY) e *1694* (G); Tocantins: “Porto Imperial” [agora Porto Nacional], s.d. [1828-1829], *Burchell 8408* (G).”

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: Serra do Carretão, s.d. [1818–1819], *J.B.E. Pohl 1694* (G [barcode G00300401], **lectotype designated here**).

Notes: In the protologue of *Psychotria xanthocephala* Müll. Arg., Müller Argoviensis (1881: 351) cited several gatherings from the Brazilian states of Goiás, Tocantins, and Mato Grosso. At G there is a specimen, with barcode G00300401, with the annotation “*P. xanthophylla* Müll. Arg., scripsit Müll.-Arg.! – Serra do Caretão, Pohl n. 1694” handwritten by Müller Argoviensis. This specimen, consisting of one branch with several infructescences, is here designated the lectotype of this name.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 944:

“44-18. *Psychotria racemosa* Rich., Actes Soc. Hist. Nat. Paris 1: 107. 1792. - Tipo: Guiana Francesa, s.d., *L.C.M. Richard s.n.* (neótipo, P, escolhido por Kirkbride, 1997) [Subg. *Heteropsychotria*].”

Accepted name: *Palicourea racemosa* (Aubl.)

G.Nicholson, Ill. Dict. Gard. 3: 8. 1886.

Non *Palicourea racemosa* (Aubl.) Borhidi, Acta Bot. Hung. 53: 247. 2012 [“2011”], *isonym, nom. superfl.*

Notes: For a clarification regarding the delimitation of this species, full synonymy and typification is presented below. For further details, see Delprete and Kirkbride (2016).

Palicourea racemosa (Aubl.) G.Nicholson, Ill. Dict. Gard. 3: 8. 1886. - *Palicourea racemosa* (Aubl.) Borhidi, Acta Bot. Hung. 53: 247. 2012 [“2011”], *isonym, nom. superfl.* - *Nonatelia racemosa* Aubl., Hist. Pl. Guiane 1:186, t. 72. 1775, emend A.Rich., Mem. Fam. Rubiac. 127. 1830. - *Oribasia racemosa* (Aubl.) J.F.Gmel., Syst. Nat. 367. 1791. - *Psychotria racemosa* (Aubl.) Raeusch., Nomencl. Bot., ed. 3, 56. 1797, *nom. illeg.*, non *Psychotria racemosa* Rich. (1792:107).

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: Forest between Kaw and the Orapu River, “in sylvis Orapu,” s.d. [1762–1764], *J.B.C.F. Aublet s.n.* (P-JJR 8: 271C, lectotype designated by Lanjouw and Uittien (1940: 154)).

Psychotria racemosa Rich., Actes Soc. Hist. Nat. Paris 1: 107. 1792.

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: Without locality, s.d., *L.C.M. Richard s.n.* (neotype, P, lost, designated by Kirkbride (1997: 370, figs. 7–8)).

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: Without locality, s.d., *L.C.M. Richard s.n.* (P [barcode P03824095], **lectotype designated here**).

Psychotria longistipula Benth., J. Bot. (Hooker) 3: 227. 1841.

Type: BRAZIL. Amazonas: Rio Negro, [Barcelos], s.d. [Dec 1839], *Rob. H. Schomburgk, ser. I, 945* (first-step lectotype designated by Steyermark (1972: 543); second-step lectotype K [K000174266], designated by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 428); isolectotype, BM n.v.).

Psychotria quinquecuspis Müll. Arg., Flora 59: 549, 552. 1876.

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais: Lagoa Santa, 21 Jan. 1864, *E. Warming s.n.* (holotype G [barcode G00300406]).

Notes: Kirkbride (1997: 370) designated as neotype of *Psychotria racemosa* Rich. a specimen in P, which was photographed and published in figures 7 and 8 of his article. The neotype specimen illustrated in fig. 7 and 8 was recently searched for in P several times by Kirkbride and the present author, and we are now certain that the specimen was lost. Therefore, a new lectotype specimen needs to be designated from original material. In P there is another specimen collected by L.C.M. Richard, with barcode P03824095, and the annotation “Nonatelia racemosa Aublet, t. 72, Guyana, Dedit Richard” handwritten by an unknown author. That specimen has another label, with the heading “HERB. MUS. PARIS” and the annotation “Guyane, donné par M. A. Richard” handwritten by an unknown author. The specimen with barcode P03824095 is original material. It consists of a branch with three leaf pairs and a terminal infructescence and is here designated as the lectotype of *Psychotria racemosa* Rich.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 951:

“44-19. *Psychotria rupestris* Muell. Arg., Flora 59: 542, 546. 1976. Tipo: Brasil, Minas Gerais, Tejuco, s.d., *Riedel 1241* (holótipo, G). [Subg. Heteropsychotria].”

Accepted name: *Palicourea rupestris* (Müll. Arg.) Delprete, **comb. nov.**

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais: “in rupibus prope Tejuco”, Dec. 1824, *L. Riedel 1241* (G [barcode G00300417], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotype BR [barcode 000000532581]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Psychotria rupestris* Müll. Arg., Müller Argoviensis (1881: 332) cited the gathering *Riedel 1241*, without indicating the herbarium

of deposit. I was able to find two original specimens at G and BR annotated by Müller Argoviensis with this name. The specimen at G, barcode G00300417, has few branchlets with inflorescences, and is here designated as the lectotype of this name.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 954:

“44-20. *Psychotria stachyoides* Benth., Linnaea 23: 464. 1850. Tipo: Brasil, Minas Gerais, Caldas, s.d., *Regnell I 170* (holótipo K; isótipo C; foto-C em NY). [Subg. Heteropsychotria].”

Accepted name: *Palicourea stachyoides* (Benth.) Delprete, **comb. nov.**

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais: Caldas, 24 Oct. 1864, *A.F. Regnell ser. I n. 170* (K [barcode K000002237], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotypes, BR [2 sheets; barcodes 000000532619 and 000000532586], C n.v. [photo in NY], US [barcode 00138993]; fragment F [ex B; Acc. No. 612131]).

Notes: Bentham (1850: 464) cited the gathering *Regnell Ser. I, n. 170* for *Psychotria stachyoides* Benth. without citing the herbarium of deposit. As Bentham regularly studied specimens at BM and K, and duplicates of *Regnell Ser. I, n. 170* are also present at BR, C, F, and US, a lectotype needs to be designated. At K there is a sheet with two original specimens of *P. stachyoides*. On the upper right corner of the sheet with barcode K000002237, is affixed a label with the annotation “Provinciae Minas G., 1 Ser. N. 170” handwritten by Regnell, and the annotation “Psychotria stachyoides, Benth.” handwritten by Bentham. That specimen consists of a branch with several inflorescences with numerous flowers in anthesis. Near the branch there is the stamp “Herbarium Benthamianum.” Specimen with barcode K000002237 is here designated as the lectotype of this name.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 957:

“44-21. *Psychotria stipulosa* Muell. Arg. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(5): 334. 1881. - *Cephaelis stipulosa* (Muell. Arg.) Standl., Publ. Field Columbian Mus., Bot. Ser. 7: 430. 1931. - Tipo: Venezuela, Amazonas, s.d., *Spruce 3382* (holótipo, G; isótipo, BR). [Subg. Heteropsychotria].”

Accepted name: *Palicourea stipulosa* (Müll. Arg.) Borhidi, Acta Bot. Hung. 59: 47. 2017.

Type: VENEZUELA. Amazonas: “Habitat in regione fluminis Negro secus Casiquiari, Vasiva et Pacimoni”, 1853–1854, *R. Spruce* 3382 (G [2 sheets, barcode G00300489], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotypes, BM [barcode BM000624156], BR [barcode 000000532587], E [barcode E00285075], GH [barcode 00095218], K [barcode K000174369, “Ad pedem montis Imei fluvii Pacimonis, locis humidis, Feb/54”], NY [barcode 00132835], P [barcodes P00837161, P00837162 “Fl. Pacimoni, Feb. 1854”]; isolectotype fragment F [ex G, Acc. No. 767118]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Psychotria stipulosa* Müll. Arg., Müller Argoviensis (1881: 334–335) cited the gathering *Spruce* 3382 with the localities “Habitat in regione fluminis Negro secus Casiquiari, Vasiva et Pacimoni” and did not cite an herbarium of deposit. Borhidi (2017: 47) did not designate a lectotype for the new combination *Palicourea stipulosa* (Müll. Arg.) Borhidi. At G there are two sheets, which are kept together in the same folder. On the first sheet, with barcode G00300489, is affixed a label with the annotation “3382 Cephaelis, Ad fluminis Casiquiari, Vasiva et Pacimoni, Coll. R. Spruce, 1853-4” handwritten by Spruce, and a label with the annotation “P. stipulosa Müll. Arg., scripsit Müll.-Arg.!” handwritten by Müller Argoviensis. On the second sheet at G, without barcode, is affixed a label with the heading “Herbier Delessert, Collection Générale” and the annotation “Spruce n. 3382” handwritten by an unknown author. According to Art. 8.3 of the *Code* “A specimen may be mounted as more than one preparation, as long as the parts are clearly labelled as being part of that same specimen, or bear a single, original label in common. Multiple preparations from a single gathering that are not clearly labelled as being part of a single specimen are duplicates, irrespective of whether the source was one individual or more than one.” And specifically, Art. 8.3 Ex. 9 states that “In the Geneva herbaria, a single specimen is often prepared on two or more sheets, which are not therefore duplicates. Although the individual sheets are usually not labelled as being part of the same specimen, they are physically kept together in their own specimen folder and bear a single, original label in common.” Therefore, the two sheets of *Spruce* 3382 at G are treated as a single specimen with multiple preparations. Specimen with barcode G00300489, mounted on two sheets, is here designated as the lectotype of *Psychotria stipulosa*.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 959:
“44-22. *Psychotria subtriflora* Muell. Arg., *Flora* 59: 550, 553. 1876. Tipo: Brasil, sem localidade, s.d., *Sellow s.n.* (holótipo, G). [Subg. *Heteropsychotria*.]”

Accepted name: *Palicourea subtriflora* (Müll. Arg.) Delprete, **comb. nov.**

Type: BRAZIL: Without locality, s.d., *F. Sellow s.n.* (G [barcode G00402252], **lectotype here designated**).

Notes: Müller Argoviensis (1876: 550, 553) cited the material studied of *Psychotria subtriflora* Müll. Arg. as “Brasilia: Sello” without citing the herbarium of deposit. Searching for original specimens associated with this name, only one specimen at G was found. The G specimen, with barcode G00402252, consists of a few branch fragments kept in an envelope, which are sufficient for the application of the name. The envelope is annotated by Müller Argoviensis as “P. subtriflora Müll. Arg. scripsit Müll. Arg.” and “Psychotria subtriflora Müll. Arg. Brasil. Sello.” This specimen is here designated the lectotype of *Psychotria subtriflora*.

New synonym:

Psychotria schuechiana Müll. Arg. in *Mart., Fl. Bras.* 6(5): 348. 1881. – *Uragoga schuechiana* (Müll. Arg.) Kuntze, *Revis. Gen. Pl.* 2: 952. 1891. – *Margaritopsis schuechiana* (Müll. Arg.) C.M. Taylor, *Syst. Geogr. Pl.* 75(2): 176. 2005.

Type: BRAZIL. Rio de Janeiro: Without locality, s.d., *G. Schüch* 5314 (G [barcode G00300187], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotypes NY [barcode 00132814], W [2 sheets, barcodes W0067425, W0067426]).

Notes: Müller Argoviensis (1881: 348) described *Psychotria schuechiana* Müll. Arg. as a plant with small, narrowly triangular stipules, leaves narrowly elliptic with 6–7 secondary veins on each side, sessile flowering heads distally dichotomous, with 8–10 flowers, bracts oblong-triangular about as long as the fruits, bracteoles lanceolate, calyx with 5 lanceolate lobes, drupes ellipsoid, and pyrenes ventrally sulcate. He also wrote that it is very similar to *P. subtriflora* Müll. Arg., from which it differs by the stems distally pubescent, dichotomous, multiflorous capitula, and the smaller fruits. He cited the material studied of *P. schuechiana* as “Habitat in prov. Rio de Janeiro: Schüch n. 5314” without citing the herbarium of deposit.

Taylor (2005: 176) transferred *Psychotria schuechiana* Müll. Arg. to *Margaritopsis* (= *Eumachia*) and cited the type as “Brazil, in prov. Rio de Janeiro, *Schüch* 5314 [M n.v. holo-; G-DC n.v., photo (F neg. #25827) MO iso-].” The M specimen cited by Taylor cannot be treated as a holotype because Müller Argoviensis did not cite

the herbarium of deposit. Also, Taylor's citation cannot be treated as an inadvertent lectotypification because, according to the *Code*, it should have been accompanied by "here designated" or a similar expression. In addition, according to Andreas Fleischmann (pers. comm., 6 Nov. 2023), M Curator, after an exhaustive search, no original specimen of *Psychotria schuechiana* was located in M, and such specimen probably never existed in that herbarium, because there is no folder with such name at M. Hence, Taylor's holotype citation is superseded, and a lectotype for this name needs to be designated. Original specimens of *Psychotria schuechiana* have been found in G, NY, and W, and are below discussed.

The G specimen, with barcode G00300187, consists of two branches with fruits, and has a label with the annotation "P. Schuechiana Müll. Arg. – scripsit Müll. Arg." handwritten by Müller Agoviensis. However, the specimen does not have a label reporting collector and collector number. Because this specimen is annotated by Müller Agoviensis, it is here designated as the lectotype of this name.

The NY specimen, barcode 00132814, has a label with the heading "Duplum ex Herb. Mus. Hist. Nat. Vindobon." and the handwritten text "Psychotria schuechiana Müll. Arg., Brasilia, 5314 Schüch". The specimen consists of a branch without flowers. This specimen is an isolectotype.

There are two sheets of *Schüch 5314* at W, with barcodes W0067425 and W0067426, which are isolectotypes.

Taylor et al. (2017: 300) stated that "*Psychotria schuechiana* Müll. Arg. was treated as a species of *Margaritopsis* by Taylor (2005), but further study shows that it does not belong to *Eumachia* and is not separable from *P. subtriflora* Müll. Arg." Accordingly, this taxon is here treated as a synonym of *Palicourea subtriflora* (Müll. Arg.) Delprete.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 961:

"44-23. *Psychotria trichophora* Muell. Arg., Flora 59: 541, 545. 1876. Tipo: Brasil, Goiás, Rio Maranhão, s.d. [1818-1819], Pohl 2053 (holótipo, G). [Subg. Heteropsychotria]."

Accepted name: *Palicourea trichophora* (Müll.Arg.) Delprete & J.H.Kirkbr., J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 10(2): 434. 2016.

Notes: For clarification of the species delimitation, full synonymy and typification is presented below:

Palicourea trichophora (Müll.Arg.) Delprete & J.H.Kirkbr., J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 10(2): 434. 2016. - *Psychotria trichophora* Müll. Arg., Flora 59: 541, 545. 1876.

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: Rio Maranhao, s.d. [1818–1819], J.B.E. Pohl 2053 (G [barcode G00300454], lectotype designated by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 434)).

Psychotria trichophoroides Müll.Arg., Flora 59: 541, 545. 1876 (cited as syn. of *P. trichophora* in Taylor 2007). - *Cephaelis trichophoroides* (Müll.Arg.) Standl., Publ. Field Columbian Mus., Bot. Ser. 8: 183. 1930. - *Petagomoa trichophoroides* (Müll.Arg.) Bremek., Recueil Trav. Bot. Neerl. 31: 295. 1934.

Type: BRAZIL. Rio de Janeiro ["Goiás" sic!], Serra Tingua, s.d., J.B.E. Pohl 5306 (first-step lectotype designated by Steyermark (1972: 642); G [barcode G00300455] second-step lectotype designated by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 434); isolectotype, NY [00133508]; possible isolectotype, BR [barcode 00000531499, without collection number]).

Psychotria sciaphila S.Moore, Trans. Linn. Soc. London, ser. 2, 4: 379. 1896.

Type: BRAZIL. Mato Grosso: "in sylvis juxta ripas fl. Paraguay inter Santa Cruz et Diamantino," s.d. [1891–1892], S.M. Moore 643 (first-step lectotype designated by Steyermark (1972: 641); BM [barcode BM000611028], second-step lectotype designated by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 434); isolectotypes, K [barcode K000174411], NY [barcode 00132815]; photo-BM at NY).

Petagomoa nigricans Bremek., Recueil Trav. Bot. Neerl. 31: 295. 1934.

Type: SURINAME: Forest Reserve Brownsberg, 6 Sep. 1915, G. Stahel & J.W. Gonggrijp 123 (B.W. 632) (U [barcode U0006253], lectotype designated by Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 434)).

Psychotria sciaphila subsp. *longicalyx* Steyer., Mem. New York Bot. Gard. 23:641. 1972.

Type: BRAZIL. Distrito Federal: Parque Municipal do Gama, 25 km S of Brasilia, 1150 m, 10 Nov. 1965, H.S. Irwin, R. Souza & R. Reis dos Santos 10161 (holotype, NY [barcode 00132816]; isotypes, F [Acc. No. 1726870], NY [barcode 01005486], US [barcode 00479212]).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 969:

“44-24. *Psychotria vellosiana* Benth., Linnaea 23: 464. 1850 - *Coffea sessilis* Vell., Fl. Flum. 64. 1825; Icon. 2: pl. 20. 1831. - *Psychotria sessilis* (Vell.) Muell. Arg. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(5): 358. 1881, *nomen. superfl., non Psychotria sessilis* Vell., Fl. Flum. 65. 1825; Icon. 2: pl. 26. 1831 [= *Rudgea sessilis* (Vell.) Muell. Arg. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(5): 182. 1881]. - *Psychotria sessilis* (Vell.) Muell. Arg. var. *genuina* Muell. Arg. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(5): 358. 1881. Tipo: Fl. Flum. Icon. 2: pl. 20. 1831 (holótipo). [Subg. Heteropsychotria].”

Accepted name: *Palicourea sessilis* (Vell.) C.M.Taylor, Novon 24: 90. 2015.

Type: BRAZIL. [Rio de Janeiro or São Paulo]: [icon] “*Coffea sessilis*” Original drawing on parchment for the *Florae Fluminensis* in the Manuscript Section of the Biblioteca Nacional, Rio de Janeiro [Catalogue No. mss1198651_023], **lectotype here designated**.

Notes: Full synonymy and typification of this species is available in Taylor (2015a) and Delprete and Kirkbride (2016).

Frei José Mariano da Conceição Vellozo (1742–1811) wrote the *Florae Fluminensis*, a floristic study of the state of Rio de Janeiro and contiguous areas in the state of São Paulo (Pastore et al. 2021). The text of this multi-volume work was published posthumously in 1829, although the frontispiece reports “1825” as the publication date. And the *Icones*, the volumes with the illustrations were published in 1831, although the frontispiece reports “1827” as the publication date (Carauta 1969, 1973; Knapp et al. 2015; Pellegrini et al. 2015). Therefore, the plates published in the *Icones* are not original material. In addition, Vellozo’s herbarium is probably lost. Some authors have suggested that Vellozo’s herbarium was sent from Rio de Janeiro to Lisbon in 1798 and possibly carried from there to Paris by Geffroy de Saint-Hilaire during the Napoleonic invasion of Portugal (Borgmeier, 1961; Lima, 1995; Pellegrini et al. 2015). But these are just suppositions, and no specimen that can be associated to Vellozo’s names has been found in P or LISU.

Taylor (2015a: 90) and Delprete and Kirkbride (2016: 434) cited as lectotype of *Coffea sessilis* plate 20 of the second volume of *Florae Fluminensis Icones*. However, as explained above, the *Icones* were published two years after the description of the species, and they are not original material. Hence, Taylor’s and Delprete & Kirkbride’s lectotypifications of *C. sessilis* are not valid and should be superseded.

An original parchment plate of *Coffea sessilis* is kept in the Manuscript Section of the National Library in Rio de Janeiro, Catalogue No. mss1198651_023. The drawing has the heading “Pentand. Monog. COFFEA sessilis” and the handwritten number “20” on the upper right corner. On this drawing, as in Plate 20 of the *Icones*, is depicted a ramified branch with numerous leaves. The distal portion of the branchlets are depicted as antrorsely pubescent. The leaf blades are drawn as narrowly lanceolate with 24–26 secondary veins on each side of the midrib, although in some of them the secondary venation is not drawn. The inflorescences are axillary and sessile, with a few sessile flowers, some of them in anthesis. On the lower right portion of the drawing are depicted a flower in anthesis, a longitudinally dissected corolla, and a pistil with reflexed style lobes. The drawings on parchment of *C. sessilis*, with Catalogue No. mss1198651_023, preserved in the Manuscript Section of the National Library in Rio de Janeiro, is here designated the lectotype of *C. sessilis*.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 975:

“44-25. *Psychotria viridis* Ruiz & Pav., Fl. Peruv. 2: 61, tab. 210, fig. b. 1799. Tipo: Peru, Cuchero et Chinchao, s.d., *Ruiz & Pavón s.n.* (holótipo, MA). [cultivada] [Subg. Psychotria].”

Accepted name: *Psychotria viridis* Ruiz & Pav.

Type: [PERU]: [protologue]: “Habitat in *Peruviae Andium* montibus imis nemorosis per *Chinchao* et *Macora* tractus.” [icon]: “*Psychotria viridis*”, original drawing of the Royal Botanical Expedition to the Viceroyalty of Peru, the preserved in the CSIC Archives of the National Museum of Natural History of Madrid, cat. no. AJB04-D-0425_001, **lectotype here designated**.

Notes: As explained in Material and Methods, the collections made by Ruiz & Pavón in the localities of Chinchao, Acomayo, Pillao and Muña, were lost in a shipwreck along the coast of Portugal. Ruiz and Pavón returned to Spain in 1788, leaving the two botanists Juan José Tafalla and Juan A. Manzanilla to recollect, during 1793–1797, in the sites of the collections lost by their predecessors (Estrella, 1991; Tepe, 2018). Tafalla and Manzanilla sent their collections to Spain, where they were integrated in the Herbarium Peruvianum by Ruiz & Pavón and included in *Flora Peruviana et Chilensis*.

Ruiz and Pavón (1799: 61) described inflorescence and flowers of *Psychotria viridis* Ruiz & Pav. as “Racemi

terminales, subpaniculati, sesquipalmes. Pedunculi brachiati, tetragoni, compressiusculi. Flores parvi, sessilis, congesti” and cited the collection locality on the path between the Peruvian towns of Chinchao and Macora.

Andersson (1992: 171) cited the type of *P. viridis* as “Type: Ruiz & Pavón; Peru, Cuchero and Chinchao” without citing any herbarium of deposit. Delprete (2010b: 975) wrote that the holotype of *Psychotria viridis* Ruiz & Pav. is at MA. Taylor (2012d: 217) also cited the type of *P. viridis* as “Holotipo: Perú, Ruiz y Pavón s.n. (MA).” Searching for original material of *P. viridis*, two specimens, one original drawing, and the published plate are below described and discussed.

A specimen at MA with barcode MA815975, has a label with the annotation “*Psychotria viridis* Sp. Pl. Fl. Per.” probably handwritten by Pavón. Another label has the heading “Herbarium Peruvianum Ruiz et Pavon” and the handwritten annotation “*Psychotria viridis* R. et Pav., n° 6/94, det. K. Krause.” The specimen consists of a branch with several leaf pairs and a laxly branched inflorescence with numerous flower buds. Because of the laxly branched inflorescence, with terete peduncle and rachis, and the pedicellate flowers, this specimen does not correspond with Ruiz & Pavón’s description and is not *Psychotria viridis*.

An original, colored drawing of the Royal Botanical Expedition to the Viceroyalty of Peru, by José Brunete is glued on a larger sheet. On the top of the larger sheet is affixed a printed label with the text “REAL JARDÍN BOTÁNICO, CSIC ARCHIVO – *Real Expedición Botánica al Virreinato del Perú*, AJB04-D-0425_001.” On the drawing is depicted a branch with numerous leaf pairs, and large, oblong-obovate stipules. The stem, peduncle and rachis are quadrangular, and the flowers are sessile. At the lower right corner of the drawing are depicted a flower in anthesis, a dissected corolla with included stamens, and a pistil with a bifid style. At the lower left corner, inside the frame of the drawing is written “Brunete”. At the bottom of the sheet, outside the frame of the drawing, at the bottom left corner is handwritten the number “14”, and at the middle is handwritten “*Psychotria viridis*.” All the features depicted on this drawing correspond entirely with Ruiz & Pavón’s description.

Table 210, Figure b, of Ruiz & Pavón’s (1799) *Flora Peruviana et Chilensis* is nearly identical to the original drawing by José Brunete, described above. *Psychotria viridis* is depicted in the lower portion of the plate, and differs from the original drawing in several features: A) the lower part of the branch is not depicted; B) the lateral branch depicted in the original drawing, with three leaf pairs and apical stipules, is missing; C) the leaves are drawn with secondary veins reaching the leaf mar-

gin, alternating with shorter veins reaching only half the width of leaf lamina. While in Brunete’s drawing, the secondary veins are all the same length and reach the leaf margin, i.e., drawn as they are in nature.

In conclusion, Brunete’s original drawing, made during the Royal Botanical Expedition to the Viceroyalty of Peru, kept in the CSIC Archives of the National Museum of Natural History of Madrid, with the code AJB04-D-0425_001, is here designated the lectotype of *Psychotria viridis* because it better corresponds to the description by the authors.

A specimen at G, with barcode G00300468, has a label with the printed text “Herbier Delessert – Collection Générale”. On that label is glued a smaller label with the annotation “808 *Psychotria* sp. n.” handwritten by an unknown author, and “Perou. – M. Pavon.” probably handwritten by Pavón. The specimen consists of one branch with several leaf pairs, a large oblong-obovate stipule present at the node subtending the inflorescence, and an inflorescence with several flower buds. This specimen corresponds with Ruiz & Pavón’s description.

45. **PSYLLOCARPUS** Mart. & Zucc., *Flora* 7(1), suppl. (4): 130. V-VI/1824; *Nov. Gen. Sp. Pl.* 1: 44. X/1824.

Accepted generic name: TAPANHUACANGA Vand., *Fl. Lusit. Bras. Spec.* 9. 1788.

Notes. Moraes (2018) in an article dealing with the plant names published by Vandelli (1788) concluded that *Tapanhuacanga* Vand. is synonymous with *Psyllocarpus* Mart. & Zucc. and published eleven new combinations in *Tapanhuacanga*. Carmo et al. (2019) submitted a well-supported proposal to conserve the name *Psyllocarpus* against *Tapanhuacanga*, to preserve taxonomic stability, and also indicated that the genus is probably not monophyletic. The Report of the Nomenclature Committee for Vascular Plants, lead by Applequist (2023), after considering the arguments offered by Carmo et al. (2019) to conserve *Psyllocarpus* against *Tapanhuacanga*, did not recommend the conservation. Therefore, *Tapanhuacanga* is the name to be used for this genus, and the new combinations published by Moraes (2018) are here followed.

Etymology: The name *Tapanhuacanga* originated from the Tupi language and its etymology was discussed by Moraes (2018: 50–51) as follows “According to Sampaio (1901: 58), *Tapanhuacanga* derives from *tupuyuna*, a negro, *acanga*, head, is the name given to the rocks described by Eschwege (1822) as “debris from angular, sharp edged fragments of iron luster and magnetic iron

bound by iron ocher, also mixed with talc and chlorite schist and chunks of itacolumite. The rock is very rich in gold [...].” Alternatively, *Tapanhuacanga* sensu Velozo de Miranda could also have been named after the Tapanhuacanga Mountains at Congonhas do Campo (Eschwege 1822), a place where he probably collected plants, about 57 km from Ouro Preto, where he lived.”

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 981:

“45-1. *Psyllocarpus goiasensis* J.H. Kirkbr., Smithsonian Contr. Bot. 41: 1–32. 1979. Tipo: Brasil, Goiás, ca. 10 km N de Alto Paraíso, 24/III/1971 (fl, fr), H.S. Irwin, R.M. Harley & G.L. Smith 33082 (holótipo, UB; isotipos, NY, US; fotos-US em NY).”

Accepted name: *Tapanhuacanga goiasensis* (J.H.Kirkbr.) P.L.R.Moraes, Feddes Repert. 130: 51. 2018.

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: ca. 10 km N de Alto Paraíso, ca. 1100 m, 24 Mar. 1971 (fl, fr), H.S. Irwin, R.M. Harley & G.L. Smith 33082 (holotype, UB [barcode UB0040390]; isotypes, MO [Acc. No. 2575309], NY [barcode 00133029], US [barcode 00130113], WAG [barcode WAG0003052], WIS [barcode v0004269WIS]; photos-US in NY).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 985:

“45-2. *Psyllocarpus phyllocephalus* K. Schum., Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 25(3), suppl. (60): 17. 1898. Tipo: Brasil, Distrito Federal, “entre Paranuá e Rio Torto”, 1895, *Glaziou 21504* (holótipo B, destruído; lectótipo, P, escolhido por Kirkbride, 1979; isolecótipos, BR, C, G, K. LE, S; foto-B em NY; fotos-P em NY).”

Accepted name: *Tapanhuacanga phyllocephala* (K.Schum.) P.L.R.Moraes, Feddes Repert. 130: 51. 2018.

Type: BRAZIL. [Distrito Federal]: “in civitate Goyaz inter Paranana et Rio Torto” [Goiás, between Rio Paranoá and Rio Torto; this region is now part of the Federal District], 12 Feb. 1895, A.F.M. *Glaziou 21504* (holotype B†; P [barcode P02285151], lectotype designated by Kirkbride (1979: 18); isolecototypes, BR [barcode 000000532833], C [barcode C10018338], G [2 sheets, barcode G0063403], K [barcode K000470419], LE n.v., P [barcode P02285152] S [Acc. No. S05-1675]; photo-B and photos-P in NY).

Notes: There are two specimens of A.F.M. *Glaziou 21504* in P. Specimen with barcode P02285151 was annotated as lectotype by Kirkbride in 1977. Specimen with barcode P02285152 was not annotated by Kirkbride and is an isolecototype.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 989:

“45-3. *Psyllocarpus schwackei* K. Schum., Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 25(3), suppl. (60): 18. 1898. Tipo: Brasil, Minas Gerais, Serra do Cipó, ca. 1125 m, 18/II/1972 (fl, fr), W.R. Anderson, M. Stieber & J.H. Kirkbride 36254 (neótipo, US, escolhido por Kirkbride, 1979; isoneótipos, NY, UB; fotos-US em NY).”

Accepted name: *Tapanhuacanga schwackei* (K.Schum.) P.L.R.Moraes, Feddes Repert. 130: 52. 2018.

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais: Serra do Cipó, ca. 1125 m, 18 Feb. 1972 (fl, fr), W.R. Anderson, M. Stieber & J.H. Kirkbride Jr. 36254 (US [barcode 00130114], neotype designated by Kirkbride (1979: 19); isoneotypes, MO [Acc. No. 2817633], NY [barcode 00133030], R [barcode R000141010], UB [barcode UB0040392]; photos-US in NY).

46. **RANDIA** L., Sp. Pl. 1192. 1753.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 994:

“46-1. *Randia calycina* Cham., Linnaea 9: 246. 1834; emend. H. Karst., Fl. Columb. 2: 127, tab. 167, fig. 11. 1869. - *Basanacantha calycina* (Cham.) K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 375. 1889. - Tipo: Brasil, Bahia, Nazaré, s.d., *Sellow 163* (holótipo, B, destruído, foto em NY).”

Accepted name: *Randia calycina* Cham.

Type: BRAZIL. Bahia: prope Nazaré, s.d., *F. Sellow 163* (K [barcode K000265550], lectotype designated by Judkevich et al. (2015: 609); isolecototypes S [4 sheets, Acc. Nos. S08-13880, S08-13881, S08-13882, S08-13883]).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 999:

“46-2. *Randia nitida* (Kunth) DC., Prodr. 4: 437. 1830. - *Mussaenda nitida* Kunth in Humb. & Bonpl., Nov. Gen. Sp. 3: 410. 1818. - *Basanacantha spinosa* var. *nitida* (Kunth) K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 377. 1889.

- Tipo: Colombia, Turbaco, s.d., *Humboldt & Bonpland s.n.* (holótipo, P-Bonpl.).”

Accepted name: *Randia nitida* (Kunth) DC.

Type: COLOMBIA. Bolívar: Turbaco, “Crescit prope Turbaco Novo-Granatensium, alt. 180 hex. [324 m]”, s.d., A.J.A. *Bonpland 1460* (P-Bonpl. [barcode P00671154], lectotype designated by Salas (2021: 587–588); isolectotypes P [barcodes P00836440, P00048237, P00048238]).

47. **RETINIPHYLLUM** Bonpl. in Humb. & Bonpl., Pl. Aequin. 1: 86, pl. 25. “1805” [1808].

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 1008:

“47-1. *Retiniphyllum kuhlmannii* Standl., Publ. Field Columbian Mus., Bot. Ser. 8: 356. 1931. Tipo: Brasil, Mato Grosso, Rio Verde, Chapadão, IV/1918 (fl), *Kuhlmann 2343-K* (holótipo, B, destruído; isótipos F, R).”

Accepted name: *Retiniphyllum kuhlmannii* Standl.

Type. BRAZIL. Mato Grosso: Rio Verde, Chapadão, Apr. 1918 (fl), *J.G. Kuhlmann 2343-K* (F [Acc. No. 657017, barcode F0070821F], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotype, R [Acc. No. 53526, barcode R00005326]; isolectotype fragment, F [ex B, Acc. No. 638780, barcode V007082F]; photo-B at F [F0BN049937]).

48. **RICHARDIA** L., Sp. Pl. 330. 1753.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 1014:

“48-1. *Richardia brasiliensis* Gomes, Mem. Ipecacuanha Bras. 31, pl. 2. 1801. - *Richardsonia brasiliensis* (Gomes) Hayne, Getrene Darst. Arzn. Gewachse 8: pl. 21. 1822. - Tipo: Brasil, sem localidade, s.d., *Gomes s.n.* (holótipo LISU).”

Accepted name: *Richardia brasiliensis* Gomes

Type: [icon.] Gomes, Mem. Ipecacuanha Bras. pl. 2. 1801, **lectotype here designated**.

Epitype here designated: BRAZIL. Goiás: Mun. Goiânia, Conjunto Vila Itatiaia, Av. Esperança, em solo perturbado, na beira da estrada na frente do Restaurante Fogão Caipira, 16°36'7"S, 49°15'27"W, 710 m, 22 Dec. 2004 (fl, fr), *P.G. Delprete 9180* (RB [Acc. No. 484673, barcode 00569068]; isoepitypes, CAY [barcode CAY216477], HTO [Acc. No. 9976],

NY [barcode 01182918], SPF [barcode SPF00189617], UB [barcode UB1237463], UFG [Acc. No. 40726]).

Notes: Bernardino Antonio Gomes (1801: 31) below the name *Richardia brasiliensis* Gomes cited the vernacular names and references as “*Poaia do campo* in Civitate Riojanaeria. *Ipecacoanha branca?* Pis. Bras.” The plant is depicted in Plate 2 of that publication. Lewis and Oliver (1974) cited the type of *R. brasiliensis* as “Type: Brazil: Gomes s.n. (LISU).” After exhaustive searches in LISU by Ana Isabel Correia (LISU Herbarium Curator), it was concluded that the specimen of *R. brasiliensis* cited as type by Lewis and Oliver (1974) is either lost or destroyed. According to the *Code*, original material is constituted by specimens and original illustrations associated with a given name. On plate 2 of Gomes’s *Memoria* is depicted a plant with several terminal inflorescences, and nodes with the fimbriate stipules typical of *Richardia*. On the plate are also present details of flowers in anthesis, a style with three branches, a fruit, a 6-lobed calyx, mericarps in adaxial and abaxial view, and a minute seed. As no original specimen could be found, Plate 2 of Gomes’s *Memoria* is here designated the lectotype of *R. brasiliensis*. According to Lewis & Oliver (1974) and Delprete (2010b), the most diagnostic character in the differentiation of species of *Richardia* is the morphology of the adaxial side of the mericarps. The mericarps of *R. brasiliensis* have a medial keel on the adaxial side, whereas those of *R. scabra* L. and *R. grandiflora* (Cham. & Schltdl.) Steud. have a longitudinal groove on the adaxial side. Although on Gomes’ Plate 2 mericarps are depicted, because the minute seeds are drawn to real size, it is impossible to see if on the adaxial side, there is a longitudinal keel or a longitudinal groove. Therefore, to definitively fix the application of the name, an epitype needs to be designated. The specimen *Delprete 9180* at RB is here designated the epitype of *R. brasiliensis*, and the duplicates of the same gathering present in other herbaria are isoepitypes.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 1019:

“48-2. *Richardia grandiflora* (Cham. & Schltdl.) Steud., Nom. Bot., ed. 2: 459. 1841. - *Richardsonia grandiflora* Cham. & Schltdl., Linnaea 3: 353. 1828. - Tipo: Brasil, sem localidade, s.d., *Sellow s.n.* (lectótipo, K, escolhido por Lewis & Oliver, 1974; foto em NY).”

Accepted name: *Richardia grandiflora* (Cham. & Schltdl.) Steud.

Type: SOUTHERN BRAZIL: “In campis Brasiliae meridionalis”, s.d., *F. Sellow s.n.* [3144, in B photo-

graph] (HAL [barcode HAL0098298], **lectotype here designated**).

Notes: In the protologue of *Richardsonia grandiflora* Cham. & Schldtl., Chamisso and Schlechtendal (1828b: 351) cited the material studied as “In campis Brasiliae meridionalis pluribus locis variisque temporibus legit Sellow.” The original specimen at B, was destroyed during WWII. In its photograph, F0BN000848, it is possible to see that it belongs to the gathering *Sellow 3144*. Lewis and Oliver (1974: 283) cited the type of *R. grandiflora* as “Lectotype: Brazil: Sellow s.n. (K! as photo NY).”

On the K sheet cited as lectotype of *Richardsonia grandiflora* by Lewis and Oliver are present two different gatherings. The outer specimen, with barcode K000016466, has a label with the annotation “Richardsonia grandiflora N., Brasilia” handwritten by Schlechtendal. By the side of the outer specimen is handwritten “Chamisso”, meaning that this specimen was collected by Chamisso. Therefore, although specimen K000016466 was annotated as “Richardsonia grandiflora N.” by Schlechtendal, it cannot be original material.

The inner specimen of the K sheet, with barcode K000016522, has a label with the heading “Herb. Reg. Berolinense”, with “Richardsonia grandiflora Cham. & Schldtl.” handwritten by an unknown author (not Schlechtendal), and “Brasilia. Sellow legit” printed at the bottom of the label. On the label of the specimen with barcode K000016522 there is also the stamp “Herbarium Hookerianum, 1867.” This specimen was collected by Sellow, but it does not have any proof that it was examined by Chamisso and Schlechtendal.

As a result of the above analysis, the specimens with barcodes K000016466 and K000016522, mounted on the same sheet, cannot be treated as original material; therefore, Lewis and Oliver’s lectotypification is here superseded.

At HAL there is a specimen with barcode HAL0098298, and the handwritten annotation “Richardsonia grandiflora, Sellow. Brasilia meridionalis” and the stamp “scripsit: D.F.L. v. Schlechtendal”. This specimen is original material and consists of a plant with a perennial taproot and several branches with flowers and fruits. Although on this specimen Sellow’s collection number is not present, and was not cited in Chamisso and Schlechtendal’s publication, it is here designated the lectotype of *Richardsonia grandiflora*.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 1025:

“48-3. *Richardia scabra* L., Sp. Pl. 330. 1753. - *Richardsonia scabra* (L.) A. St. Hil., Pl. Us. Bras. 8: 1, tab. 8. 1824,

pro parte; Mart., Sp. Mat. Med. Bras. 1: 10. 1824, *pro parte* (ambas descrições incluem *Richardia brasiliensis*). - Tipo: Mexico, Vera Cruz, sem localidade, s.d., *Coletor Desconhecido s.n.* (holótipo, LINN 451.1).”

Accepted name: *Richardia scabra* L.

Notes: No correction necessary.

49. **ROSENBERGIODENDRON** Fagerl., Svensk. Bot. Tidskr. 42: 150. 1948.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 1032:

“49-1. *Rosenbergiodendron longiflorum* (Ruiz. & Pav.) Fagerl., Svensk. Bot. Tidskr. 42: 148. 1948. - *Gardenia longiflora* Ruiz & Pav., Fl. Peruv. Chil. 2: 67, Icon. 219. 1799. - *Randia ruiziana* DC., Prodr. 4: 388. 1830 (baseado em *Gardenia longiflora* Ruiz & Pav.) - *Randia formosa* var. *longiflora* (Ruiz. Pav.) K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 343. 1889. - Tipo: Peru. Huánuco: Pozuzu, s.d., *Tafalla & Manzanilla [in Ruiz & Pavón] s.n.* (lectótipo, MA, escolhido por Gustafsson, 1998; isolectótipos, F, MA).”

Accepted name: *Rosenbergiodendron longiflorum* (Ruiz. & Pav.) Fagerl.

Type: PERU. Huánuco: [“Habitat in Andium nemoribus imis calidis ad Pozuzo”], s.d. [1793–1797], *H. Ruiz López & J.A. Pavón y Jimenez s.n.* (MA [barcode MA 815987], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotypes F [Acc. No. 844706], G [barcode G00436642], HAL [2 sheets, barcodes HAL01141104, HAL01141105], MA [barcodes MA 815982, MA 818834], MA carpological collection [accession No. MA-01-00781219], MPU [barcode MPU021522]).

Notes: Ruiz and Pavón (1799: 67) cited the original material of *Gardenia longiflora* Ruiz & Pav. as “Habitat in Andium nemoribus imis calidis ad Pozuzo” without citing the herbarium of deposit. They collected in the Spanish colonial territories of the Viceroyalty of Peru and Chile, during 1777–1788. Gustafsson (1998: 459) cited the type of *G. longiflora* as “Type. Peru. Hminucu: Pozuzu, *Ruiz & Pavón s.n.* (lectotype, here designated, MA; isolectotypes, F, MA; photo at F as neg. 311, with prints at E, GH, and NY).” He also stated “original lectotype, designated by Steyermark, 1972, B, destroyed”. However, Steyermark (1972) only indicated that he saw a photo of the B specimen, which was destroyed during WWII, and did not designate that specimen as type. Gustafsson (1998: 459) cited a lectotype and an isolec-

totype in MA, but he did not specify which MA specimen is the lectotype, therefore, according to current standards, that lectotypification is not valid, and type annotations on specimens are not publications. In MA there are two drawings by Isidro Gálvez and José Gabriel Rivera, and several specimens of *Gardenia longiflora* collected by Ruiz and Pavón, which are below described and discussed.

The original plate drawn by Isidro Gálvez is fully colored and is affixed on a sheet with the printed label "REAL JARDÍN BOTÁNICO, CSIC ARCHIVO, Real Expedición Botánica al Virreinato del Perú, AJBD04-D-0442_001." At the top of the drawing is the number "219" and at the bottom of the drawing "Gardenia longiflora" in the center, and the number "18" on the left corner. In the drawing is depicted a branch with numerous leaves, three flowers in anthesis, a flower bud, and two mature fruits. On the left side of the drawing, there is a fruit transversally dissected and a seed.

The original plate drawn by José Gabriel Rivera is partially colored and affixed on a sheet with the printed label "REAL JARDÍN BOTÁNICO, CSIC ARCHIVO, Real Expedición Botánica al Virreinato del Perú, AJBD04-D-1900_001." At the bottom of the drawing is written "602. Gardenia." In the drawing is depicted a branch with numerous leaves, one flower bud, and a flower in anthesis. On the lower portion of the drawing are depicted a flower in anthesis, a hypanthium with a receptive style, and a corolla longitudinally dissected.

The MA specimen with barcode MA 815981 has a label with the annotation "Gardenia longiflora Sp. Peruv. Fl. Per." handwritten by Pavón. Below that label is affixed another label with the annotation "Pentandria monogynia, Mussaenda formosa Jacq.?, Vulgo Bisama de Castilla, F. H. D. 151. L. 602. Año oct. 800" handwritten by an unknown author. Ruiz and Pavón collected in the Spanish colonial territories of the Viceroyalty of Peru and Chile during 1777–1788, and published *Gardenia longiflora* in 1799. Hence this specimen is not original material. It consists of several branches with numerous leaves, several flower buds, and one flower in anthesis. It was annotated as isolectotype by Gustafsson but is not original material.

The MA specimen with barcode MA 815982 has a label with the heading "Herbarium Peruvianum Ruiz et Pavon, and the annotation "Randia formosa (Jacq.) K. Sch. var. longiflora (R. et Pav.) K. Sch. N° 7/12 det. K. Krause, I.1931." A second label has the annotation "Gardenia longiflora Sp. Pl. Fl. Per." handwritten by Pavón. This specimen consists of three branches with numerous leaves, several flower buds, flowers in anthesis, and a portion of a fruit. This sheet does not have any information regarding the collection locality and was annotated

as lectotype by Gustafsson. Because Gustafsson in his publication did not specify which MA specimen is the lectotype, and because annotations on specimens are not publications, Gustafsson's lectotype citation is not valid. This specimen is an isolectotype.

The MA specimen with barcode MA 815985 has several labels. On the lower right corner is a label with the heading "Herbarium Horti Botanici Matritensis, Plantae a «Ruiz et Pavón» in vice-regno Peruviano et Chilensis lectae. (1778-1788)", the stamp "Ex antiquo herbario generali", and the typewritten annotation "Randia formosa (Jacq.) Sch. v. longiflora (R & P) Sch." On the lower left corner is affixed a label with the annotation "Randia Ruiziana DC, Gardenia longiflora Fl. Peruv." handwritten by an unknown author. Above that label is affixed another label with the annotation "Gardenia longiflora Fl. Peruv. 28a 219 f^a a, Ex Herbario Fl. Peruv. anno 1828" handwritten by the same unknown author. Because this specimen does not have any proof that was seen by the authors, it cannot be treated as original material.

The MA specimen with barcode MA 815987 has a label with the heading "Herbarium Peruvianum Ruiz et Pavon, and the annotation "Randia formosa (Jacq.) K. Sch. var. longiflora (R. et Pav.) K. Sch. N° 7/10 det. K. Krause, I.1931." A second label has the annotation "Gardenia longiflora Sp. Pl. Fl. Per. c. icone" handwritten by Pavón. This specimen consists of two branches with numerous leaves, several flower buds, and flowers in anthesis. This sheet does not have any information regarding the collection locality. Also, because this specimen has a label annotated by Pavón, is original material, and is here designated as the lectotype of *Gardenia longiflora*.

The MA specimen with barcode MA 818834 has a label with the heading "Herbarium Horti Botanici Matritensis, Plantae a «Ruiz et Pavón» in vice-regno Peruviano et Chilensis lectae. (1778-1788)", the stamp "Ex antiquo herbario generali", and the typewritten annotation "Randia formosa (Jacq.) Sch. v. longiflora (R & P) Sch." Above that label is affixed another label with the annotation "Gardenia longiflora Sp. Pl. Fl. Per." handwritten by Pavón. This specimen consists of three branches with numerous leaves and several flower buds. This sheet does not have any information regarding the collection locality. Because this specimen has a label annotated by Pavón, is original material and is an isolectotype.

In the carpological collection at MA there is a specimen with accession No. MA-01-00781219, which has a label with the recently printed annotation "Hortus Regius Matritensis (MA), Plantae a"Ruiz et Pavón" in vice-regno Peruviano et Chilensis lectae. (1778-1816), Rubi-

aceae, *Gardenia longiflora*, Perú. Pozuzo, 1785. Etiqueta: “*Gardenia longiflora* / Pozuzo 1785; Ilego sin sobre” Col. Carpológica Ruiz & Pav. n° 100274 (MA 781219).” On the sheet is affixed an envelope with the annotation “*Gardenia longiflora*, Pozuzo 1785” handwritten by Pavón. The envelope contains twelve cylindrical fruits, with the characteristic features of this species. Because the annotation is by Pavón, this specimen is original material and is an isoelectotype.

Other specimens of *Gardenia longiflora* are at BC, F (2 sheets), G, HAL, and MPU. Those specimens were either sent to those herbaria as exchange material or sold by Pavón and are discussed below.

The F Sheet with Accession No. 844706 has three labels. One label has the heading “Herbarium Horti Botanici Matritensis, Plantae a «Ruiz et Pavón» in vice-regno Peruviano et Chilensi lectae. (1778-1788)”, the stamps “Ex antiquo herbario generali” and “EX DUPLA”, and the typewritten annotation “*Randia formosa* (Jacq.) Sch. v. *longiflora* (R & P) Sch., Guayaquil.” By the side of the label is the annotation “(a Tafalla coll.)” handwritten in pencil by an unknown author. On the lower left corner is affixed a label with the annotation “*Gardenia longiflora* Fl. Per.” handwritten by Pavón. A second label is the annotation as lectotype by C. Gustafsson of 1998. The specimen consists of two branches with numerous leaves and three flower buds. The annotations “Guayaquil” and “(a Tafalla coll.)” are probably erroneous. This specimen is original material and is an isoelectotype.

The F Sheet with Accession No. 712545 has two labels. One label has the heading “Herbarium Horti Botanici Matritensis, Plantae a «Ruiz et Pavón» in vice-regno Peruviano et Chilensi lectae. (1778-1788)”, and the annotation “*Randia formosa* (Jacq.) K. Sch. var. *longiflora* (R. et P.) K. Sch., [NUM.] 711” handwritten by an unknown author. The specimen consists of two branches, one sterile with several leaves, and the other with two flower buds and a few leaves. There is no evidence on the sheet that it was seen by the authors and cannot be treated as original material.

At MPU there is a sheet with barcode MPU021522, with several labels. On the lower left corner of the sheet is affixed a label with the annotation “*Gardenia longiflora*. Pérou, dedit Pavón.” Just above is another label with “*Gardenia longiflora*” handwritten in ink by an unknown author, and “*Randia Ruiziana* DC” handwritten in pencil by another unknown author. The name *Randia Ruiziana* DC. was published by Candolle (1830: 388) citing the collection locality indicated in Ruiz and Pavón’s (1799: 67) protologue. The specimen consists of a small branch with several leaves and a flower in anthesis, and four loose flowers in different develop-

mental stages. Because the sheet has a label annotated as “*Gardenia longiflora*” by Pavón, this specimen is original material, and is an isoelectotype.

In G there is a sheet with barcode G00436642 and the label “*Gardenia longiflora*, Perou. – M. Pavon.” The specimen consists of a branch with several leaves and a flower with corolla lobes wrinkled up, probably post-anthesis. This specimen is original material and is an isoelectotype.

At HAL there are two sheets associated with this name. Specimen with barcode HAL01141104 has a label with the heading “Herb. Reg. Berolinense” and the printed annotations “Peruvia et Chili” and “Ruiz legit ex herbario Lamberti.” On that label is annotated “*Gardenia longiflora*” handwritten in ink by an unknown author. The specimens consist of a ramified branch with numerous leaves and a flower bud. The other HAL specimen with barcode HAL01141105 has a label identical to that of the other HAL specimen. Those specimens represent original material and are isoelectotypes.

On the sheet at BC with barcode “Ruiz & Pav. BC-872647” associated with this name are affixed three relevant labels. On the lower right corner is affixed a label with the annotation “*Gardenia longiflora*, Flora Peruana. Habitat in Andium nemoribus imis calidis ad Pozuzo. [...]” handwritten by an unknown author. On the upper right corner is glued a label with the printed text “Herbari Ruiz & Pavón, cedit par la Real Acadèmia de Ciències i Arts de Barcelona al Departament de Botànica a proposta del Dr. Pius Font i Quer l’any 1925. N. intern: 149 [number handwritten].” On the center of the sheet is affixed a label with the annotation “*Randia formosa* (Jacq.) K. Sch. var. *longiflora* (R. et Pav.) K. Schum.” handwritten by an unknown author (the name of the author is covered by one leaf of the specimen). This specimen does not display any proof that it was seen by the authors and is not original material.

50. **RUDGEA** Salisb., Trans. Linn. Soc. London. 327. 1807.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 1041:

“50-1. ***Rudgea burchelliana*** Muell. Arg., Flora 59: 453, 462. 1876. Tipo: Brasil, Goiás, “inter Rio Paranaíba et Urbem Goyaz” [entre o Rio Paranaíba e a cidade de Goiás], s.d. [1827], *Burchell* 6372 (holótipo, G).”

Accepted name: *Rudgea burchelliana* Müll. Arg.

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: “inter Rio Paranaíba et Urbem Goyaz” [between Rio Paranaíba and the

town of Goiás], s.d. [1827], *W.J. Burchell* 6372 (BR [barcode 000000532776], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotypes G [barcode G00436613], K [1 sheet with barcodes K000843174 and K000843175]).

Notes: Müller Argoviensis (1876b: 462) described the material examined of *Rudgea burchelliana* Müll. Arg. as “Inter Rio Paranyha et Urbem Goyaz: Burchell n. 6372” and did not cite the herbarium of deposit. In BR there is a sheet with barcode 000000532776. On the sheet are affixed two branches. Next to each of them there is a label with the printed text “Burchell. Catalogus Geographicus Plantarum Brasiliae Tropicae. No” and the handwritten number “6372”. At the bottom right corner of the sheet is affixed a label with the heading “Herbarium Martii”, the stamp “Herb. Hort. Bruxell. Coll. Martii”, and the handwritten annotation “Brasilia: inter Riv. Paranyha et urbem Goyaz. Communic. H. Kewense 1868, Burchell n° 6372.” Just above that label, there is a label with the annotation “*Rudgea Burchelliana* Müll. Arg.” handwritten by Müller Argoviensis, and the stamp “Mueller d’Argovie determ.” Because this is a complete specimen annotated as *Rudgea burchelliana* by Müller Argoviensis, it is here designated as the lectotype of this name.

At K there is a sheet with two branches and the two barcodes K000843174 and K000843175. Each branch has a label with the printed text “Burchell. Catalogus Geographicus Plantarum Brasiliae Tropicae. No” and the handwritten number “6372”. Both branches have numerous leaves and terminal inflorescences with several flowers in anthesis. On the sheet, it is handwritten in black ink by an unknown author (not Müller Argoviensis) “*Rudgea Burchelliana*, Müll. Arg., Flora ’76 p. 462.”

At G there is a sheet with barcode G00436613 on which is affixed an envelope with the annotation “*Rudgea Burchelliana* Müller Arg., Inter Riv. Paranyha et urbem Goyaz: Burchell n. 6372” handwritten by Müller Argoviensis. In the envelope are preserved three loose leaves and a few flowers in anthesis. This specimen is an isolectotype.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 1044:

“50-2. *Rudgea cornifolia* (Kunth) Standl., Publ. Field Columbian Mus., Bot. Ser. 7: 432. 1931. - *Psychotria cornifolia* Kunth in Humb. & Bonpl., Nov. Gen. Sp. Pl. 3: 362 (quarto). XI/1819; non *Psychotria cornifolia* Humb. & Bonpl. ex Roem. & Schult., Syst. Veg. 5: 191. XII/1819 (homônimo posterior). Tipo: Venezuela, Amazonas, Rio Orinoco, Maipures, s.d., *Humboldt & Bonpland* 893 (holótipo, P-Bonpl.)”

Accepted name: *Rudgea cornifolia* (Kunth) Standl.

Type: VENEZUELA. Amazonas: Río Orinoco, Maipures, s.d. [Apr–May 1800], *J.A. Bonpland & F.W.H.A. Humboldt* 893 (holotype, P-Bonpl. [barcode P00671104]; isotype B-W [barcode B -W 04089 -01 0]; photo-B at F [F0BN000551]).

Notes: Kunth in Humb. & Bonpl. (Nov. Gen. Sp. Pl. 3: 362 (quarto ed.). Nov. 1819) cited the collection locality of *Psychotria cornifolia* Kunth as “*Crescit in Maypure Orinocensium. Fructificat Majo.*” The specimen studied by Kunth is at P-Bonpl. and is the holotype. The duplicate at B-W is an isotype.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 1048:

“50-3. *Rudgea erioloba* Benth., Linnaea 23: 459. 1850. Síntipos: Brasil, Goiás, *Gardner* 3218 (G, BM, K, NY [2]; foto-G em NY); *Gardner* 3221 (BM, K); *Pohl s.n.* (BM, K; foto-K em NY).”

Accepted name: *Rudgea erioloba* Benth.

Type: BRAZIL. Tocantins: “Prov. Goyaz, Woods Ripam ad Duro” [then São José do Duro, now Dianópolis; ca. 11°37’S, 46°49’W], [Sep.–Oct.] 1839, *G. Gardner* 3218 (K [barcode K000005188], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotypes, F [ex G, Acc. No. 767775], G [3 sheets, barcodes G00436614, G00436615, G00436616], BM [barcode BM000832006], K [barcode K000005189], NY [2 sheets, barcodes 00133212, 00133213], P [2 sheets, barcodes P04008044, P04008045]; photo-G in NY).

Notes: In the protologue of *Rudgea erioloba* Benth., Bentham (1850: 459–460) cited three gatherings as “Prov. Goyaz, *Gardner* n. 3218, 3221. *Pohl*” and did not cite the herbarium of deposit. He routinely studied the specimens at K and BM. Of the three gatherings cited by Bentham, *Gardner* 3218 is the one that has duplicates distributed in more herbaria. Two specimens in K and one in BM of *Gardner* 3218 deserve special attention and are below discussed.

On one K sheet, there are two different gatherings. On the upper portion of the sheet is affixed a specimen, with barcode K000005188, and next to it there is a label with the printed text “Prov. Goyaz, Brasilia tropica, *Gardner*, 1841” and the handwritten annotation “3218 Coffea”. Near that specimen there is also the stamp “Herbarium Benthamianum 1854”. This specimen was collected by *Gardner* in 1839, as reported in the labels

of the BM and K sheets. The specimen with barcode K000005188 is a ramified branch with numerous leaves and several inflorescences with flowers in anthesis and flower buds, and is here designated as the lectotype of *Rudgea erioloba*.

On the second sheet at K, barcode K000005189, is affixed a label with the annotation “3218. Coffea. Rubiaceae. A shrub 8 feet high – fl. white odoriferous, Mission of Duro. Oct. 1839.” The sheet has the stamp “Herbarium Hookerianum 1867.” This specimen is a ramified branch with numerous leaves and several inflorescences with flowers in anthesis and is an isoelectotype.

The sheet at BM, barcode BM000832006, has the annotation “3218. Woods Ripam ad Duro, Provinciae Goyaz, Set. 1839. A small tree” handwritten by Gardner. At the bottom of the sheet is the penciled annotation “*Rudgea erioloba* Benth. in Linn. 23, p. 459.” The specimen is a ramified branch with numerous leaves and several inflorescences with flowers in anthesis. This specimen is an isoelectotype.

The town of Duro, cited as the collection locality of *Gardner 3218*, has an interesting history. Initially it was an indigenous village of the Acroás ethnicity, in the state of Goiás. Then, when the Portuguese started to extract gold from the locality, it was renamed São José do Duro. The name “Duro” is a simplification of “D’ouro”, meaning “of gold”. In 1938, the town was renamed Dianópolis. In 1988, the state of Goiás was divided into two states, and the northern portion became the state of Tocantins. Hence, the town originally called “Duro” is now called Dianópolis and is in the state of Tocantins. The botanist Johan Emanuel Pohl also collected in Duro in 1818. An interesting account of an expedition to São José do Duro made in 1918 was recently re-printed by Coelho (2008).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 1048:

Synonym:

“*Rudgea jacobinensis* Muell. Arg., *Flora* 59: 453, 461. 1876, **syn. nov.** Síntipos: Bahia, Serra Jacobina, prope Moritiba, s.d. (fl), *Blanchet 3292* (B destruído, G-DC; foto-B em NY) e *3604* (G); Tamanduá, s.d. (fl), *Blanchet 3829* (B destruído, G; foto-B em NY).”

Type: BRAZIL. Bahia: Serra Jacobina, prope Moritiba, 1842, *J.S. Blanchet 3604* (G [barcode G00436698], **lectotype here designated**; isoelectotypes, BR [barcode 000000532698 (annotated by Müller Argoviensis)], F [3 sheets, Acc. Nos. 520968 (ex K), 767768 (ex G), 974370 (ex P)], G [barcode G00436697], K [barcode K000005146]), MO [Acc.

No. 1665887], P [3 sheets, barcodes P00582132, P00582133, P00582134], RB [ex P; Acc. No. 41969, barcode 00619165]; fragment F [ex G; Acc. No. 767023]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Rudgea jacobinensis* Müll.Arg., Müller Argoviensis (1876b: 453, 461–462) cited three gatherings as “Serra Jacobina in prov. Bahia: Blanchet n. 3992, 3604, ad Tamandua: Blanchet n. 3829” without citing an herbarium of deposit. The gathering that has duplicates distributed in more herbaria is *Blanchet 3604*. In the process of designating a lectotype, preference is usually given to the duplicates at G, where Müller Argoviensis worked. At G there are two specimens of *Blanchet 3604*. The specimen with barcode G00436697 is mounted on two sheets, and is annotated by Müller Argoviensis.

The second specimen of *Blanchet 3604* at G, with barcode G00436698, has two labels. One label has the handwritten annotation “3604 *Rudgea*, Jacobina, Muritiba, Bahia, Blanchet 1842” and the other has the annotation “*R. jacobinensis* Müll. Arg., scripsit Müll. Arg.!” The specimen consists of a ramified branch with numerous leaves and several inflorescences with flower buds and flowers in anthesis, and is here designated as the lectotype of *R. jacobinensis*.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 1056:

“50-4. *Rudgea erythrocarpa* Muell. Arg., *Flora* 59: 451, 461. 1876. Tipo: Brasil, Rio de Janeiro, Mandioca, 23/X/1850 (fl), *Riedel 347* (lectótipo, BR, designado por Zappi, 2003; isoelectótipos, F, G, K).”

Accepted name: *Rudgea erythrocarpa* Müll. Arg.

Type: BRAZIL. Rio de Janeiro: Mandioca, 23 Oct. 1850 (fl), *L. Riedel 347* (BR [barcode 000000532842], lectotype designated by Zappi (2003: 555); isoelectotypes, F [Acc. No. 617843], G [not traced], K [barcode K000090020], US [barcode 00406259]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Rudgea erythrocarpa* Müll.Arg., Müller Argoviensis (1876: 451, 461) cited two gatherings as “Porto Estrella: Riedel n. 346, Mandioca [sic, Mandioca]: Riedel n. 347.” Zappi (2003: 555) designated the lectotype for this name the specimen *Riedel 347* at BR.

Several specimens labeled “*Riedel 347*, Brasilia, Parahyba, June 1832” are original material of *Eugenia sericea* O. Berg. var. *robusta* O. Berg. (Myrtaceae) and are present in LE [2 sheets, barcodes LE00007500,

LE00007501], P [barcode P01902775], and S [Acc. No. S05-3033].

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 1059:

“50-5. *Rudgea goyazensis* Muell. Arg., Flora 59: 450, 461. 1876. - *Uragoga goyazensis* (Muell. Arg.) Kuntze, Revis. Gen. Pl. 2: 960. 1891. Tipo: Brasil, Goiás, Cavalcante, s.d. [1828], *Burchell 7487* (holótipo, G; isotipo, K; foto-K em NY).”

Accepted name: *Rudgea goyazensis* Müll.Arg.

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: “Inter Goyaz et Cavalcante” [between the towns of Goiás and Cavalcante], s.d. [1828], *W.J. Burchell 7487* (BR [barcode 000000561855], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotypes G [barcode G00436682], K [barcode K000275532]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Rudgea goyazensis* Müll. Arg., Müller Argoviensis (1876: 450, 461) cited a sole gathering as “In prov. Goyaz ad Cavalcante: Burchell n. 7487” without indicating the herbarium of deposit. Müller Argoviensis worked at G and studied material from several herbaria. Delprete (2010b: 1059) cited the G specimen as holotype. This citation cannot be corrected as an inadvertent lectotypification because it was published after 2001, it should have been accompanied by “here designated” or a similar expression.

Specimens of *Burchell 7487* annotated by Müller Argoviensis are present in G and BR. The G specimen, with barcode G00436682, consists of just a few fragments, a portion of a leaf, a depauperate inflorescence head, and a loose flower in anthesis, included in an envelope. On the envelope is drawn a flower bud, and the annotation “*Rudgea goyazensis* Müll. Arg., Inter Goyaz et Cavalcante: Burch. n. 7487” handwritten by Müller Argoviensis.

A specimen of *Burchell 7487* at BR, with barcode 000000561855, has two small branches, each of them with a leaf pair. One of them has a terminal vegetative bud and a well developed stipule. The other branch has a terminal inflorescence with several flower buds. The specimen has several labels. The label on the lower right corner has the heading “Herbarium Martii”, the stamp “Herb. Hort. Bruxell. Coll. Martii”, and the handwritten annotation (author unknown) “Brasilia: Inter urbem Goyaz et Cavalcante, Communic. H. Kewense 1867, Burchell n. 7487.” Above that label there is another label with the annotation “*Rudgea goyazensis* Müll. Arg.” and the stamp “Mueller d’Argovie determ.” That specimen is here designated the lectotype of *R. goyazensis*.

A specimen of *Burchell 7487* at K, barcode K000275532, consists of two branches, each of them with the label “Burchell. Catalogus Geographicus Plantarum Brasiliae Tropicae. No. 7487.” One branch has a leaf pair and a terminal vegetative bud with a well developed stipule. The other branch has a terminal inflorescence with numerous flowers in anthesis and several flower buds. At the bottom of the sheet there is the annotation “*Rudgea goyazensis* Müll. Arg. Type collection. P.C.S.” handwritten by Paul C. Standley. This specimen is an isolectotype of this name.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 1062:

“50-6. *Rudgea longiflora* Benth., Linnaea 23: 457. 1850. - *Mapouria aschersoniana* Kuntze, *nom. illeg.*, Revis. Gen. Pl. 2: 954. 1891. Tipo: Brasil, Tocantins [Prov. Goyaz”], s.d. [1839-1840], *Gardner 3215* (holótipo, K).”

Accepted name: *Rudgea longiflora* Benth.

Type: BRAZIL. Tocantins: [Prov. Goyaz”], “Mission of Doro” [Missão do Ouro, now Dianópolis], s.d. [Oct. 1839], *G. Gardner 3215* (K [barcode K000275527], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotype, K [barcode K000275526]).

Notes: Bentham (1850: 457), in the protologue of *Rudgea longiflora* Benth., cited the gathering “Prov. Goyaz, Gardner n. 3215” without citing the herbarium of deposit. Delprete (2010b: 1062) cited a specimen at K as holotype. However, there are two specimens of *Gardner 3215* at K. The specimen with barcode K000275526, has the stamp “Herbarium Hookerianum. 1867” and the handwritten annotation “3215. Rubiaceae. A shrub about 6 feet high. Shady road. Mission of Doro [town of Duro, now Dianópolis]. - Oct. 1839.” (For the history of the town of Duro, see discussion under *Rudgea erioloba*). Just below that label is handwritten, directly on the sheet, “Gardner, Goyaz.” The specimen consists of a branch with three leaves, nodes with fimbriate stipules, and a terminal inflorescence with several flowers in anthesis and flower buds at different stages of development.

The other K specimen, with barcode K000275527, has the stamp “Herbarium Benthamianum. 1854” and a label with the printed text “Prov. Goyaz, Brasilia tropica, Gardner, 1841” and handwritten “3215 Coffea?” This specimen consists of a branch with three leaves, nodes with fimbriate stipules, and a terminal inflorescence with numerous flower buds at different stages of development. This specimen is here designated the lectotype of *Rudgea longiflora*.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 1062:

Synonym:

Rudgea lacerostipula K.Schum. ex Glaziou, *nom. nud.*, Bull. Soc. Bot. France 56 (Mém. 3d): 357. 1909. Especimen citado: Brasil, Goiás, s.d. [1894-1895], *Glaziou 21486* (B, P, foto-B em NY)."

Original material cited: Type: BRAZIL. Tocantins: Rio Tocantins, au passage, s.d. [2 Jan. 1895], A.F.M. *Glaziou 21486* (B†; BR [barcode 00000579490], C [barcode C10018360], F [Acc. No. 974961], G [barcode G00436681], K [barcode K000275533], P [barcode P03985254]; fragment F [ex B (leaf portions & B photo, Acc. No. 606549); photo-B [F0BN000670]).

Notes: Glaziou (1909b: 357) published *Rudgea lacerostipula* K.Schum. ex Glaziou as "281. *R. lacerostipula* K. Sch. n. sp? in herb Paris. [P], Berol. [B], Kew. [K], Havn. [C], etc. – Rio Tocantins, au passage, Goyaz, n° 21486. Arbuste, fl. blanches. Janvier-février. C." Glaziou published this name describing it only as a shrub with white flowers, and therefore is a *nomen nudum*. Zappi annotated the original specimens of *R. lacerostipula* as *R. longiflora* Benth., a synonymy with which I concur.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 1068:

"50-7. *Rudgea myrsinifolia* Benth., Linnaea 23: 455. 1850. Tipo: Brasil, Minas Gerais, s.d., *Regnell I.274* (holótipo, K; isótipos, BR, US, S; foto-K em NY)."

Accepted name: *Rudgea myrsinifolia* Benth.

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais: Caldas, s.d., A.F. *Regnell Ser. I, No. 274* (BR [barcode 000000532703], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotypes, BR [barcode 000000532736], C n.v., G [barcode G00436687], S [Acc. No. S05-10793]).

Notes: Bentham (1850: 455) published *Rudgea myrsinifolia* Benth. (as "*myrsinaefolia*") and cited the sole gathering *Regnell Ser. I, n. 274* without citing the collection locality or the herbarium of deposit. Several specimens of *Regnell Ser. I, n. 274bis* have been annotated as types of *Rudgea myrsiniifolia* (BR [barcode 000000532665], K [barcode K000843176], M [barcode M-0242135], P [barcode P00582116], US [barcode 00624012]), but the collection cited by Bentham is *Regnell Ser. I, n. 274*; therefore *Regnell Ser. I, n. 274bis* is not original material.

Zappi (2003: 521) cited the type of *Rudgea myrsinifolia* as "Lectotype (designated here): *Regnell ser. I, n.*

264 (K!, BR!, C!, UPS! isolectotypes); [original syntypes: Brazil, Minas Gerais, *Regnell ser. I, n. 274, 274bis* (BR!, C!, K!, S, UPS!)]". It is unknown why Zappi cited *Regnell ser. I, n. 264* at K as lectotype, and the duplicates at BR, C, UPS as isolectotypes, because Bentham clearly cited only *Regnell ser. I, 274* in the original publication. After a detailed search, I was unable to find the specimen *Regnell ser. I, 264* at K. Two specimens of *Regnell ser. II, 264* in S (Acc. Nos. S07-7724, S07-7728), collected in Caldas, Minas Gerais, are original material of *Eulophia arundinae* Rchb. f. [= *Cyanaeorchis arundinae* (Rchb. f.) Barb. Rodr., Orchidaceae].

Zappi (2003: 521) cited *Regnell Ser. I, 274* and *274bis* as "original syntypes." This citation cannot be interpreted as an inadvertent lectotypification or a neotypification. Because Zappi's lectotype citation is incorrect, it is here superseded. A lectotype needs be designated for this name by choosing among the duplicates of *Regnell Ser. I, No. 274* that are present in several herbaria, which are discussed below.

A specimen at BR, with barcode 000000532736, consists of two branches, one with infructescences with mature fruits, and the other with inflorescences with flower buds and flowers in anthesis. On the lower left corner is a label with the handwritten annotation "I. 274. Ad Caldas in Minas Gerais Brasilia legit A.F. Regnell" and the stamp "Herb. Hort. Bruxell. – Coll. Martii."

Another specimen at BR, with barcode 000000532703, consists of one branch with an inflorescence from which the corollas had fallen off. On the lower left corner is a label with the printed text "Brasiliae, prov. Minarum ad Caldas. Communic. Andr. Frid. Regnell 1867 sub N°." and handwritten "I. 274." That label also has the stamp "Herb. Hort. Bruxell. – Coll. Martii." On the lower right corner of the sheet there is a handwritten label (author unknown) with the annotation "I.274 Ex speciminibus C. Bentham communic." The last sentence means that this specimen was sent by Bentham and represents original material. This specimen is here designated the lectotype of *Rudgea myrsinifolia*.

A specimen at G, with barcode G00436687, has on the lower portion of the sheet are two small branches, one with infructescences with mature fruits, and the other with inflorescences with flower buds and flowers in anthesis. These two branches each have the handwritten label "*Rudgea myrsinaefolia* Benth., Caldas: *Regnell I.274*" and are original material of *Rudgea myrsinifolia*. On the upper portion of the sheet is an envelope containing one leaf, three loose fruits, and several loose flowers in anthesis. On the envelope it is handwritten (probably by Müller Argoviensis) "*Rudgea myrsiniifolia* Benth. Caldas: *Regnell*." As the material in the envelope

does not have the indication of Regnell's collection number, it cannot be treated as original material.

On a sheet in S, with Acc. No. S05-10793, are two different gatherings belonging to two different species. On the upper left side is a branch with an inflorescence without corollas, with Acc. No. S05-10793. By the side of this specimen is a handwritten label saying "Provincia Minas Gerais, 1 ser. No. 274. Faramea. Brasilien. Dr. Regnell." On the upper right corner is a label with the annotation "274. Exemplum typi. *Rudgea myrsinifolia* Benth. Det. C.S.B. Bremekamp, Utrecht 22 III 1937." Near the right margin, at the lower-middle portion is an inflorescence with flowers in anthesis, with "ad 274" written in pencil. That inflorescence is part of the specimen with Acc. No. S05-10793, is original material and is an isoelectotype.

Zappi (2003: 521–527) treated *Rudgea myrsinifolia* Benth. as a synonym of *Rudgea jasminoides* (Cham.) Müll. Arg., explaining that "Müller-Argoviensis (1881) differentiated several entities (for example *R. major*, *R. myrsinifolia*, *R. clavipes*, *R. gaudichaudii*, *R. paniculata* and *R. langsdorfii*) at specific level based on characters that vary depending on several aspects of the collections upon which the names are based. The flowering stage of the specimens examined, i.e., specimens with young inflorescences and closed flower buds will present different ratios between corolla-tube and corolla-lobes to older inflorescences where corollas are open. Overall corolla length might have been affected by the same factors of maturity and also by heterostyly, which is present in all species observed so far. The number of pairs of secondary veins between 5–9 and 10 and above was considered different but there is considerable overlap between these categories once the sample studied becomes larger. The presence or absence of cornicula was used to differentiate between taxa, but there are intermediate stages and in the same population, making such categories not very clear-cut." Under *R. jasminoides*, Zappi recognized four subspecies, subsp. *jasminoides*, subsp. *corniculata* (Benth.) Zappi, subsp. *micrantha* Zappi, and subsp. *nervosa* Zappi & Anunciação. These four subspecies have distinct distributions, although overlapping in several instances." Delprete et al. (2005) and Delprete (2010b) preferred to maintain *R. myrsinifolia* and *R. jasminoides* as separate species, and distinguished *R. myrsinifolia* as having corollas 11–13 mm long, with tubes 6–7 mm long and lobes 5–7 mm long; whereas *R. jasminoides* has corollas 18–25 mm long, with tubes 8–11 mm long and lobes 8–11 mm long.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 1072:

"50-8. *Rudgea tomentosa* Rusby, Mem. Torrey Bot. Club.

3(3): 48. 1893. Tipo: Bolívia, Yungas, 1890 (fl), *M. Bang* 367 (holótipo, NY; isótipos, NY [2])."

Accepted name: *Rudgea tomentosa* Rusby

Type: BOLIVIA. Yungas: 1890, *M. Bang* 367 (NY [barcode 00133240], **lectotype here designated**; isoelectotypes, BM [barcode 000043421], E [barcode E00085649], F [Acc. No. 163427 (annotated as *Rudgea tomentosa* by Standley)], K [barcode K000275081], MO [Acc. No. 124061], NY [barcode 00133241], PH [2 sheets, barcodes 00021600 (not annotated by Rusby), 00021601 (annotated as *Rudgea tomentosa* by Rusby)], US [barcode 00129760]).

Notes: Rusby (1893: 48), in the protologue of *Rudgea tomentosa* Rusby, cited the gathering *Bang* 367, without citing the herbarium of deposit. Rusby (1893: 48) described *R. tomentosa* in the article "On the Collections of Mr. Miguel Bang in Bolivia" in issue number 3 of volume 3 of the *Memoirs of the Torrey Botanical Club*. According to the table of contents presented in the volume, issue N. 3 was published on 28 April 1893. In the introduction to the article, Rusby wrote that Miguel Bang's Bolivian collections were distributed to more than 20 individuals and institutions. Three specimens of *Bang* 367 are annotated as *R. tomentosa* by Rusby, two of them are at NY and one at PH, which are below described and discussed.

The NY specimen with barcode 00133240 bears a label with the heading "PLANTAE BOLIVIANAE. A Miguel Bang Lectae. Herbario Collegii Columbiae, a N.L. Britton et H.H. Rusby distributae" and the printed text "Yungas. 1890." On the label is handwritten "Rudgea Hostmanniana Benth." Rusby stroked through "Hostmanniana Benth." and substituted it with "tomentosa, Rusby sp. nov." in black ink. On the sheet there is the stamp "Columbia College – Herbarium – New York." The specimen consists of a ramified branch with numerous leaves and two inflorescences with flower buds and flowers in anthesis. This specimen is here designated the lectotype of *R. tomentosa*.

The NY specimen with barcode 00133241 bears a label with the same heading of the other *Bang* 367 specimen at NY and the printed annotation "Yungas. 1890." On the label is handwritten "Rudgea Hostmanniana Benth." Rusby stroked through "Hostmanniana Benth." and substituted it with "tomentosa, Rusby sp. nov." in pencil. On the sheet there is the stamp "College of Pharmacy Herbarium – Deposited 1948 in The New York Botanical Garden." As the other specimen at NY consists of a ramified branch with numerous leaves and two

inflorescences with flower buds and flowers in anthesis. This specimen is an isolectotype.

The PH specimen with barcode 00021601 has a label with the same heading of the NY specimens and the printed text “Yungas. 1890.” On the label is handwritten “*Rudgea Hostmanniana* Benth.” Rusby stroked through “*Hostmanniana* Benth.” and substituted it with “*tomentosa*, Rusby n. sp.” in black ink. The specimen consists of a branch with a few leaf pairs and one terminal inflorescence. This specimen is an isolectotype.

Zappi identified several type specimens of *Rudgea tomentosa* as *R. viburnoides* (Cham.) Benth., but these two taxa are two distinct species. Rusby (1893: 48) described *R. tomentosa* from material collected in Bolivia. He described the leaf abaxial surface as “light gray-green, finely tomentose”. However, on the abaxial side of leaves of the type specimens of *R. tomentosa*, the leaf lamina are either glabrous or sparsely, microscopically puberulous, and the veins are puberulous. Several gatherings collected in the states of Mato Grosso, Tocantins, Goiás and in the Federal District have been identified by Steyermark, Boom and Kirkbride as *R. tomentosa*, and the leaf vestiture corresponds to that of the type specimens. Such gatherings are similar to *R. viburnoides* in having leaf blades with lucid adaxial surface and paniculate inflorescences. *Rudgea tomentosa* can be differentiated from *R. viburnoides* in having leaf blades planar with abaxial side glabrous or puberulous; while in the latter the leaf blades are strongly bullate, with the abaxial side pubescent to tomentose. However, these vegetative differences might be the result of ecological adaptations. Further studies are necessary to confirm the separation of these two taxa. Meanwhile, I prefer to treat them as distinct species.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 1075:

“50-9. *Rudgea viburnoides* (Cham.) Benth., *Linnaea* 23: 458. 1850. - *Coffea viburnoides* Cham., *Linnaea* 9: 228. 1834. Tipo: Brasil, “*Brasilia inter tropicos*”, s.d., *Sellow s.n.* (holótipo, B, destruido; lectótipo, K, designado por Zappi, 2003).”

Accepted name: *Rudgea viburnoides* (Cham.) Benth.

Type: BRAZIL. Without locality, s.d., *F. Sellow s.n.* (K [(ex B) barcode K000275064], lectotype designated by Zappi (2003: 580)).

Notes: In the protologue of *Coffea viburnoides* Cham., Chamisso (1834: 228) cited the material studied as “*Brasilia inter tropicos*. *Sellow*.” Stafleu and

Cowan (1976: 482) wrote, “Chamisso’s own herbarium was also acquired by LE.” Therefore, original material of *C. viburnoides* might be present in LE. Zappi (2003: 580), regarding the type of *C. viburnoides*, stated “*Brasilia intertropica*, *Sello* (B†). Lectotype (designated here): Type: *Sello s.n.* (K!).” At K there is a sole specimen of *C. viburnoides*, with barcode K000275064, with the annotation “lectotype of *C. viburnoides* Cham.” handwritten by Zappi. The specimen label has the heading “Ex Museo botanico Berolinensi”, with the printed text “*Brasilia*, leg. *Sellow*”, the handwritten annotation “*Rudgea viburnoides* Bth.” and the stamps “determ. C. Schumann” and “15 nov 1907”. Also affixed to the sheet, there is a small label with the penciled annotation “Rel. Sell. [Reliquiae *Sellow*] 3.6.II.” and the stamp “15 nov 1907”. There is no evidence on the sheet that this specimen was studied by Chamisso. The specimen consists of a small branch with numerous leaves and a few infructescences. The rachis of the infructescences is strongly tomentose. The leaves are strongly bullate, with a lucid adaxial side, and the veins and lamina are strongly tomentose on the abaxial side.

51. **RUSTIA** Klotzsch in Hayne, *Getreue Darstell. Gew.* 14: tabs. 14, 15, 555. 1846.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 1090:

“51-1. *Rustia formosa* (Cham. & Schltdl. ex DC.) Klotzsch in Hayne, *Getr. Darstell. Gew.* 14: tab 15. 1846. - *Exostema formosum* Cham. & Schltdl. ex DC., *Prodr.* 4(4): 361. 1830. - *Exostema formosum* Cham. & Schltdl. var. β *laeve* Cham. & Schltdl. ex DC., *nom. inval.*, *Prodr.* 4(4): 361. 1830. - *Exostema formosum* Cham. & Schltdl., *nom. nud.*, *Linnaea* 4: 179. 1829. - *Exostema formosum* var. β *laeve* Cham. & Schltdl., *nom. inval.*, *Linnaea* 4: 179. 1829. - Tipo: Brasil, São Paulo, Estação Biológica Alto da Serra, 19/X/1931 (fl-fr), *C. Lemos* 28678 (Neótipo NY, selecionado por Delprete, 1999; isoneótipos F, GH, US).”

Accepted name: *Rustia formosa* (Cham. & Schltdl. ex DC.) Klotzsch

Correct bibliographic citation: *Rustia formosa* (Cham. & Schltdl. ex DC.) Klotzsch in Hayne, *Getr. Darstell. Gew.* 14: tab. 15. 1846. - *Exostema formosum* Cham. & Schltdl. ex DC., *Prodr.* 4(4): 361. 1830. - *Exostema formosum* Cham. & Schltdl., *nom. inval.*, *Linnaea* 4: 179. 1829.

Type: BRAZIL. Without locality, s.d., *Collector Unknown s.n.* (G-DC [barcode G00665732], **lectotype here designated**).

Synonym:

Exostema formosum var. *α leprosum* DC., Prodr. 4(4): 361. 1830. - *Exostema formosum* forma *β laeve* Cham. & Schltdl., *nom. inval.*, Linnaea 4: 179. 1829.

Type: BRAZIL. Without locality, s.d., *F. Sellow s.n.* [1814] (HAL [barcode HAL097786], **neotype here designated**).

Synonym:

Exostema formosum var. *β laeve* DC., Prodr. 4(4): 361. 1830. - *Exostema formosum* forma *α leprosum* Cham. & Schltdl., *nom. inval.*, Linnaea 4: 179. 1829.

Type: BRAZIL. Without locality, s.d., *Collector Unknown s.n.* (G-DC [barcode G00665732], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotype HAL [HAL097787 (collected by Sellow)]).

Notes: Chamisso and Schlechtendal (1829b: 179) published *Exostema formosum* Cham. & Schltdl. without a description, and under that name described *E. formosum* f. *laeve* Cham. & Schltdl. and *E. formosum* f. *leprosum* Cham. & Schltdl. *Exostema formosum* Cham. & Schltdl., *E. formosum* f. *laeve* Cham. & Schltdl., and *E. formosum* f. *leprosum* Cham. & Schltdl. are legitimate names. *Exostema formosum* is not a valid name because there is no diagnosis or description for it (Art. 38.1). *Exostema formosum* f. *laeve* and *E. formosum* f. *leprosum* are also not valid names because *E. formosum* is not valid (Art. 35.1). These two infraspecific taxa are forms because Chamisso and Schlechtendal in the protologue stated “Utramque formam in Brasilia intratropica legit Sellowianus” [Sellow collected both forms in intertropical Brazil].

Candolle (1830: 361) described *Exostema formosum* Cham. & Schltdl. ex DC. and the forms *α leprosum* DC. and *β laeve* DC. He did not assign a rank to the two forms. Article 37.4 of the *Code* (Turland et al., 2018) states that “If in one whole publication (Art. 37.5), prior to 1 January 1890, only one infraspecific rank is admitted, it is considered to be that of variety unless this would be contrary to the author’s statements in the same publication.” Therefore, the rank to be assigned to Candolle’s infraspecific taxa published in his *Prodromus* is variety. For *Exostema formosum*, he cited “in Brasilia intratropicali (V.s.)”. The expression “V.s.” (Vidi siccum) means that he saw a specimen in his herbarium. However, for the two varieties he did not cite any specimen.

There is a sole original specimen of *Exostema formosum* Cham. & Schltdl. ex DC. in G-DC, with barcode G00665732. That specimen has a label with the annotation “*Exostemma formosum* Ch. et S!” handwritten by

Candolle. A second label is affixed on the sheet has the annotation “*Exostemma formosum* N. var. *laeve*, Brasilia, Mus. Roy. de Berlin 1830.” That specimen is here designated the lectotype of *Exostema formosum*, and the neotype of this name designated by Delprete (1999a: 85) is here superseded.

Because the G-DC specimen with barcode G00665732 has a label with the annotation “*Exostemma formosum* N. var. *laeve*”, it is here designated as the lectotype of *Exostema formosum* var. *β laeve* DC.

In HAL there is a specimen with barcode HAL097787 with a label bearing the annotation “*Exostemma formosum* N. var. *laeve*, Brasilia” handwritten by an unknown author. The specimen, collected by Sellow, consists of one branch with three leaves and a terminal inflorescence with flower buds and an inflorescence branch also with flower buds. This specimen is an isolectotype of *Exostema formosum* var. *laeve* DC.

Another specimen in HAL with barcode HAL097786 has a label with the handwritten annotation “*Exostemma formosum* N. var. *leprosum*, Brasilia”. The specimen, collected by Sellow, consists of a single leaf. This specimen is here designated the neotype of *Exostema formosum* var. *leprosum* DC.

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 1091:

Synonym:

“*Rustia sellowiana* Klotzch, in Hayne, Getr. Darstell. Gew. 14: tab 15. 1846. - *Exostema formosum* Cham. & Schltdl. var. *α leprosum* Cham. & Schltdl. ex DC., *nom. inval.*, Prodr. 4(4): 361. 1830. - *Exostema formosum* var. *α leprosum* Cham. & Schltdl., *nom. inval.*, Linnaea 4: 179. 1829. - Tipo: Brasil, Rio de Janeiro, Corcovado, Caminho de Laranjeiras, I/1834 (fl), *Luschnath 179* [Martius H. Fl. Bras. 111] (Neótipo BR, selecionado por Delprete, 1999).”

Type: BRAZIL. Rio de Janeiro: Corcovado, Caminho de Laranjeiras, Dec. 1834 (fl), *B. Luschnath 179* [Martius H. Fl. Bras. 111] (BR [barcode 000000532847], neotype designated by Delprete (1999a: 86)).

FGT, vol. 40(2), p. 1091:

Synonym:

“*Rustia pohliana* Klotzch in Hayne, Getr. Darstell. Gew. 14: Tab 15. 1846. - Tipo: Brasil, **Goiás**, Pouzo Alto a Caldas Novas, 1837 (fl), *Pohl 809* (lectótipo, W, selecionado por Delprete, 1999; isolectótipos, F, GH).”

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: Pouzo Alto a Caldas Novas, 1837 (fl), *J.B.E. Pohl 809d* (W [Acc. No. 0072678],

lectotype designated by Delprete (1999a: 86); isoelectotypes, F [Acc. No. 875060], GH [barcode 00057420]).

52. **SABICEA** Aubl., Hist. Pl. Guiane 192. 1775.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1101:

“52-1. *Sabicea brasiliensis* Wernham, Monogr. Sabicea 51. 1914. Muitos síntipos: Brasil, Estados de Pernambuco, Bahia, Minas Gerais, Goiás: “*Burchell* 5136, 6532, 7035, 8061, 8383; *Gardner* 3225”; Bolívia, muitas coletas.”

Accepted name: *Sabicea brasiliensis* Wernham

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: town of Goiás, s.d., *W.J. Burchell* 6532 (K [barcode K000172688], **lectotype here designated**; isoelectotypes, BR [barcode 000000562057], GH [barcode 00312828]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Sabicea brasiliensis* Wernham, Wernham (1914) cited numerous gatherings from the Brazilian states of Pernambuco, Minas Gerais, Goiás, and from Bolivia, deposited at K, B, BR, G, G-DC, S, and P. On a sheet at K are mounted three branches belonging to two different gatherings, which are assigned two different barcodes. The two branches of *Burchell* 6532 affixed on the left side and upper portion of sheet, have barcode K000172688. On the upper left corner is affixed a label with the annotation “*Sabicea brasiliensis* Wernham! non *S. cana* Hook., Determinavit Wernham 11.XII.12” handwritten by Wernham. Those two branches are here designated the lectotype of *Sabicea brasiliensis*.

On a sheet at BR with barcode 000000562057, are affixed two branches of *Burchell* 6532, and a label with the annotation “Brasília: prope urbem Goyaz, Commun. H. Kewense 1867, *Burchell* n° 6532. This specimen is an isoelectotype of *Sabicea brasiliensis*.”

On a GH sheet are affixed two branches of two different gatherings. The branch on the left side of the sheet, with barcode 00312828, belong to the gathering *Burchell* 6532, and is an isoelectotype.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1113:

“52-2. *Sabicea grisea* Cham. & Schltld., Linnaea 4: 192. 1829. Tipo: Brasil, *Sellow* 328 (holótipo, B*, foto em NY).”

Accepted name: *Sabicea grisea* Cham. & Schltld.

Type: BRAZIL. Without locality, s.d., *F. Sellow* 328 (F [Acc. No. 607143, barcode F0071060], **lectotype here designated**).

Notes: In the protologue of *Sabicea grisea* Cham. & Schltld., Chamisso and Schlechtendal (1829b: 192) cited the material studied as “In Brasilia aequinotiali legit Sellow” without citing the collection number. The original material at B was destroyed during WWII. A photograph of that specimen, negative F0BN000303, shows that its label had the annotation “328. *Sabicea grisea* N., Linnaea 4. p. 192. Sellow. Brasilia aequinotialis.”

To my knowledge, the only extant specimen of *Sellow* 328 is at F. On the F sheet, with accession No. 607143, an envelope is affixed containing fragments extracted from the original B specimen. In the envelope, is included a portion of a leaf, a portion of a stem, and a single loose fruit crowned by a permanent calyx. On the sheet, the photograph of the destroyed B specimen is also affixed. The fragments in the envelope are sufficient to clarify the application of the name due to the characteristic vestiture of the parts. That specimen is here designated the lectotype of this name.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1117:

“52-3. *Sabicea humilis* S. Moore, Trans. Linn. Soc., ser. 2, 4: 369. 1893. Síntipos: Brasil, Mato Grosso, Santa Cruz [Barra do Bugres, ca. 15°04'S, 57°10'W, IX/1891], *S. Moore* 472 (BM, K, NY), Santa Anna da Chapada, s.d., *Malmme* 2071 (BM, K, P); Minas Gerais, Quartel de Biribiry, s.d., *Glaziou* 19420a (BM, P).”

Accepted name: *Sabicea humilis* S. Moore

Type: BRAZIL. Mato Grosso: Santa Cruz, 1891–1892, *S. Moore* 472 (holotype BM [barcode BM000827942]; isotypes, K [barcode K000424283], NY [barcode 00133263]),

Notes: Delprete’s (2010c: 1117) citation of the publication year of *Sabicea humilis* S. Moore as “1893” is a typographical error, and the citation of other gatherings as syntypes is an error that stemmed from the additional gatherings cited by Wernham (1914: 39) in his *Sabicea* monograph, which are not original material. In the protologue of *S. humilis*, Moore (1895: 369) cited the sole gathering “Ad Santa Cruz floret mens. Nov. (N. 472).” According to Stafleu and Cowan (1981: 570) Moore’s types are at BM. The specimen *Moore* 472 at BM, barcode BM000827942, is the holotype of *Sabicea humilis*, and the duplicates in K and NY are isotypes.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1117:

Synonym:

“*Sabicea humilis* S. Moore var. *lanceolata* Wernham, Monogr. Sabicea: 39. 1914, **syn. nov.** Síntipos: Brasil, Mato Grosso, Santa Cruz [Barra do Bugres, ca. 15°04’S, 57°10’W, IX/1891], *S. Moore* 794 (BM, K); Cuiabá, *Malme* 2684 (BM, K).”

Correct bibliographic reference: *Sabicea humilis* S. Moore var. *lanceolata* S. Moore, Trans. Linn. Soc., ser. 2, 4: 370. 1895.

Type: BRAZIL. Mato Grosso: Santa Cruz [now Barra do Bugres, ca. 15°04’S, 57°10’W], s.d. [Sep. 1891], *S. Moore* 794 (BM [barcode BM000827941], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotype K n.v. [barcode unknown]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Sabicea humilis* var. *lanceolata* S. Moore, Moore (1895: 370) cited the gathering *Moore* 794. On a BM sheet are mounted two different gatherings, which are assigned two different barcodes. On the right side of the sheet are affixed two branches, with barcode BM000827941, that belong to the gathering *Moore* 794, near them is affixed a label with the annotation “No. 794 [...] Sta. Cruz, December” handwritten by Moore. This specimen is here designated the lectotype of *S. humilis* var. *lanceolata*.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1117:

Synonym:

“*Sabicea moorei* Wernham, Monogr. Sabicea: 39. 1914, **syn. nov.** Tipo: Brasil, Mato Grosso, Santa Anna da Chapada, *R. Sladen* 687 (holótipo, BM; isótipo, K).”

Type: BRAZIL. Mato Grosso: Santa Anna da Chapada [Now the town of Chapada dos Guimarães], 2 Nov. 1902, *A. Robert* 687 (BM [barcode BM000827934], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotype, K n.v. [barcode unknown]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Sabicea moorei* Wernham, Wernham (1914: 39) cited the gathering “Brasil: Mato Grosso, Santa Anna da Chapada, *Sladen* 687! Hbb. Mus. Brit., Kew.” That gathering was not collected by “Sladen” as erroneously reported by Wernham, but was instead collected by A. Robert, hence that collection should be cited as *A. Robert* 687. On a specimen at BM, with barcode BM000827934, are affixed two branches, and a label with the printed text “Percy Sladen Expedition 1902-3, Central Brazil, Coll. A. Robert, Presented by Mrs. Sladen” and the handwritten annotation “N°

687, S. Anna da Chapada, Matto Grosso, 2.11.02.” At the bottom of the sheet is the penciled annotation “*Sabicea Moorei* Wernham!” handwritten by Wernham. That specimen is here designated the lectotype of this name.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1120:

“52-4. *Sabicea villosa* Willd. ex Roem. & Schult., Syst. Veg. 5: 265. 1819. Tipo: Venezuela, Rio Orinoco, V/1800 (fl), *Humboldt & Bonpland s.n.* (holótipo, B-Willd.).”

Accepted name: *Sabicea villosa* Roem. & Schult.

Correct authority and type citation: *Sabicea villosa* Willd. in Roem. & Schult., Syst. Veg. 5: 265. 1819. Type: VENEZUELA: Río Orinoco, May 1800 (fl), *A.J.A.G. Bonpland & F.W.H.A. Humboldt s.n.* (holotype, B-W [barcode B -W 04164 -00 0]).

Notes: The authority of *Sabicea villosa* has often been cited as “Willd. ex Roem. & Schult.” In the original publication, under that binomial is cited the unpublished name “*Schwenkfeldia villosa* Willd.” Therefore, the Willdenow authority should be excluded from this binomial.

The Swiss botanist Johann Jakob Roemer (1763–1819) died on 15 January 1819. Stafleu and Cowan (1983: 848), interpreted the sentence “Volumen quintum. Inceptum a Joanne Jacobo Roemer ... post ejus obitum continuatum a Jos. Augusto Schultes...” in the title page of the fifth volume *Systema Vegetabilium* as an indication that Joseph August Schultes is the sole author of that volume. However, that sentence should be translated as “Fifth volume. Started by Johann Jakob Roemer ... continued after his death by Joseph August Schultes.” Therefore, as stated in that sentence, Roemer worked on the text of the fifth volume, and his authority is here maintained for the new names published in that volume.

Roemer and Schultes (1819: 265) cited the material studied of *Sabicea villosa* as “In America. Humb. et Bonpl.” The holotype of *S. villosa* at B-W, barcode B -W 04164 -00 0, was annotated as “*Schwenkfeldia cinerea* (W.)” by Willdenow, and as “*Sabicea hirsuta* H.B.K.” by Kunth, certainly after 1820, when he returned to Berlin. No information regarding the collection locality is present on the specimen. The specimen folder is also annotated as “*Schwenkfeldia cinerea*” by Willdenow. This specimen is also the isotype of *Sabicea hirsuta* Kunth (see below).

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1120:

Synonym:

“*Sabicea hirsuta* Kunth in Humb. & Bonpl., Nov.

Gen. & Sp. 3: 417. Mar. 1820. – *Schwenkfeldia hirsuta* (Kunth) Spreng., Syst. Veg. 1: 765. 1825.”

Type: VENEZUELA: Río Orinoco, May 1800 (fl), A.J.A.G. Bonpland & F.W.H.A. Humboldt s.n. (holotype, P-Bonpl. [barcode P00662764]; isotype B-W [barcode B-W 04164 -00 0]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Sabicea hirsuta* Kunth, Kunth (1820: 417) cited the collection locality of the material studied as “Crescit in ripa Orinoci fluminis. Floret Majo.” The holotype specimen of this name is in P-Bonpl., with barcode P00662764, and is annotated as “*Sabicea hirsuta mihi*” by Kunth. No information regarding the collection locality is present on the sheet. Sprengel (1825: 765) published the new combination *Schwenkfeldia hirsuta* (Kunth) Spreng., and cited “*Schwenkfeldia villosa* W. herb., *Sabicea hirsuta* Kunth” as synonyms.

53. **SERISSA** Commers. ex A.L.Juss., Gen. 209. 1789.

Accepted generic name: **BUCHOZIA** L’Hér., *Buchozia* [unpaginated]. Jul.–Dec. 1788.

Notes: For further explanation regarding the priority of *Buchozia* L’Hér. over *Serissa* Commers. ex A.L.Juss. and the typification of *Lycium japonicum* Thunb., see Lack et al. (2021).

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1125:

“53-1. *Serissa japonica* (Thunb.) Thunb., Nov. Gen. Pl. 9: 132. 1798. - *Lycium japonicum* Thunb., Nov. Act. Roy. Soc. Sci. Upsal. 3: 207. 1780. Tipo: Japão, *Coletor Desconhecido* (holótipo LINN).”

Accepted name: *Buchozia japonica* (Thunb.) Callm. in Lack, Böhme & Callmander, Candollea 76(1): 162. 2021.

Type: JAPAN: “prope Nagasaki et alibi vulgare”, s.d., C.P. Thunberg s.n. (UPS-THUNB n° 5316, lectotype designated by Callmander and Lack in Lack et al. (2021: 162); isolectotypes MPU [MPU014200], UPS-THUNB n° 5317, UPS-THUNB n° 5318).

54. **SIMIRA** Aubl., Hist. Pl. Guiane 1: 170, pl. 65. 1775.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1130:

“54-1. *Simira corumbensis* (Standl.) Steyererm., Mem. New York Bot. Gard. 23: 306. 1972. - *Sickingia corumbensis* Standl., Field Mus. Nat. Hist., Bot. Ser. 11: 270. 1936. Tipo: Brasil, Mato Grosso do Sul, Corumbá, “in silva subruderali clara”, 20/XII/1902 (fl, fr), G.O.A. Malme 2733 (holótipo, S).”

Accepted name: *Simira corumbensis* (Standl.) Steyererm.

Type: BRAZIL. Mato Grosso do Sul: Corumbá, “in silva subruderali clara,” 20 Dec. 1902 (fl, fr), G.O.A. Malme 2733 (holotype, S [Acc. No. S04-31]; isotype F [Acc. No. 646808]; isotype fragment F [ex S, Acc. No. 638799]).

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1130:

Synonym:

“*Simira pilosa* M.R. Barbosa & A.L. Peixoto, Acta Amazon. 19: 35, fig. 3. 1989, **syn. nov.** Tipo: Brasil, Mato Grosso, Cuiabá, estr. Cuiabá-Cuiabá de Larga, X/1914 (fl), J.G. Kuhlman 1518 (holótipo, SP).”

Type: BRAZIL. Mato Grosso: Cuiabá, estr. Cuiabá-Cuiabá de Larga, Oct. 1914 (fl), J.G. Kuhlmann [Herb. Com. Rondon] 1518 [SP Acc. No. 35110] (holotype, SP [barcode SP002741]).

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1134:

“54-2. *Simira rubescens* (Benth.) Bremek. ex Steyererm., Mem. New York Bot. Gard. 23: 301. 1972. - *Sprucea rubescens* Benth., Hooker’s J. Bot. Kew Gard. Misc. 5: 230. 1853. Tipo: Brasil, Amazonas, “ad oram meridionalis fl. Amazonum, ad ostium fl. Solimões, ad Gapó do Manaquiry”, *Spruce 1601* (holótipo, K; isótipos, M n.v., NY; foto-M em NY).”

Accepted name: *Simira rubescens* (Benth.) Bremek. ex Steyererm.

Type: BRAZIL. Amazonas: Mouth of Rio Solimões [Amazon River], “Manaquiry-gapó, June 1851”, R. Spruce 1601 (K [barcode K000173875], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotypes, E [barcode E00265908], FI-Webb [barcode FI004802], G [2 sheets, barcodes G00436765, G00436766], GOET [barcode GOET010529], K [K000173876], M [barcode M-0189381], MO [Acc. No. 1606131], MPU [barcode MPU021288], NY [barcode 00133356], P [2 sheets, barcodes P02428078, P02428079], RB [2 sheets, barcodes RB00363995, RB00881032], S [Acc.

No. S 04-29], TCD [barcode TCD0005622]; isolecto-type fragments F [Acc. Nos. 870939, 635088]).

Notes: Bentham (1853: 230), in the protologue of *Sprucea rubescens* Benth., cited the collection date and locality as “gathered in June, 1851, in the gapó at Manaquiry, on the south shore of the Amazon, at the mouth of the Solimões” and did not cite any specimen or herbarium of deposit. The original specimens associated with this name, present in numerous herbaria, show that the gathering examined by Bentham is *Spruce 1601*. At K, where Bentham worked, there are two original specimens of *Spruce 1601*. The K specimen with barcode K000173875 has the stamp “Herbarium Benthamianum, 1854”, and a label with the plant description handwritten by Spruce, along with collection date of June 1851 and the locality “Manaquiry-gapó.” On that same label is also present the annotation “*Sprucea rubescens* Benth. in Kew Journ.” handwritten by Bentham. Specimen K000173875 is here designated the lectotype of *S. rubescens*.

The K specimen with barcode K000173876 has the stamp “Herbarium Hookerianum, 1867” and a label with the printed text “Ad oram meridionalem flum. Amazonum, ad ostium flum. Solimões, coll. R. Spruce, Jun. 1851” and the handwritten annotation “1601, *Sprucea rubescens* Benth. Gen. nov.” That specimen is an isolectotype.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1134:

Synonyms:

Macrocnemum tinctorium Willd. in Roemer & Schultes, Syst. Veg. 5: 6. Dec. 1819. - *Condaminea tinctoria* (Willd.) DC., Prodr. 4: 402. 1830. - *Sickingia tinctoria* (Willd.) K. Schum. in Martius et al., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 228. 1889, non *Simira tinctoria* Aubl., 1775.

Type: VENEZUELA. Orinoco, inter Encaramada et Carichana (Misiones del Orinoco), A.J.A.G. Bonpland & F.W.H.A. Humboldt 826 (holotype B-W [barcode B -W 03925 -01 0]; isotype, P-Bonpl. [barcode P00671149]).

Macrocnemum tinctorium Kunth in Humboldt and Bonpland, Nov. Gen. Sp. 3: 399 (ed. quarto). 13 Mar. 1820, *nom. illeg. homon.*

Type: VENEZUELA. Orinoco, inter Encaramada et Carichana (Misiones del Orinoco), A.J.A.G. Bonpland & F.W.H.A. Humboldt 826 (holotype, P-Bonpl. [barcode P00671149]; isotype, B-W [barcode B -W 03925 -01 0]).

Notes: For additional information about the types of *Macrocnemum tinctorium* Willd. and *M. tinctorium* Kunth, see Kirkbride and Wiersema (2020).

55. **SIPANEA** Aubl., Hist. Pl. Guiane 1: 147. 1775.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1141:

“55-1. *Sipanea hispida* Benth. ex Wernham, J. Bot. 55: 173. 1917. Tipo: Brasil, Amazonas, Rio Negro, São Gabriel da Cachoeira, s.d., *R. Spruce 2051* (holótipo, BM).”

Accepted name: *Sipanea hispida* Benth. ex Wernham

Type: BRAZIL. Amazonas: Rio Negro, São Gabriel da Cachoeira, Jan.–Aug. 1852, *R. Spruce 2051* (first-step lectotype designated by Steyermark (1967: 279); BM [barcode 000614346], second-step lectotype designated by Delprete (2022: 129–130); isolectotypes, E [barcode E00505240], F [Acc. No. 767786], FI-Webb [barcode FI004807], G [2 sheets; G00436761, G00436762], K [without barcode], LD [barcode 1220003], M [barcode M-0189372], NY [barcode 00133313], P [barcode P00748149], RB [Acc. No. 17401, barcode 00543715]; frag F [Acc. No. 635362]; photo-K at NY).

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1141:

Synonyms:

Sipanea trianae Wernham in J. Bot. 55: 174. 1917.

Type: COLOMBIA. [Villavicencio, Susumuco, 400 m, 1000 m; see Kirkbride (1982: 305)], s.d. (fl, fr), *J.J. Triana 1776 (3248.1)* (holotype BM [barcode 000614328]; isotypes COL [barcode COL000163865] E [barcode 00285373], P [barcode P00748116 “entre Susumuco y Villa Vicencio”], US [Acc. No. 1481047, barcode 00137730]; photo-US, photo-K and photo-BM at NY).

“*Sipanea brasiliensis* Wernham, J. Bot. 55: 174. 1917.”

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais: on a dry bank near Sabara, Sep. [1840] (fl, fr), *G. Gardner 5009* (holotype, BM [barcode 000614348]).

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1150:

“55-2. *Sipanea veris* S. Moore, Trans. Linn. Soc. II., 4: 368. 1895. Tipo: Brasil, Mato Grosso, Rio dos Bugres, “itaque ad confluentes fl. Paraguay et dos Bugres prope Santa Cruz”, 1891-1892, *S. Moore 435* (holótipo, BM).”

Accepted name: *Sipanea veris* S.Moore

Type: BRAZIL. Mato Grosso: Rio dos Bugres, near the confluence with the Paraguay River, “in apertis arenosis ad ripas fl. dos Bugres, itaque ad confluentes fl. Paraguay et dos Bugres prope Santa Cruz,” 1891–1892 (fl), *S. Moore* 435 (BM [barcode 000614332], lectotype designated by Delprete (2022: 171); isolectotypes, K [without barcode], NY [barcode 01085914]; photo-BM at NY).

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1150:

Synonyms:

Sipanea acinifolia R. Spruce ex Sprague in Trans. Proc. Bot. Soc. Edinb. 22: 433. 1905.

Type: COLOMBIA. Vichada: Maipures, Río Orinoco [border between Colombia and Venezuela], “Prope Maypures, ad flumen Orenoco”, Jun. 1854 (fl), *R. Spruce* 3652 (299) (LD [Acc. No. 1219703], lectotype designated by Delprete (2022: 172); isolectotypes K [without barcode], MPU [barcode MPU021332], P [barcode P007294432, as “3652 (299)”] RB [Acc. No. 15356, barcode 00364227]).

“*Sipanea spraguei* Wernham, J. Bot. 55: 172. 1917.”

Type: VENEZUELA. Bolívar: Río Orinoco, Caicara, near a clump of moriche palms, Nov. 1898 (fl), *C.J. Sprague* 7 (holotype BM [barcode 000614324]; isolectotype K [without barcode]).

56. **SPERMACOCE** L., Sp. Pl. 102. 1753.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1167:

“56-1. *Spermacoce alata* Aubl., Hist. Pl. Guian. 1: 60, pl. 22, fig. 7. 1775. - *Borreria alata* (Aubl.) DC., Prodr. 4: 544. 1830; non *Diodia alata* Nees & Mart., Act. Soc. Nat. Cur. 12: 12. 1824; non *Dasycephala alata* (Nees & Mart.) Hook. f. in Benth. & Hook. f., Gen. Pl. 2: 144. 1873. Tipo: Guiana Francesa, “Bord de la Riviere d’Aurora, s.d., *Aublet s.n.* (lectótipo, P-JJR, aqui escolhido; isolectótipo, BM).”

Accepted name: *Spermacoce alata* Aubl.

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: Margins of the Oyak River (as “riviere d’Aroura” referring to the river that runs at the margin of the town of Roura), going towards the Comté de Gennes, “Il croît sur les bord de la riviere

d’Aroura, en allant au Comté de Gêne”, s.d. [Apr 1763], *J.B.C.F. Aublet s.n.* (P-JJR 3: 118A, lectotype designated by Lanjouw and Uittien (1940: 158)).

Notes: The identity of *Spermacoce alata* Aubl. and *S. latifolia* Aubl. became clear to me when when I was able examined their type specimens at P-JJR and MA, respectively. The types of these two names were cited by Delprete (2015). A detailed comparison of these two species was published by Wiersema et al. (2017), following a recent introduction of *S. latifolia* in Florida, USA. These two species have often been confused, and sometimes synonymized, but they are instead easily distinguished. *Spermacoce alata* is a perennial herb, trailing or prostrate, rooting at most nodes, often forming dense, ground-covering thickets, with semi-fleshy leaf blades when fresh, commonly with a single, terminal flowering glomerule subtended by two unequal pairs of leaf-like bracts, campanulate corollas 7.5–12.5 mm long, stamens in two pairs of unequal length, two exerted and two included, and capsules 1.8–2 mm long. Whereas, *S. latifolia* is a perennial herb or subshrub, 0.6–2 m tall, commonly erect, or rarely decumbent or ascending, very rarely prostrate, with chartaceous leaf blades when fresh, with verticillate flowering branches with (3-)7–17(–21) flowering glomerules, infundibuliform corollas 3.5–5.5 mm long, stamens of equal length, inserted at the same height near the corolla mouth or at lobe sinuses, all exerted, and capsules 3–4 mm long.

Spermacoce alata occurs from southern Venezuela and the Guianas to the eastern Amazon Basin, north of the Amazon River, at 10–700 m altitude. It is a prostrate herb, rooting at nodes, often forming dense, ground-covering mats, mostly in shady or semi-shady exposure, usually found in the forest understory, open forests, and forest edges, sometimes seasonally inundated, frequently on the leaf litter over sandy soils. Rarely found in disturbed environments, such as abandoned lots and roadside vegetation. The filiform adventitious roots present at most nodes easily penetrate the organic matter of the leaf litter accumulating on the forest floor, facilitating vegetative reproduction.

Spermacoce latifolia is widely distributed in the New World from southern Mexico through Central America and throughout South America, ranging from Vera Cruz, Mexico, to Colombia, Venezuela, Guianas, and throughout Brazil, Bolivia, and Paraguay, with a preference for sunny exposures, often found in forest margins, secondary vegetation, road margins, in cultivated and abandoned fields, disturbed vegetation, and sometimes in seasonally flooded areas. It is naturalized in southern USA (Florida), the West Indies, southern Europe, Africa,

Madagascar, Asia, Australia, and Pacific islands. According to Kissmann and Groth (1995, p. 412–416) and Lorenzi (2000, p. 542), in Brazil this species is an aggressive weed, infesting annual crops, coffee plantations, orchards, and abandoned fields. It is usually on acidic soils and can even tolerate a certain degree of shading. Increasing soil pH with the addition of basic substances seems to alleviate the infestation. According to Lacerda (2003), this weed has a considerable resistance to high doses of glyphosate-based herbicides.

Based on the distinctions between the two species above presented, *Spermacoce alata* does not occur in the states of Goiás and Tocantins. The specimens cited under *S. alata* in FGT (Delprete, 2010c: 1170–1171), which are all gatherings of prostrate or ascending individuals, are instead *S. latifolia*. Those specimens are cited below.

BRAZIL. Goiás: Mun. Pirenópolis, Parque Estadual dos Pireneus, campo sujo com algumas manchas de cerrado, perto do portal do parque, 1150 m, 15°48'S, 48°53'W, 26 Nov. 2005 (fl, fr), P.G. Delprete, V.L. Gomes-Klein & Estudantes 9280 (CAY, K, NY, RB, SPF, UB, UFG); Mun. São Domingos, Rod. GO-110, ca. 5 km N de São Domingos, em direção para Divinópolis de Goiás, campo limpo encharcado, com solo preto e rico em substância orgânica, 670 m, 13°21'55"S, 46°20'09"W, 22 Feb. 2006 (fl), P.G. Delprete, V.L. Gomes-Klein & I.M. Pereira 9476 (BR, CAY, NY, UB, UFG); Mun. Pirenópolis, Parque Estadual dos Pireneus, base do Morro do Cabeludo, solo orgânico perto do Córrego Cabeludo, 15°48'S, 48°49'W, 1200–1250 m, 18 Mar. 2006 (fl, fr), P.G. Delprete et al. 9584 (CAY, UFG). **Distrito Federal:** Planaltina, C.P.A.C., 980 m, 15°35'S, 47°42'W, 30 Oct. 1979 (fl, fr), J.C.S. Silva 184 (CEN). **Tocantins:** Mun. Lagoa da Confusão, Ilha do Bananal, Parque Nacional do Araguaia, 10°27'W, 50°27'S, 190 m, 23 Mar. 1999 (fl, fr), R.C. Mendonça, M.A. da Silva, E. Cardoso, N.R. Oliveira & N. Goulard Souza 3992 (IBGE, NY).

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1171:

“56-2. *Spermacoce burchellii* (E.L. Cabral & Bacigalupo) Delprete, J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 1: 1025. 2007. - *Borreria burchellii* E.L. Cabral & Bacigalupo, Bonplandia 10: 126. 2000. - *Borreria tenella* (Kunth) Cham. & Schltld. var. *pumila* K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 56. 1888; non *Borreria pumila* DC., 1830. Tipo: Brasil, Tocantins, Porto Nacional [como “Goyaz, ad Porto Real”], s.d. [1828–1829] (fl), *Burchell* 8679-10 (lectótipo, BR, escolhido por Cabral & Bacigalupo, 2006).”

Accepted name: *Spermacoce burchellii* (E.L. Cabral & Bacigalupo) Delprete

Type: BRAZIL. Tocantins: Porto Nacional [as “Goyaz, ad Porto Real”], s.d. [1828–1829] (fl), *W.J. Burchell* 8679-10 (BR [barcode 000000988751], lectotype designated by Cabral and Bacigalupo (2000: 126)).

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1174:

“56-3. *Spermacoce capitata* Ruiz. & Pav., Fl. Per. 1: 61. 1798. - *Borreria capitata* (Ruiz & Pav.) DC., Prodr. 4: 545. 1830. Tipo: Peru, “Habitat in declivibus montium, Pillao ad Iscutunam”, s.d., *Ruiz & Pavón s.n.* (holótipo, MA).”

Accepted name: *Spermacoce capitata* Ruiz. & Pav.

Type: PERU. Huánuco: “in declivibus montium, Pillao ad Iscutunam”, 1787, *H. Ruiz López & J.A. Pavón y Jiménez s.n.* (MA [No. 815641 ex Herbarium Peruvianum Ruiz et Pavon No. 13/12], lectotype designated by Moraes (2011: 911)).

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1181:

“56-4. *Spermacoce crispata* (K. Schum.) Delprete, J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 1: 1025. 2007. - *Borreria tenella* (Kunth) Cham. & Schltld. var. δ *crispata* K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 55. 1888. - *Borreria crispata* (K. Schum.) E.L. Cabral & Bacigalupo, Bonplandia 10: 126. 2000. Tipo: Brasil, Goiás, V/1840, *Gardner* 4175 (lectótipo, BR [sic!], escolhido por Cabral & Bacigalupo; isolectótipos, BR, NY [2], P; foto G-Del em NY).”

Accepted name: *Spermacoce crispata* (K. Schum.) Delprete

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: “Sandy campos between S. Domingos & Posse”, May 1840, *G. Gardner* 4175 (BM [barcode 000053647], lectotype designated by Cabral and Bacigalupo (2000: 127); isolectotypes, BR [barcode 000000530525, annotated as lectotype], F [Acc. No. 767841], K [2 sheets, barcodes K000470322, K000470323], NY [2 sheets, barcodes 00130930, 00130931], P [barcode P00723672, annotated as lectotype]; photo-G [F 25582] in F, NY).

Notes: Cabral and Bacigalupo (2000: 126–127) cited the type of *Borreria tenella* var. δ *crispata* K. Schum. as “Lectotipo aquí elegido: Brasil, Goiás, May. 1840 (fl, fr), *Gardner* 4175 (BM!). Isolectotipos: BR!, NY!, P!, Foto F 25582 G-DEL.” Delprete (2010c: 1181) incorrectly wrote that Cabral and Bacigalupo (2000: 127) designated the

specimen at BR as the lectotype of this taxon. Delprete's statement was due to a typographical error, and the lectotype designated by Cabral and Bacigalupo is the BM specimen with barcode 000053647.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1186:

"56-5. *Spermacoce cupularis* (DC.) Kuntze, Revis. Gen. Pl. 3(2): 123. 1898. - *Borreria cupularis* DC., Prodr. 4: 543. 1830. Tipo: Brasil, sem localidade, s.d., *Pohl s.n.* (holótipo, G-DC)."

Accepted name: *Spermacoce cupularis* (DC.) Kuntze

Type: BRAZIL. Without locality, s.d., *J.B.E. Pohl s.n.* (holotype, G-DC [barcode G00667114]; isotypes BR [2 sheets, barcodes 000000530493, 000000530558], M [barcode M-0187068]; possible isotype K [barcode K000470351, with "790" as collection number]).

Notes: Candolle (1830: 543), in the protologue of *Borreria cupularis* DC., wrote that the material studied was collected by Pohl in Brazil, without citing the collection number or the collection locality. In G-DC, there is only one specimen annotated by Candolle with this name, and is the holotype.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1189:

"56-6. *Spermacoce dasycephala* (Cham. & Schltdl.) Delprete in A. Reis, Fl. Ilustr. Catarinense RUBI 2: 719. 2005. - *Diodia dasycephala* Cham. & Schltdl., Linnaea 3: 348. 1828. - *Borreria dasycephala* (Cham. & Schltdl.) Bacigalupo & E. L. Cabral, Opera Bot. Belg. 7: 306. 1996. - Tipo: Brasil meridional, sem localidade, s.d., *Sellow s.n.* (holótipo, B, destruído, foto em F, NY)."

Accepted name: *Spermacoce dasycephala* (Cham. & Schltdl.) Delprete

Type: SOUTHERN BRAZIL. "Brasilia meridionalis", without locality, s.d., *F. Sellow s.n.* (HAL [barcode HAL0098296], lectotype designated by Miquel et al. (2022: 406); possible isolectotype HBG [barcode HBG-521716]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Diodia dasycephala* Cham. & Schltdl., Chamisso and Schlechtendal (1828: 348) cited the material studied as "In Brasiliae meridionalis campis legit Sellowius pluribus locis, seminaque transmisit ad hortum nostrum botanicum, ubi falsis sub

nominus: Sp. repens et capitellata Hb. Willd. colebatur." The original material at B was destroyed during WWII. Two original specimens, annotated by Schlechtendal, are at HAL and HBG, and are discussed below.

On the HAL sheet, barcode HAL0098296, is affixed a plant with flowers and fruits, and a label annotated as "*Diodia dasycephala* N. Sellow, Brasilia meridionalis" and the stamp "scripsit: D.F.L. v. Schlechtendal." Because Chamisso and Schlechtendal (1828: 348) wrote that Sellow's gatherings of *D. dasycephala* were collected in several localities, and the specimen label has the annotation "Brasilia meridionalis" that specimen was designated as the lectotype by Miguel et al. (2022: 405).

On the HBG sheet, barcode HBG-521716, are affixed two labels and a plant with flowers and fruits. One label has the annotation "*Diodia dasycephala* N. Brasilia" handwritten by Schlechtendal. The other label has the stamp "ISOTYPUS" and the handwritten annotation (author unknown) "*Diodia dasycephala* Cham. & Schltdl., Linnaea 3: 348. 1828." That specimen is a possible isolectotype.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1194:

"56-7. *Spermacoce dimorpha* (J.H. Kirkbr.) Delprete, J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 1: 1025. 2007. - *Borreria dimorpha* J.H. Kirkbr., Brittonia 49: 373, fig. 9. 1997. Tipo: Brasil, Goiás, Chapada dos Veadeiros, Mun. Alto Paraíso, a 9 km da cidade, rod. GO-118 para Teresina de Goiás, 14°03'02"S, 47°31'26"W, 1520 m, 28/VII/1994 (fl, fr), *M.A. da Silva, T. Filgueiras, C. Resende, Gonçalves, Santos, Silva, J. Felfili, Nogueira Silva & Aurélio Silva 2117* (holótipo, IBGE; isótipo, US)."

Accepted name: *Spermacoce dimorpha* (J.H.Kirkbr.) Delprete

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: Chapada dos Veadeiros, Mun. Alto Paraíso, a 9 km da cidade, rod. GO-118 para Teresina de Goiás, 14°3'2"S, 47°31'26"W, 1520 m, 28 Jul. 1994 (fl, fr), *M.A. da Silva, T.S. Filgueiras, A.V. Resende, K.G.C. Gonçalves, J.B. Santos, J.C.S. Silva, J.M. Felfili, P.E. Nogueira Silva & M. Aurélio Silva 2117* (holotype, IBGE [Acc. No. 32351]; isotypes, RB [Acc. No. 325243, barcode 00543473], SP [barcode SP001523], US [without barcode]).

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1196:

"56-8. *Spermacoce eryngioides* (Cham. & Schltdl.) Kuntze, Revis. Gen. Pl. 3(2): 123. 1898. - *Borreria eryngioides* Cham. & Schltdl., Linnaea 3: 316. 1828. - *Bige-lowia eryngioides* (Cham. & Schltdl.) Hook. & Arn.,

Bot. Miscell. 3: 361. 1833. Tipo: Brasil, “Pluribus locis variisque in fruticetis Brasiliae meridionalis”, *Sellow s.n.* (holótipo, B, destruído; provável isótipo, US).”

Accepted name: *Spermacoce eryngioides* (Cham. & Schltld.) Kuntze

Type: SOUTHERN BRAZIL: “Pluribus locis variisque in fruticetis Brasiliae meridionalis”, s.d., *F. Sellow s.n.* (L [barcode L0057635], lectotype designated by Cabral et al. (2011: 261); isolectotypes HBG [barcode HBG-521829], K [barcode K000470201]; possible isolectotypes W [Acc. No. 285798], US [barcode 00409543]; dubious isolectotype E [barcode E00504647]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Borreria eryngioides* Cham. & Schltld., Chamisso and Schlechtendal (1828: 316) cited the material studied as “Pluribus locis variisque temporibus in fruticetis Brasiliae meridionalis legit Sellow.” The original material at B was destroyed during WWII. Specimens annotated by Schlechtendal are at E, HBG, L, US and W, and are discussed below.

On the L sheet, with barcode L0057635, are affixed two plants with flowers and fruits, and two labels. One label has the handwritten annotation “*Borreria eryngioides* N., ! Sch., Hb. Berol. 1833, Sellow, Brasilia meridionalis.” The annotation “Sch.” means that this specimen was seen by Schlechtendal. The other label, with red paper, has “TYPE” printed, and “Sellow s.n., *Borreria eryngioides* Cham. & Schl.” handwritten by an unknown author. This specimen was designated as lectotype by Cabral et al. (2011: 261).

On the HBG sheet, with barcode HBG-521829, are affixed two plants with flowers and fruits, and two labels. One label has the annotation “*Borreria eryngioides* N. Brasilia” handwritten by Schlechtendal. The other label has the stamp “ISOTYPUS” and the handwritten annotation (author unknown) “*Borreria eryngioides* Cham. & Schltld., *Linnaea* 3: 316. 1828. leg. F. Sellow, Brazil.” This specimen is an isolectotype.

On the E sheet, with barcode E00504647, is affixed a specimen with multiple branches with fruits, and a single taproot. This specimen does not resemble the other specimens here treated as possible original material of *Borreria eryngioides*, and probably does not belong to the same gahering, or it might even be a different species. On the sheet is affixed a label with the heading “Herb. Reg. Berolinense”, *Borreria eryngioides* Cham. et Schlecht., and “Brasilia. Sellow legit” printed at the bottom of the label. This specimen is a dubious isolectotype.

On the W sheet, Acc. No. 285798, there are two plants with flowers and fruits and two labels. One label has the annotation “*Borreria eryngioides* N. Brasilia” handwritten by Schlechtendal. The other label bears the annotation “isotype” by R. Salas, 2011. As Chamisso and Schlechtendal (1828: 316) wrote that the material studied was collected in numerous localities, the W specimen is a possible isolectotype.

The US specimen, barcode 00409543, is possible original material. It has a label handwritten by Schlechtendal saying “*Borreria eryngioides* N. *Linnaea* III, p. 316. Brasilia”, but it does not report the collector. This specimen is a possible isolectotype of *Borreria eryngioides*.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1202:

“56-9. *Spermacoce glabra* [Rich. in] Michx., Fl. Bor.-Amer. 1: 82. 1803. - *Spermacoceodes glabrum* (Michx.) Kuntze, Revis. Gen. Pl. 3: 123. 1898. Tipo: Estados Unidos, “ad ripas fluminis Ohio et Mississippi, s.d., *Michaux s.n.* (holótipo, P).”

Accepted name: *Spermacoce glabra* Michx.

Type: USA: “ad ripas fluminis Ohio et Mississippi”, s.d., *A. Michaux s.n.* (P [P02285140], lectotype designated by Florentín et al. (2020: 592); isolectotype P [P02285141]).

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1204:

“56-10. *Spermacoce incognita* (E.L. Cabral) Delprete, J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 1: 1025. 2007. - *Borreria incognita* E.L. Cabral, Opera Bot. Belg. 7: 322, fig. 8. 1996. Tipo: Brasil, Goiás, Campo Alegre, rod. BR-050, km 222, 8/II/1994 (fl), *Hatschbach et al.* 59881_(holótipo, MBM; isótipos, CTES n.v., NY, SI n.v., UB).”

Accepted name: *Spermacoce glabra* Michx.

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: Mun. Campo Alegre, rod. BR-050, km 222, 8 Feb. 1994 (fl), *G. Hatschbach, M. Hatschbach & J.M. Silva* 59881 (holotype, MBM [barcode MBM165465]; isotypes, BHCB [barcode BHCB000402], BR [000000530527], C [barcode C10018072], CTES [barcode CTES0013511], G [2 sheets, barcodes G00389686, G00389687], HBG [barcode HBG-521826], MO [Acc. No. 05081011], NY [barcode 00074088], SI [2 sheets, barcodes 003547, 003179], SPF [barcode SPF 00109332], UB [barcode UB0040277]).

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1208:

“56-11. *Spermacoce irwiniana* (E.L. Cabral) Delprete, J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 1: 1026. 2007. - *Borreria irwiniana* E.L. Cabral, Bonplandia 9: 36, fig. 3. 1996. Tipo: Brasil, Tocantins, gallery forest ca. 27 km S of Paraíso, ca. 500 m, 22/III/1968 (fl), H.S. Irwin, H. Maxwell & D. Wasshausen 21617 (holótipo, NY; isótipos, F, UB).”

Accepted name: *Spermacoce irwiniana* (E.L.Cabral) Delprete

Type: BRAZIL. Tocantins: Gallery forest ca. 27 km S of Paraíso, ca. 500 m, 22 Mar. 1968 (fl), H.S. Irwin, H. Maxwell & D. Wasshausen 21617 (holotype, NY n.v. [barcode unknown]; isotypes, CTES [barcode CTES0013513], F [Acc. No. 1727210], UB [barcode UB0040273]).

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1209:

“56-12. *Spermacoce latifolia* Aubl., Pl. Guian. 1: 55. pl. 19, fig. 1. 1775. - *Borreria latifolia* (Aubl.) K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 61. 1888. - *Tardavel latifolia* (Aubl.) Standl., Contr. U. S. Natl. Herb. 18: 122. 1916. Tipo: Guiana Francesa, “Habitat ad margines viam Caiennae & Guianae”, s.d., *Aublet s.n.* (holótipo, BM).”

Accepted name: *Spermacoce latifolia* Aubl.

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: Road margins and disturbed fields of Cayenne and French Guiana, “ad margines viarum Caienne et Guianae” and “sur le bord des chemins, et dans les terrains défrichés à Caienne et à la grande-terre,” s.d. [1762–1764], *J.B.C.F. Aublet s.n.* (MA [barcode MA317165], lectotype designated by Delprete (2015: 617)). For further information, see Delprete (2015).

Notes: For a distinction of this species from *Spermacoce alata*, see discussion under that species. For a clarification of the species delimitation of *S. latifolia*, a list of the most common synonyms and their respective types, along with eventual notes, is presented below.

Synonyms:

Spermacoce hexangularis Aubl., Hist. Pl. Guiane 1: 61, t. 22, figure 8. 1775. *Spermacoce sexangularis* Lemée, Fl. Guy. Fran, c. 3: 569. 1954 [“1953”], *nom. illeg. superfl.*

Type: [illustration] “8. *Hexangularis*” in Aublet, Hist. Pl. Guiane 1: 61, t. 22, figure 8. 1775 lectotype, designated by Delprete (2015: 616). – FRENCH GUI-

ANA: Basin of Sinnamary River, *J.J. de Granville et al.* 11383 (CAY [barcode CAY0224493], epitype designated by Delprete (2015: 616)).

Spermacoce coerulescens Aubl., Hist. Pl. Guiane 1: 55, t. 19, figure 2. 1775.

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: Road margins of Cayenne and French Guiana, “Habitat in iisdem locis [ad margines viarum Caienne et Guianae],” *J.B.C.F. Aublet s.n.* (P-JJR [P-JJR 3: 118C], lectotype designated by Lanjou and Uittien (1940: 158)).

Borreria perrottetii DC., Prodr. 4: 548. 1830.

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: Without locality, s.d., *G.S. Perrottet s.n.* (holotype G-DC [barcode G00667166]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Borreria perrottetii* DC., Candolle (1830: 548) wrote “In Guianâ Gallicâ cl. Perrottet. An *Spermacoce aspera* Aubl., Guian. I. p. 59. t. 22. f. 6. non Vahl? sed in nostrâ rami ferè glabri. (v.s. in h. Dunant.)” There is a sole sheet associated with this name at G-DC, with barcode G00667166. On the lower right corner of the sheet is pinned a label with the annotation “*Spermacoce Perrottetii* DC.” handwritten by Candolle. On the sheet is pinned a tiny envelope with the annotation “Sp. [*Spermacoce*] *aspera* Aubl. ex Perrottet, Guiane” handwritten by an unknown author. In the envelope is included one leaf and several dehisced capsules. This specimen is the holotype of this name.

Borreria scabrida DC., Prodr. 4: 548. 1830 - *Borreria latifolia* var. *scabrida* (DC.) K. Schum. in Martius, Fl. Bras. 6(6): 61. 1888 - *Borreria latifolia* f. *scabrida* (DC.) Steyerl., Mem. New York. Bot. Gard. 23: 810. 1972.

Type: BRAZIL. Without locality, 1828, *J.B.E. Pohl s.n.* (holotype G-DC [barcode G00667191]).

Notes: Candolle (1830: 548) cited the material studied of *Borreria scabrida* DC. as “in Brasiliâ legit cl. Pohl. *Spermacoce scabrida* Pohl! in litt. Cor. duplò minor quàm in *B. asclepiadea*. (v.s.)” There is a sole sheet associated with this name in G-DC, with barcode G00667191. On the lower right corner is pinned a label with the annotation “*Spermacoce scabrida* Pohl, *Borreria* _____ [scabrida] DC.” handwritten by Candolle. At the base of the specimen is glued a label with the annotation “*Spermacoce scabrida*, an nov. gen. Brésil, m. Pohl 1828.” The specimen consists of a small branch with numerous leaf pairs, and two capitate inflorescences.

es subtended by pairs of leaf-like bracts. This specimen is the holotype of *Borreria scabrada*.

Borreria tetraptera Miq., *Linnaea* 17: 70. 1843.

Type: SURINAME: Upper Surinam River, s.d. [Apr.], *H.C. Focke* 468 (U [barcode U0005944], lectotype designated by Wiersema et al. (2017: 123)).

Borreria fockeana Miq., *Linnaea* 18: 299. 1845 ["1844"]; *Borreria latifolia* var. *fockeana* (Miq.) Bremek., *Recueil Trav. Bot. Néerl.* 31: 307. 1934. - *Borreria latifolia* f. *fockeana* (Miq.) Steyerl., *Mem. New York Bot. Gard.* 23: 809. 1972.

Type: SURINAME. Without locality, s.d. [1840], *H.C. Focke* 505 (first-step lectotype designated by Bremekamp (1934: 307); U [barcode U0005943], second-step lectotype designated by Wiersema et al. (2017: 123); isolectotype K [barcode K000470336]).

Borreria penicillata Miq., *Stirp. Surinam. Select.* 176, t. 51. 1851 ["1850"].

Type: SURINAME: Upper Surinam River ["Surinamo superiore"], s.d. [1847], *H.C. Focke* 1297 (U [barcode U0005945], lectotype designated by Wiersema et al. (2017: 123)).

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1216:

"56-13. *Spermacoce multiflora* (DC.) Delprete, *J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas* 1: 1026. 2007. - *Diodia multiflora* DC., *Prodr.* 4: 564. 1830. - *Borreria multiflora* (DC.) Bacigalupo and Cabral, *Darwiniana* 37: 163. 1999. Tipo: Brasil, s.d., localidade e coletor desconhecidos (holótipo, G-DC)."

Accepted name: *Spermacoce multiflora* (DC.) Delprete

Type: BRAZIL: Without locality, s.d., *Collector Unknown s.n.* (holotype G-DC [barcode G00667278]).

Notes: Candolle (1830: 564) along with the description of *Diodia multiflora* DC., cited the material studied as "in Brasilia. Fructus facillimè bipartibilis, coccis clausis (v.s.)." The expression "(v.s.)", i.e., *visi siccum*, means that Candolle saw a specimen, collected in Brazil, in his own herbarium, but he did not cite the collector or the collection number. Delprete (2007: 1026) cited the holo-

type of this name as "Brazil. Locality, date, and collector unknown s.n. (holotype: G-DC!)." In G-DC there is a sole original specimen, with barcode G00667278, annotated by Candolle as "*Diodia multiflora* DC." An additional label pinned on the sheet has the annotation "Spermacoce, Bresil" handwritten by an unknown author. On the sheet are affixed two long branches with numerous leaf pairs and two capitate inflorescences at the axils of each node. This specimen is the holotype of this name.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1221:

"56-14. *Spermacoce neohispida* Govaerts, *World Checklist Seed Pl.* 2: 18. 1996. - *Borreria hispida* Spruce ex K. Schum. in Mart., *Fl. Bras.* 6(6): 62. 1888. Síntipos: Brasil, Pará, Santarem, s.d. [1850], *Spruce* 663 (B†, BM, K); Guiana Francesa, s.d. [1830-1850], *Leprieur s.n.* (B†, BR, P)."

Accepted name: *Spermacoce neohispida* Govaerts

Type: BRAZIL. Pará: Santarem, Apr. 1850, *R. Spruce* 663 (B†; M [barcode M-0187057], lectotype designated by Sobrado and Cabral, (2015: 60); isolectotypes BM [barcode BM000901496], E [barcode E00504645 (without collection number)], F [Acc. No. 767123 (without collection number)], FI [barcode FI004834], GH [2 sheets, barcodes 01154900, 01154901], K n.v., MPU [barcode MPU022453 (without collection number)], NY [barcode 0013019], RB [Acc. No. 18894, barcode 00334938], RB [Acc. No. 18894, barcode 00334938], S [Acc. No. S05-1638 (without collection number)], TCD [barcode TCD0005897 (without collection number)], W [2 sheets, Acc. Nos. 14014, 118416].

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1221:

Synonym:

"*Borreria hispida* Spruce ex K. Schum. var. *glabrescens* K. Schum. in Martius et al., *Fl. Bras.* 6(6): 62. 1888. Tipo: Brazil. Tocantins, "Goyaz prope Porto Real" [Porto Nacional], s.d. [1829], *Burchell* 8675 (holótipo B†, photo in NY; lectótipo, NY, aqui designado)."

Type: BRAZIL. Tocantins: "Goyaz prope Porto Real" [now Porto Nacional], s.d. [1829], *W.J. Burchell* 8675 (B†, photo in NY; NY [barcode 01085897], lectotype designated by Delprete (2010c: 1221); isolectotypes BR [barcode 000000530559], GH [barcode 01154956]; photo-B in F).

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1224:

"56-15. *Spermacoce neotenuis* Govaerts, World Checklist Seed Pl. 2: 18. 1996. - *Borreria tenuis* DC., Prodr. 4: 543. 1830; non *Spermacoce tenuis* Sessé & Moç., Fl. Mexic.: 25. 1893. Tipo: Brasil, sem localidade, s.d., *Pohl s.n.* (holótipo, G-DC)."

Accepted name: *Spermacoce neotenuis* Govaerts

Type: BRAZIL. "Goiás, prope Santa Rita", s.d., *J.B.E. Pohl 1719 (783 d)* (W [Acc. No. W0028719], **neotype here designated**; isoneotype K [barcode K000470202]; possible isoneotype BR [barcode 000000530529]).

Notes: In G-DC there is a single sheet, with barcode G00667111, annotated by Candolle as "*Borreria tenuis* DC." A label is affixed on the sheet, with the annotation "*Spermacoce tenuis*, Brésil, m. Pohl 1828" handwritten by an unknown author. The number "1828" corresponds to the year of collection of the specimen and is not a collection number. On the sheet is affixed another label with the annotation (translated from Spanish) "This specimen is considered as the type [of *B. tenuis*] does not coincide with the original description of DC. Prodr. 4: 543, 1830, which says "antheris inclusis". It is a specimen that belongs to *Mitracarpus* (which has exerted stamens, unequal calyx lobes). However, the isotype in F coincides with the original description. Elsa Cabral/1995." I concur with Cabral that this specimen cannot be treated as original material of *Borreria tenuis* DC. After exhaustive searches, no original specimen associated with this name could be found in F. Three original specimens collected by Pohl and annotated as *B. tenuis* are discussed below.

A sheet in W, with Acc. No. W0028719, has numerous plants with flowers and fruits, and three labels. A label on the lower right corner of the sheet has the annotation "1719, Hb. Bras., *Borreria tenuissima* Pohl, S. Rita, (783 d), Pohl" handwritten by Pohl. Above that label, is affixed another label with the annotation "*Borreria tenuis* DC., *Borreria tenuissima* non exitat." handwritten by K. Schumann, and the stamp "det. Schumann in Fl. Bras." On the sheet, there is a third label with the annotation "Isotype of: *Borreria tenuis* DC., Prodr. 4. 543. 1830. 30/03/2011, det./rev. Salas, R. CTES." This specimen is here designated as the neotype of *Borreria tenuis*.

On the K sheet with barcode K000470202 and the stamp "Herbarium Hookerianum", there are numerous plants with flowers and fruits on it. The annotation "Brazil, Herb. dupl. Vien." was handwritten directly on the

sheet by an unknown author. Just below the annotation is affixed a label with the number "783" handwritten by another unknown author. On the lower right corner, there is a label with the annotation "Pohl 783 is cited by Schumann Fl. Bras. 6(6):45-46 as *B. tenuis* DC., Goiás." This specimen is an isoneotype of *Borreria tenuis* DC.

A sheet in BR with barcode 000000530529 has two plants affixed on it. On the sheet, there is a label with the stamp "Herb. Hort. Bruxell. - Coll. MARTII" and the annotation "Minas: Pohl, Communic. Herb. Vindobon. 1847." Another label has "*Borreria tenuis* DC." and the stamp "det. Schumann in Fl. Bras." This specimen is a possible isoneotype of this name.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1224:

Synonym:

"*Borreria gracillima* DC., Prodr. 4: 543. 1830, **syn. nov.** - *Spermacoce gracillima* (DC.) Delprete, Rev. Biol. Neotrop. 3: 72. "2006" [2007]. Type: Brazil. Tocantins: "Goyaz, prope São João da Palma" [agora cidade de Paranã, 12°36'S, 47°52'W], s.d., *Pohl 1242* (holótipo, G-DC; isótipo, F)."

Type: BRAZIL. Tocantins: "Goyaz, prope São João da Palma" [now town of Paranã], s.d., *J.B.E. Pohl 1242* (holotype, G-DC [barcode G00667099]; isotypes, BR [barcode 000000530526], M [barcode M-0187065]). Photograph 6712 at F of a B specimen, which is another isotype, and is now destroyed.

Notes: There is a sole specimen in G-DC associated with *Borreria gracillima* DC., with barcode G00667099. On the sheet are pinned two labels, "*Spermacoce tenuissima*, Brésil, m. Pohl 1828" handwritten by an unknown author. The number "1828" corresponds to the year of collection of the specimen and is not a collection number. On the lower right corner is pinned a label with the annotation "*Borreria? gracillima* DC." handwritten by Candolle. This specimen is the holotype of *B. gracillima*.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1229:

"56-16. *Spermacoce ocymifolia* Willd. ex Roem. & Schult., Syst. Veg. 3: 530. 1819. - *Hemidiodia ocymifolia* (Willd. ex Roem. & Schult.) K.Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 30. pl. 72. 1888. - *Diodia ocymifolia* (Willd. ex Roem. & Schult.) Bremek., Rec. Trav. Bot. Néerl. 31: 305. 1934. - *Borreria ocymifolia* (Willd. ex Roem. & Schult.) Bacigalupo & Cabral, Opera Bot. Belg. 307. 1996. Tipo: "India occidentalis. *Rudolphi*" (holótipo, B-Willd.)."

Accepted name: *Spermacoce ocymifolia* Willd.

Type: HAITI. “Santo Domingo”, s.d. [1796–1801], A. Poiteau s.n. dedit K.A. Rudolphi (holotype B-W [barcode B -W 02609 -01 0]).

Notes: Roemer and Schultes (1818: 530) under “Species SPERMACOCES ex Herbario Willdenoviano” presented the description and cited the material examined of *Spermacoce ocymifolia* Willd. as “43. Spermacoce ocymifolia; floribus verticillatis, staminibus inclusis, setis stipularum longioribus, ramulis foliisque subtus pubescentibus. W. Mss. S. *decidua* Bosc. *India occidentalis*. Rudolphi.” At B-W, there is a sheet, barcode B -W 02609 -01 0, in a folder with a label handwritten by Willdenow with exactly the same text, word for word as that published by Roemer and Schultes. Willdenow also wrote directly on the lower right corner of the sheet “Rudolphi. W.” On the upper left corner is a label bearing “Spermacoce portoricensis, Diodia ocimifolia K. Sch.” annotated by K. Schumann. On the lower left corner is a label with the annotation “Sine dubio, E Sto. Domingo (Haiti) leg. Poiteau, 1909 det. I. Urban.” This specimen was collected by A. Poiteau in Haiti, provided by Rudolphi to Willdenow, and included in the Willdenow herbarium.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1234:

“56-17. *Spermacoce ovalifolia* (M. Martens & Galeotti) Hemsl., Biol. Centr. Amer. Bot. 2: 59. 1881. - *Borreria ovalifolia* M. Martens & Galeotti, Bull. Acad. Roy. Sci. Bruxelles 11: 129. 1844. Tipo: México, “dans le savane et dans le endroits humides de la colonie de Mirador, à 3000 pieds, fl. blanches, février” *H. Galeotti 2606* (holótipo, BR).”

Accepted name: *Spermacoce ovalifolia* (M. Martens & Galeotti) Hemsl.

Type: MEXICO. Veracruz: Mirador, “dans le savane et dans le endroits humides de la colonie de Mirador, à 3000 pieds, fl. blanches, février” *H. Galeotti 2606* (BR [barcode 000000532599], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotypes, BR [barcode 000000532566], K [barcode K000470223]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Borreria ovalifolia* M. Martens & Galeotti, Martens and Galeotti (1844: 129) cited the gathering *Galeotti 2606*. As they worked at BR, a specimen has commonly been cited as holotype. However, at BR there are two specimens of *Galeotti 2606*.

Specimen with barcode 000000532599 has a label with handwritten annotation “*Borreria ovalifolia* Nobis, In humidis Mirador” and the printed text “Jun.–Oct. Coll. H. Galeotti, 1840. Cordillera. (Vera-Cruz) Mexico.” This specimen is here designated the lectotype of *Borreria ovalifolia*.

The other specimen of *Galeotti 2606* at BR, with barcode 000000532566, has a label with the handwritten text “2606. *Borreria ovalifolia* nobis, Mirador” and the printed text “Coll. Galeotti, Mexico, prov^{ce} de____, hteur____, 184_. fl.” This specimen is an isolectotype of *Borreria ovalifolia*.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1241:

“56-18. *Spermacoce poaya* A. St. Hil., Plant. Us. Bras. 3: 1-3, tab 12 [p. 63-66]. 1824. - *Borreria poaya* (St. Hil.) DC., Prodr. 4: 549. 1830. Tipo: Brasil, Minas Gerais, sem localidade, s.d. [1816-1821], A. *Saint-Hilaire 340* (lectótipo, P, aqui selecionado; isolectótipo, P).”

Accepted name: *Spermacoce poaya* A. St. Hil.

Type: BRAZIL: Without locality, s.d. [1816–1821], A. *Saint-Hilaire 340* (P [barcode P02285120], lectotype designated by Delprete (2010c: 1241); isolectotype, P [barcode P02285121]).

Notes. In the protologue of *Spermacoce poaya* A. St. Hil., Saint-Hilaire (1824: 3) stated that this species was extremely common in the natural pastures of the state of Minas Gerais, and did not cite any collection. His own collections of this species, although not directly cited in his publications, are original material because they were examined by him. Delprete (2010c: 1241) designated as lectotype a specimen of *Saint-Hilaire 340*, to which was later assigned barcode P02285120, and cited the isolectotype, a duplicate of that gathering at P to which was later assigned barcode P02285121.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1241:

Synonym:

“*Spermacoce gentianoides* A. St. Hil., Plant. Us. Bras. 3: 3, tab 12. 1824. Type: Brazil. Minas Gerais: sem localidade, s.d., A. *Saint-Hilaire s.n.* (*Catal. B1 N. 1318*) (lectótipo, P, aqui escolhido; provavel isolectótipo MPU).”

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais: Without locality, s.d., A. *Saint-Hilaire Catal. B1 N. 1318* (P [barcode P02285123], lectotype designated by Delprete

(2010c: 1241); probable isoelectotype MPU [barcode MPU022477 (without collection number)].

Notes. In the protologue of *Spermacoce gentianoides* A. St. Hil., Saint-Hilaire (1824: 3) did not cite any locality, collector, or collector number. Delprete (2010c: 1241) designated as lectotype the specimen *A. Saint-Hilaire s.n.* (*Catal. B1 N. 1318*) in P, collected by Saint-Hilaire in the state of Minas Gerais to which was later assigned barcode P02285123.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1241:

Synonym:

“*Spermacoce poaya* var. *pubescens* A. St. Hil., Plant. Us. Bras. 3: 3, tab 12. 1824. Type: “Paturages naturels, Province des Missions, Serra-de-S.-Xavier” *A. Saint-Hilaire s.n.* (*Catal. C2 N. 2774 bis*) (lectótipo, P, aqui escolhido; isoelectótipo, P).”

Type: BRAZIL. São Paulo: “Paturages naturels, Province des Missions, Serra-de-S.-Xavier,” [Serra de São Francisco Xavier, Mun. São José dos Campos], s.d., *A. Saint-Hilaire Catal. C2 N. 2774 bis* (P [barcode P02285124], lectotype designated by Delprete (2010c: 1241); isoelectotype, P [barcode P02285125], MPU [barcode MPU022468]).

Notes. In the protologue of *Spermacoce poaya* var. *pubescens* A. St. Hil., Saint-Hilaire (1824: 3) stated (translated from French) “This variety grows in districts more southern than the preceding [the typical variety]. I found it in natural pastures near the town of *Moronga*, province of São Paulo, and those near the *Serra-de-S.-Xavier* in the province of Missions.” Delprete (2010c: 1241) designated as lectotype a specimen in P, collected by Saint-Hilaire in the Serra de São Francisco Xavier, to which was later assigned barcode P02285124.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1250:

“56-19. *Spermacoce pulchristipula* (Bremek.) Delprete, J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 1: 1027. 2007. - *Diodia pulchristipula* Bremek., Rec. Trav. Bot. Néerl. 33: 713. 1936. - *Borreria pulchristipula* (Bremek.) Bacigalupo & E.L. Cabral, Bol. Soc. Argent. Bot. 34: 151. 2000. Tipo: Suriname, Sipaliwini Savanna, Camp XI, near the Brazilian border, 10/XII/1935 (fl, fr), *Rombouts 360* (holótipo, U; isotipo, US; foto-US em NY).”

Accepted name: *Spermacoce pulchristipula* (Bremek.) Delprete

Type: SURINAME: Sipaliwini Savanna, Camp XI, near the Brazilian border, 10 Dec. 1935 (fl, fr), *H.E. Rombouts 360* (holotype, U [barcode U0005986]; isotypes, K [barcode K000470316], US [barcode 00130069]; photo-US at NY).

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1254:

“56-20. *Spermacoce pumila* (DC.) Pohl ex B.D. Jacks., Index Kew. 2: 958. 1896. - *Borreria pumila* DC., Prodr. 4: 543. 1830; non *Borreria tenella* (Kunth) Cham. & Schltdl. var. *pumila* K. Schum. in Martius et al., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 54. 1888 [= *Spermacoce burchellii* (E.L. Cabral & Bacigalupo) Delprete]. Tipo: Brasil, sem localidade, s.d., *Pohl s.n.* (holótipo, G-DC).”

Accepted name: *Spermacoce pumila* (DC.) Pohl ex B.D. Jacks.

Type: BRAZIL: Without locality, s.d., *J.B.E. Pohl s.n.* (holotype, G-DC [barcode G00667113]; isotype, W [Acc. No. W0028712]).

Notes: There is a sole sheet associated with this name at G-DC, with barcode G00667113. On the lower right corner is pinned a label with the annotation “*Spermacoce pumila* Pohl, *Borreria* _____ [pumila] DC.” handwritten by Candolle. At the base of the specimen is glued a label with the annotation “*Spermacoce pumila*, Brésil, m. Pohl 1828.” The number “1828” corresponds to the year of collection of the specimen and is not a collection number. The specimen consists of a small branch with numerous nodes with linear leaves, and numerous capitate inflorescences subtended by numerous linear leaves. This specimen is the holotype of *Borreria pumila*.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1257:

“56-21. *Spermacoce reflexa* (J.H. Kirkbr.) Govaerts, World Checklist Seed Pl. 2: 18. 1996. - *Borreria reflexa* J.H. Kirkbr., Acta Amaz. 10: 112, fig. 18. 1980. Tipo: Brasil, Bahia, Espigão Mestre, serra a 22 km W de Barreiras, ca. 620 m, 2/III/1972 (fl, fr), *W.R. Anderson, M. Stieber & J.H. Kirkbride Jr. 36482* (holótipo, UB; isotipos, NY, US).”

Accepted name: *Spermacoce reflexa* (J.H. Kirkbr.) Govaerts

Type: BRAZIL. Bahia: Espigão Mestre, serra at 22 km W from Barreiras, ca. 620 m, 2 Mar. 1972 (fl, fr), *W.R. Anderson, M. Stieber & J.H. Kirkbride Jr. 36482*

(holotype, UB [barcode UB0041228]; isotypes, F [Acc. No. 1886298], MO [Acc. No. 2817627], NY [barcode 01033069], US [barcode 00169806]).

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1261:

“56-22. *Spermacoce scabiosoides* (Cham. & Schltdl.) Kuntze, Revis. Gen. Pl. 3: 123. 1898 (como “scabiosodes”). - *Borreria scabiosoides* Cham. & Schltdl., Linnaea 3: 318. 1828. Tipo: Brasil, Rio de Janeiro, s.d., *F. Sellow s.n.* (holótipo B, destruído).”

Accepted name: *Spermacoce scabiosoides* (Cham. & Schltdl.) Kuntze

Type: BRAZIL. Bahia: Mun. Barreiras, Prainha, margem do Rio das Ondas, 12°08'48"S, 45°00'55"W, 456 m, 1 Apr. 2009, *D. Cardoso, R.M. Salas & A.A. Cabaña-Fader 2626* (first-step neotype designated by Cabral et al. (2011: 265); HUEFS [Acc. No. 149536, barcode HUEFS000033396] **second-step neotype here designated**; isoneotypes CTES n.v., K n.v., SI n.v.).

Notes: Delprete (2010c: 1261) cited the type of *Borreria scabiosoides* Cham. & Schltdl. as “Brasil, Rio de Janeiro, s.d., *Sellow s.n.* (holótipo B, destruído).” In the image of the B specimen, destroyed during WWII, the label indicates that it was collected by Sellow and has the collection number 323. However, no specimen of *Sellow 323* of *B. scabiosoides* can be found in any herbarium. In addition, specimens of *Sellow 323* from the state of São Paulo are syntypes of *Mollinedia chrysophylla* Perkins (Monimiaceae) and are present at BR, GH, K, and P.

Cabral et al. (2011: 265) about *Borreria scabiosoides* wrote “Tipo: Brasil, Rio de Janeiro, *F. Sellow s.n.* (Holótipo B†, Fot. F 884 en CTES!). Epitipo, aquí seleccionado: Brasil, Bahia, Barreiras, Prainha, margem do Rio das Ondas, 12°08'48"S, 45°00'55"W, 456 m, 1/V/2009, *D. Cardoso, R.M. Salas & A.A. Cabaña-Fader 2626* (HUEFS!, CTES!, K!, SI!).” In their discussion, they confirmed that no original specimen of *Sellow 223* of *B. scabiosoides* can be found, and that in the photograph of the destroyed B specimen, which they called “phototype” (as “fototipo (F 884)”) is shown a flowering branch. However, details of flowers and seeds are not available in the photograph. They proposed as “epitype” a gathering from the state of Bahia, with duplicates distributed in numerous herbaria. In the current *Code*, the term “phototype” does not exist, and it has no meaning. Also, in the absence of original material, it is not possible to designate an epitype.

Article 9.10 of the *Code* allows the correction of an epitype to a neotype designation. As the conditions

required by Art. 7.11 of the *Code* are met, the citation of *Cardoso et al. 2626* as epitype can be corrected to a neotype designation. However, as Cabral et al. (2011) cited four specimens as “epitype”, namely HUEFS, CTES, K, and SI, their citation is here interpreted as a first-step neotype designation. Following their citation, the specimen *Cardoso et al. 2626* at HUEFS is here designated as a second-step neotype.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1264:

“56-23. *Spermacoce schumanniana* (Taub. ex Ule) Govaerts, World Checklist Seed Pl. 2: 18. 1996. - *Borreria schumanniana* Taub. ex Ule in Cruls, Rapp. Comm. Expl. Plat. Centr. Bresil: 351. 1894. Tipo: Brasil, Goiás, em campos abertos perto do Rio Paranaíba, /II/1893 (fl, fr), *Ule 2960* (holótipo B destruído; lectótipo HBG, escolhido por Delprete, 2007).”

Accepted name: *Spermacoce schumanniana* (Taub.) Govaerts

Notes: *Borreria schumanniana* Taub. ex Ule was first published as a *nomen nudum* in Cruls (1894: 351). The same name was validly published by Taubert (1895: 453) with a detailed description, and the citation of the material studied as “in locis apertis ad flumen Paranahyba: Ule n. 2960.” Hence the authority of this name should be assigned only to Taubert.

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: “Auf freien stellen an Paranahyba” [open fields near Rio Paranaíba], Feb. 1893 (fl, fr), *E. Ule 2960* (holotype B†; lectotype HBG [barcode HBG-506551], designated by Delprete (2007: 1028); isolectotype R [2 sheets, Acc. No. 42218, barcodes R000042218, R000042218a]).

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1268:

“56-24. *Spermacoce schumannii* (Standl. ex Bacigalupo) Delprete in Reis, Fl. Ilustr. Catarin. RUBI 2: 754. 2005. - *Diodia gymnocephala* K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 16. 1888, *nom. conf.*; non *Borreria gymnocephala* DC., Prodr. 4: 549. 1830 [= *Spermacoce palustris* (Cham. & Schltdl.) Delprete] - *Diodia schumannii* Standl. ex Bacigalupo in A. Burkart, Fl. Ilustr. Entre Ríos. Colecc. Ci. Inst. Nac. Tecnol. Agropec. 6(6) 15, fig. 5. 1974, *nom. illeg.* - *Borreria flavovirens* Bacigalupo & E.L. Cabral, Hickenia 2 (56): 261. 1998, *nom. nov. superfl.* (baseado em *D. schumannii* Standl. ex Bacigalupo). Tipo: Argentina, Entre Ríos, Dpto. La Paz, Isla Curuzú-Chalí, 10/IV/1968 (fl, fr), *Burkart et al. 27103*

(holótipo, SI n.v.; isótipo, CTES n.v.; fotos-CTES em NY, UFG).”

Accepted name: *Spermacoce schumannii* (Standl. ex Bacigalupo) Delprete

Notes: A separate article dealing with the complex nomenclature of this species is being prepared by Kirkbride et al. (submitted).

Type: ARGENTINA. Entre Ríos: Dpto. La Paz, Isla Curuzú-Chalí, 10 Apr. 1968 (fl, fr), A. Burkart, N.S. Troncoso, E.R. Guaglianone & R.A. Palacios 27103 (holotype, SI n.v.; isotypes, CTES n.v., US [barcode 01268546]; photos-CTES in NY, UFG).

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1273:

“56-25. *Spermacoce simplicicaulis* (K. Schum. ex Sucre) Govaerts, World Checklist Seed Pl. 2: 19. 1996. - *Borreria simplicicaulis* K. Schum. ex Sucre, Rodriguésia 38: 253. 1971. Tipo: Brasil, Goiás, Fazenda do Cipó, perto de Itaquira [Salto de Itaquira], II/1895 (fl), *Glaziou 21514* (holótipo, R).”

Accepted name: *Spermacoce simplicicaulis* (K.Schum. ex Sucre) Govaerts

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: “Fazenda do Cipó, perto de Itaquira” [near Itaquira Waterfall], 3 Feb. 1895 (fl), A.F.M. *Glaziou 21514* (holotype, R [Acc. no. 9976, barcode R000009976]; isotypes F [Acc. No. 1012162], P [barcode P02285135], R [Acc. no. 9976, barcode R000009976a]; possible isotype K [barcode K001060035]).

Notes: Sucre (1971: 253–254) cited the holotype of *Borreria simplicicaulis* K. Schum. ex Sucre as *Glaziou 21514* at R, with the type locality “Estado de Goiás – Fazenda do Cipó, perto de Itaquira [Salto de Itaquira]” and the collection date of February 1895. At R, there are two specimens of *Glaziou 21514*, both with Accession Number 9976, and with barcode numbers R000009976 and R000009976a. Specimen with R000009976 is labeled as holotype and has the typewritten label “*Borreria simplicicaulis* K. Schum. ex D. Sucre Sp. Nov., D. Sucre ... outubro – 1964, Nota: Exemplares com 4 sépalas” and it is here confirmed to be the holotype. Specimen R000009976a is labeled as isotype and has no typewritten label by Sucre.

It is well-known among botanists (e.g., Santos, 2016; Sleumer, 1954; Smith, 1966; Wurdack, 1970; Delprete,

2022) that Glaziou’s specimen numbering is difficult to interpret, and often misleading or erroneous. He also reported localities, which he never visited; for example, he included Amazonian collections in his herbarium citing them as collected by him in Rio de Janeiro, or specimens with the same number have labels reporting different localities (Delprete, 2022). As for *Glaziou 21514*, the labels of specimens present in different herbaria report several localities from the states of Goiás or Minas Gerais. Most likely, Glaziou’s number “21514” represents a “species number” and not a collection number.

The specimen *Glaziou 21514* at K, barcode K001060035, has a label with the heading “Brazil: Chiefly Province of Goyaz” without any further information about the collection locality. This specimen is treated as a possible isotype.

There are three specimens of *Glaziou 21514* in P. The label of the specimen with barcode P02285133 reports the collection locality as “Minas” and was annotated by Glaziou, therefore it is not original material. The specimen with barcode P02285134 has a label reporting the collection date and locality as “Minas Gerais, Saia Velha dans les campos, le 22 mars 1893”, handwritten by Glaziou, and therefore, is not original material.

The third specimen of *Glaziou 21514* at P, with barcode P02285135, has a label reporting the collection date and locality as “Fazenda du Cipo, près de la superbe cascade de Itiquira, le 3 fevrier 1895”, handwritten by Glaziou. The locality on the specimen label is in the state of Goiás, hence this specimen is here treated as an isotype of *Borreria simplicicaulis*. This specimen was incorrectly determined as “*Borreria ocymoides* (Burm. f.) DC” by E.L. Cabral (without date).

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1275:

“56-26. *Spermacoce suaveolens* (G. Mey.) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 3(2): 124. 1898. - *Borreria suaveolens* G. Mey., Prim. Fl. Esseq. 81, pl. l. 1818 (syn. P. Browne, Jam. 141 excl.). - *Borreria tenella* K. Schum. var. *suaveolens* (G. Mey.) K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 56, pl. 76, fig. 2. 1888. - *Borreria capitata* (Ruiz & Pav.) DC. var. *suaveolens* (G. Mey.) Steyerl., Mem. New York Bot. Gard. 23: 825. 1972. Tipo: G.F.W. Meyer, Prim. Fl. Esseq. Pl. 1. 1818 (lectótipo).”

Accepted name: *Spermacoce suaveolens* (G.Mey.) Kuntze

Type: [GUYANA] [protologue] “In arenosis continentis circa Arouabischkrek”, s.d. [1790–1796], E.K.

Rodshied s.n. (GOET, destroyed?). – G. Meyer, Prim. Fl. Esseq., pl. 1 [excluding figs. 1–3 to the left (*Borreria parviflora*), and figs. 1–2 to the right (*Borreria stricta*)], Nov. 1818, **lectotype here designated**.

Notes: Meyer (1818, p. [VII]), in the introduction of *Florae Essequiboensis*, explained the origin of the specimens from the Essequibo River Basin that he studied, as follows (liberal translation from Latin): “[...] The specimens originated from the Rio Essequibo region [now Rio Essequibo], a colony that was ceded from the Belgians to the English in the year 1814, which is situated in Oriental America at the boreal latitude of 7 degrees, and occidental longitude of 42 degrees, at the mouth of the Essequibo River, comprising a number of islands and a portion of the continent. Such [plant] rarities were delivered [to me] from two sources. One part is a group of specimens that came into my possession, collected by Dr. Ernest Carl Rodshied, who conducted the art of medicine in the Essequibo Colony, where he died at the end of last century; the other part is a small number of specimens, previously collected in the same locality, most of which were indeterminate and some others were new [undescribed species], which were gracefully communicated to me by my dear friend Mertens, distinguished Professor of Bremen, famous for his multiple and acute wisdom, liberality, and indefatigable, with great praise for the study of the literary world, and for the promotion and development of botany. He was, no less than his son Enrich, emulator of his father, admirably praised in many branches of science [...], sharing the herbarium with his father, which is considered among the largest in Germany, who conferred on me, with my utmost gratitude, the authorization to manage this public institution.” Therefore, according to Meyer, the specimens that he studied for his *Florae Essequiboensis* were collected by Rodshied and an anonymous collector. Ernst Karl Rodshied was a German physician and botanist, who practiced in the Dutch colony at the mouth of the Essequibo River, from 1790 until his death in 1796 (Meyer, 1818: [VII]–X; Stafleu & Cowan, 1983: 833–834; Ek, 1990: 66). Rodshied wrote a book on medical practice and climatic conditions of the colony in which he also described 114 medicinal plants of that region (Rodshied, 1794). The mouth of the Essequibo River is now in Guyana, and not in Suriname as erroneously reported by several authors (e.g., Steyermark, 1972; Dwyer, 1980; Lorence, 1999; Adams & Taylor, 2012).

Vegter (1976: 530) reported that F.K. Mertens (1764–1831) collected in several European country but did not mention any trip to Guyana. According to the biography published by his son, F.K. Mertens never left continen-

tal Europe (Mertens, 1844). Ek (1990, p. 59) reported that Franz Karl (“Carl”) Mertens collected in Guyana in 1809, in the Essequibo Region and his specimens are at BM; this is probably a misinterpretation of Mertens’s biography of his father, as he reported that in 1809 his father lectured on two trips to the Essequibo River, Berbice and Demerara made by Bolingbroke (1807, 1809). On the other hand, in the same volume, Ek (1990) reported that the specimens used by Meyer for the *Florae Essequiboensis* were collected by Rodshied. However, as explained in the introduction of his work, the specimens used by Meyer came from two sources: those of Rodshied, and those from an anonymous collector, which Mertens passed to Meyer. Wiersema (2015) published a similar explanation about the original specimens used by Meyer, and added that after Mertens’ death in 1831, his herbarium was transferred to the St. Petersburg herbarium (LE; Stafleu & Cowans 1981). Therefore, a search at LE for original specimens of *Borreria suaveolens* is necessary to eventually locate original specimens (V. Dorofeyev, personal communication).

Meyer (1818: 81–84) provided an accurate description of *Borreria suaveolens* and included a detailed accompanying plate. He described this species as an erect shrub, 2–3 feet (60–90 cm) tall, much branched; with glabrous, reddish-brown bark; stems dichotomously branching, erect-patent, terete, with young stems slightly tetragonal; main leaves opposite, subtending axillary brachyblasts topped by 4–6 smaller leaves; leaf blades linear, glabrous, acuminate; stipules sub-cartilaginous, with numerous rigid, reddish setae; inflorescences in globose-capitate verticils, subtended by involucre bracts, similar to the cauline leaves, sometimes longer than the floral heads, subcoriaceous, rugose-veined, with ciliate margins, base membranaceous-setose, minute, bi- or tri-fid; flowers short-pedicellate; calyx tubular, narrow at the mouth, 4-lobed, lobes subulate, with membranous margin, in two pairs, one longer than the other pair (although in plate 1, the calyx lobes are consistently equal to each other), sometimes topped by a seta; corolla membranaceous, white, slightly longer than the calyx, tube cylindrical, wider at mouth (i.e., infundibuliform), 4-lobed, lobes lanceolate, acuminate, reflexed, with 1 or 2 faint veins, these decurrent along the tube; stamens barely longer than the corolla, anthers bilocular, oblong-elliptic, white, dehiscing by a longitudinal slit; style filiform, slightly longer than the filaments, stigma emarginated; capsule oblong-ovoid, crowned by the aristate-lobed calyx (although the calyx lobes are not aristate), bilocular, bivalved; valves oblong, margins reflexed inwards, basally connate, apex bifid and thinly hispidulous; and seeds 2, solitary, brown, oblong, some-

times narrowly so, dorsally convex, ventrally flat, with a longitudinal groove. Along with the description of *Borreria suaveolens*, Meyer (1818) cited in synonymy the polynomial “*Spermacoce fruticulosa* atque ramosa, foliis linearibus, floribus constipates ad alas. BROWN. Jam. P. 1414. N. 4.” He cited the collection locality of the material studied as “In arenosis continentis circa Arouabischkrek”, without citing the herbarium of deposit.

Several type citations of *Borreria suaveolens* are present in specialized literature; however, none of them are entirely correct, as in most of them, there are various errors, for the country of collection, the original collector, or by citing a non-existing specimen as type. Steyermark (1972: 819–826) treated *B. suaveolens* as a variety of a broadly delimited *Borreria capitata* (Ruiz & Pav.) DC., along with a discussion on the diagnostic characters that can be used to identify the varieties that he included in *B. capitata*. He cited the type of *B. suaveolens* as “In arenosis continentis circa Arouabischkrek, Suriname, Meyer” without citing any herbarium; however, G.F.W. Meyer never collected in Tropical America. Dwyer (1980, p. 54), as Steyermark, cited the type of *B. suaveolens* as “Surinam, in Arenosis Continentis circa Arouabischkrek, Meyer, not seen.” Lorence (1999: 169) cited the type of *Spermacoce suaveolens* (G. Mey.) Kuntze as “Surinam: in arenosis continentis circa Arouabischkrek, G. Meyer s.n. (Holotype GOET. n.v.)” Delprete (2010c: 1275) cited the type of this name as “G.F.W. Meyer, Prim. Fl. Esseq., pl. 1 (lectótipo).” However, according to Article 7.11 of the *Code* (Turland et al., 2018), this citation cannot be treated as a valid lectotypification because it lacks “here designated” or a similar expression.

Adams and Taylor (2012: 280) cited the type of this name as “Holotype: Surinam, *Rodshied s.n.* (GOET).” The original material of *B. suaveolens* was probably collected by Rodschied in Guyana, and supposedly integrated within the Meyer herbarium, therefore it is supposedly at GOET (Stafleu & Cowan, 1981: 447–448.). However, after several exhaustive searches by Marc Appelhans, GOET Herbarium Curator (pers. comm.), there is no original material associated with this name in that herbarium. A possible explanation for the lack of this material is that the Meyer herbarium was partly destroyed in 1880–1881, and the original material of *B. suaveolens* is no longer extant (Marc Appelhans, pers. comm.). Therefore, the only original material for this name is Plate 1, excluding figs. 1–3 to the left (*Borreria parviflora* G. Meyer), and figs. 1–2 to the right (*Borreria stricta* (L.f.) G. Meyer) of Meyer’s *Florae Essequiboensis*, which is here designated the lectotype of *B. suaveolens*.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1279:

“56-27. *Spermacoce tenella* Kunth in Humb. & Bonpl., Nov. Gen. Sp. 3: 345. 1819. - *Borreria tenella* (Kunth) Cham. & Schltld., Linnaea 3: 317. 1828. - *Borreria tenella* var. *genuina* K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 55. 1888. - *Spermacoce suaveolens* var. *tenella* (Kunth) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 3(2): 124. 1898. - *Tardavel tenella* (Kunth) Standl., Contr. U. S. Natl. Herb. 18: 122. 1916. - *Borreria capitata* (Ruiz & Pav.) DC. var. *tenella* (Kunth) Steyermark, Mem. New York Bot. Gard. 23: 823. 1972. Tipo: Venezuela, Amazonas, San Fernando de Atabapo, *Humboldt & Bonpland s.n.* (holótipo, P-Bonpl.; isótipo, B-Willd., fotos de B-Willd. em F, NY).”

Accepted name: *Spermacoce orinocensis* Willd. in Roem. & Schult.

Nomenclature and typification

Spermacoce orinocensis Willd. in Roemer & Schultes, Syst. Veg. 3: 531. Apr–Jul 1818 (“oronocensis”).

(≡) *Spermacoce tenella* Kunth in Humboldt & Bonpland, Nov. Gen. Sp. 3: 345 (ed. quarto), 270 (ed. folio). 21 Nov 1819, *nom. illeg. superfl.*

(≡) *Borreria tenella* Cham. & Schltld. in Linnaea 3: 317. 1828, *nom. illeg. superfl.*

(≡) *Borreria orinocensis* (Willd.) L.M.Miguel, Sobrado & E.L.Cabral, Darwiniana, n.s. 10(2): 407. 2022.

Type: VENEZUELA. Amazonas: “prope San Fernando Atabapo”, s.d., A.J.A.G. *Bonpland & F.W.H.A. Humboldt s.n.* [913] (holotype, B [barcode B –W 02637 -01 0]; isotypes, HAL [barcode HAL0098363], P-Bonpl. [barcode P00671089]).

Notes: For additional comments on the nomenclature and typification of the the names associated with this species, see Kirkbride and Wiersema (2020), and Miguel et al. (2022).

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1289:

“56-28. *Spermacoce tocantinsiana* (E.L. Cabral & Bacigalupo) Delprete, J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 1: 1028. 2007. - *Borreria tocantinsiana* E.L. Cabral & Bacigalupo, Kew Bull. 59: 284. 2004. Tipo: Brasil, Tocantins, Mun. Conceição do Tocantins, Faz. Cartão de Visita, 400 m, 11/V/2000 (fl, fr), *Hatschbach, Schinini & Barboza 70928* (holótipo, MBM; isótipo, CTES n.v.).”

Accepted name: *Spermacoce tocantinsiana* (E.L.Cabral & Bacigalupo) Delprete

Type: BRAZIL. Tocantins: Mun. Conceição do Tocantins, Rod. TO-050, 5 km S de Conceição do Tocantins, Fazenda Cartão de Visita, 400 m, 11 May 2000 (fl, fr), G. Hatschbach, A. Schinini & E. Barboza 70928 (holotype, MBM [barcode MBM251462]; isotypes, CTES [barcode CTES0013514], RB [Acc. No. 463511, barcode 00543481], SI n.v.).

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1290:

“56-29. *Spermacoce verticillata* L., Sp. Pl. 102. 1753. - *Borreria verticillata* (L.) G. Mey., Prim. Fl. Esseq., 83. 1818. - *Bigelowia verticillata* (L.) Spreng., Syst. Veget. 1: 404. 1825. - Non *Spermacoce verticillata* sensu Vell., Fl. Flum. 1, tab. 127. 1825. [= *Spermacoce tenella* Kunth]. Tipo: Material cultivado no Jardim de Clifford (holótipo, LINN).”

Accepted name: *Spermacoce verticillata* L.

Type: [icon] “*Spermacoce verticillis globosis*” in Dillenius, Hort. Eltham. 2: 369, t. 277, f. 358. 1732, lectotype designated by Rendle, J. Bot. 72: 331. 1934.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1297:

“56-30. *Spermacoce vulpina* (Standl.) Govaerts, World Checklist Seed Pl. 2: 19. 1996. - *Borreria vulpina* Standl., Publ. Field Mus., Bot. 8: 389. 1931. Tipo: Brasil, Mato Grosso, Cuiabá, Coches da Ponte, III/1911 (fl, fr), F.C. Beni 2802 (holótipo, B, destruído; fragmento-B em F, foto-F em NY).”

Accepted name: *Spermacoce vulpina* (Standl.) Govaerts

Type: BRAZIL. Mato Grosso: Cuiabá, Coxipó da Ponte, Mar. 1911 (fl, fr), F.C. Hoene 2802 (F [(ex B) Acc. No. 638767, barcode F0068552F], **lectotype here designated**).

Notes: In the protologue of *Borreria vulpina* Standl., Standley (1931: 389) cited as holotype a specimen of Hoene 2802 at B, which was destroyed during WWII. A photograph of that specimen (F0BN049861) shows a label with the heading “Comissão Rondon, F.C. Hoene, Botanico” the typewritten annotations “Março 1911, num. 2802, Rubiaceae, Coxipó da Ponte, Cuyabá”, and the annotations “*Borreria vulpina* Standl.” handwritten by Standley. After an extensive search in numerous her-

baria, the sole extant original material associated with this name that could be found are the fragments included in an envelope affixed on the sheet with Accession No. 638767 at F. The fragments, consisting of two flowering heads subtended by four bracts, are sufficient for the identifications of the species and application of the name. The F specimen is here designated the lectotype of *Borreria vulpina* Standl.

Delprete (2010c: 1297) incorrectly reported the collector of the original gathering of this name as “F.C. Beni 2802”. The reported last name “Beni” is a typographical error, as the collector of this gathering was Frederico Carlos Hoene (1882–1959).

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1300:

“56-31. *Spermacoce warmingii* (K. Schum.) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. 3(2): 123. 1898. - *Borreria warmingii* K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 42. 1888. Tipo: Brasil, Minas Gerais, Lagoa Santa, s.d., *Warming s.n.* (holótipo, B, destruído, foto em F, NY).”

Accepted name: *Spermacoce warmingii* (K.Schum.) Kuntze

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais: Lagoa Santa, s.d., E. Warming s.n. (C [barcode C10018075], **lectotype here designated**; isolectotype C [barcode C10018076]).

Notes: Schumann (1888: 42) cited the material studied of *Borreria warmingii* K. Schum. as “Habitat in provincia Minaes Gerais ad Lagoa Santa: Warming.” The holotype specimen at B was destroyed during WWII. Two original specimens of *Warming s.n.* of *B. warmingii* are at C. The specimen with barcode C10018075, is composed of two plants with a terminal flowering head. The specimen label has the heading “Herbarium Eug. Warming” and the handwritten text “*Borreria warmingii* K. Sch.” by K. Schumann, and “Legit: Eug. Wrmg. [Warming] ad Lagoa Santa.” This specimen is here designated the lectotype of *Borreria warmingii*.

The other specimen at C, with barcode C10018076, consists of a single plant without the distal portion and a distal portion of a plant with a terminal flowering head. Most likely the fertile distal portion is the continuation of the vegetative portion. On the sheet are affixed two labels, one with the annotation “Martius Hb. Fl. Bras. 1058 ex p.” with the printed text “det. Schumann in Fl. Bras.” The other label has the heading “Herbarium Eug. Warming” and the handwritten text (unknown author) “*Borreria Warmingii* Schum., legit Warming ad Lagoa

Santa 2/3 64 [probably meaning that it was collected on 2 March 1864], determ. Schum. [K. Schumann].” This specimen is an isolectotype of *Borreria warmingii*.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1307:

“56-32. *Spermacoce wunschmannii* (K. Schum.) Kuntze, Revis. Gen. Pl. 3(2): 123. 1898. - *Borreria wunschmannii* K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 53. 1888. Tipo: Brasil, Tocantins, “prope Porto Real” [agora Porto Nacional], s.d. [XI/1828 -IV/1829], *Burchell* 8683 (lectótipo, BR, designado por Cabral & Bacigalupo, 2005).”

Accepted name: *Spermacoce wunschmannii* (K.Schum.) Kuntze

Type: BRAZIL. Tocantins: “prope Porto Real” [now Porto Nacional], s.d. [Nov. 1828–Apr. 1829], *W.J. Burchell* 8683 (BR [barcode 000000530562], lectotype designated by Cabral and Bacigalupo (2005: 139); isolectotype K [barcode K000470350]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Borreria wunschmannii* K. Schum., Schumann (1888: 53–54) cited several gatherings as “Habitat in Brasiliae provincia Goyaz prope Porto Real: *Burchell* n. 8683; inter Funil et S. João ad fluvium Tocantins: *Burchell* n. 8950, 8977.” The state of Goiás at the time of Burchell was much larger and extended all the way to the Amazon Basin, and in 1988 was divided in two states, Goiás and Tocantins. The city cited by Schumann as Porto Real is now in the state of Tocantins and currently has the name of Porto Nacional. Cabral & Bacigalupo (2005: 139) designated the BR specimen of *Burchell* 8683 as the lectotype of *B. wunschmannii*.

57. **STACHYARRHENA** Hook. f., Hooker’s Icon. Pl. 11: 54-55, tab. 1068. 1870.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1311:

“57-1. *Stachyarrhena* cf. *harleyi* J.H. Kirkbr., Rev. Bras. Bot. 6: 114. 1984 [“1983”]. Tipo: Brasil, Bahia, 20 km N along road from Una to Ilheus, 15°11’S, 39°02’W, 0-100 m, 23/I/1977 (fl), *R. Harley et al.* 18184 (holótipo, UB; isótipos, CEPEC, K n.v.).”

Accepted name: *Stachyarrhena* cf. *harleyi* J.H.Kirkbr.

Type: BRAZIL. Bahia: 20 km N along road from Una to Ilheus, evergreen rain forest, partially dis-

turbed, 15°11’S, 39°2’W, 0–100 m, 23 Jan. 1977 (fl), *R. Harley, S.J. Mayo, R.M. Storr, T.S. Santos & R.S. Pinheiro* 18184 (holotype, UB [Acc. No. 21423]; isotypes, CEPEC [Acc. No. 20527], HUEFS [Acc. No. 42135], K [2 sheets, barcodes K000015178, K000015179]).

Gatherings in the state of Tocantins: Mun. Tranqueira, mata de galeria, 8°11’S, 48°8’W, 15 Jan. 2002 (fr), *S.F. Lolis et al.* 361 (HTO); Mun. Itacajá, Reserva Indígena Krahó, Aldeia Pedra Branca, ca. 600 m a NE da aldeia, passagem antiga, 8°18’S, 47°37’W, 9 May 2000 (fr), *A.A. Santos et al.* 715 (CEN).

Notes: The two above cited fruiting gatherings collected in the state of Tocantins were provisionally identified as “*Stachyarrhena* cf. *harleyi* J.H.Kirkbr.” Most likely, those specimens represent an undescribed species, but, as no flowering specimens are available, they remain with uncertain identity.

58. **STAELIA** Cham. & Schldl., Linnaea 3: 364, tab. 3, fig. 3. 1828.

Notes: Salas and Cabral (2010a, 2010b, 2010c), as a result of morphological comparison of species within *Staelia sensu lato*, segregated the genera *Tessiera* DC. and *Planaltina* R.M. Salas & E.L. Cabral from *Staelia*, presenting a set of flower, fruit, pollen, and vegetative characters to differentiate them. Following Salas and Cabral (2010a, 2010b, 2010c), the three genera of the *Staelia* complex are: 1) *Tessiera*, a genus of two species endemic to Mexico; 2) *Planaltina*, a genus with three species endemic to the Brazilian Planalto, occurring in the states of Goiás, Minas Gerais and the Federal District; 3) *Staelia*, a genus of about 17 species occurring in Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Paraguay and Uruguay. A key to differentiate these three genera, extracted from Salas et al. (2010b), translated from Spanish, is presented below.

1. Leaves pseudoverticillate, with axillary brachyblasts; leaf blades with inconspicuous secondary veins; stipular sheath with glabrous lacinia; fruit with longitudinal and oblique dehiscence; basal portion of fruit consists of the septum, pedicel and basal portion of carpels; calyx 2-lobed, lobes equal; corolla commonly white; style bifid; seeds 0.8–1.2 mm long, ventral side without transversal grooves (except *Staelia tocantinsiana* R.M. Salas & E.L. Cabral); pollen grains small, with large ectocolpi.....***Staelia***
1. Leaves opposite, without axillary brachyblasts; leaf blades with 4–7 conspicuous secondary veins on each side of midrib; stipular sheath with pubescent lacinia; fruit with

longitudinal dehiscence; basal portion of fruit consists of the septum and the pedicel; calyx 4–7-lobed, lobes equal or unequal; corolla white, pink, violet or lilac; style capitate or capitate-lobed; seeds 1.5–2.5 mm long, ventral side with (3–)6–12 transversal grooves extending to the dorsal side (except *Planaltina lanigera*); pollen grains large, with short ectocolpi 2

2. Stems scabrous, puberulous, glabrescent or glabrous; stipular sheath hirsute or villous; leaf blades papyraceous to subcoriaceous; corolla throat with a discontinuous ring of 4 tufts of hairs alternate to the lobes; nectariferous disk 2-lobed; pollen grains suboblate, 13–14-colporate; exine perforations without thickening; fruit with both carpels equally developed; intercarpellary septum membranaceous, with seed impressions; seed ventral side flat, dorsal side with transversal narrow grooves or lobed; seed episperm irregularly reticulate, with periclinal walls irregularly microperforate *Tessiera*
2. Stems lanate, hirtous or hispid, sometimes with a glabrescent basal portion; stipular sheath densely lanate or hispid; leaf blades thick, coriaceous when dry; corolla throat with a continuous ring of hairs; nectariferous disk entire; pollen grains spheroidal, 10–11-colporate; exine perforations with thickening; fruits with one carpel more developed than the other; intercarpellary septum coriaceous, without seed impressions; seed ventral side with transversal grooves, dorsal side without transversal grooves (except *P. capitata*); seed episperm regularly reticulate-foveolate or reticulate-areolate, with polyhedral cells with smooth periclinal walls *Planaltina*

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1320:

“58-1. *Staelia aurea* K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 77. 1888. Tipo: Brasil, Piauí, “Provinciae Piauhya parte septentrionale”, IV/1839 (fl), *Gardner 2192* (holótipo B, destruído; lectótipo K, aqui designado; isoelectótipos, F, P, US, W; foto-B em NY).”

Accepted name: *Staelia aurea* K.Schum.

Type: BRAZIL. Piauí: “Provinciae Piauhya parte septentrionale”, “Oeiras”, Apr. 1839 (fl), *G. Gardner 2192* (B†; first-step lectotype designated by Salas and Souza in Delprete (2010c: 1320); W [Acc. No. W0028587], **second-step lectotype here designated**; isoelectotypes, BM [barcode 000546732], F [2 sheets, Acc. No. 775774, 775775], K [2 sheets, K000016432, K000016433], P [barcode P02285104, P02285105, P02285106], S [Acc. No. S05-1677], US [barcode 00130115], W [Acc. No. W 1889-0113605]; photo-B in NY).

Notes: In the protologue of *Staelia aurea* K.Schum., Schumann (1888: 77–78) cited the following gatherings

from the states of Piauí, Goiás and Tocantins: *Gardner 2192*, *Burchell 8995*, *Burchell 7731*, and *Martius s.n.*

Salas and Souza (2010: 1320) cited as lectotype of *Staelia aurea* the gathering *Gardner 2192* at K. There are two specimens of *Gardner 2192* at K, both without any proof that they were examined by Schumann. Therefore, Salas and Souza’s citation is a first-step lectotypification on *Gardner 2192*. The specimen with barcode K000016432 has the stamp “Herbarium Hookerianum” and a label with the annotation “2192. Rubiaceae sp. Dry hilly places near Oeiras. April 1839” handwritten by Gardner. On the sheet, there are affixed two plants with numerous flowers and fruits. The second specimen in K with barcode K000470393 has the stamp “Herbarium Benthamianum.” On the sheet, there are four plants with numerous flowers and fruits. On the base of these two plants, is glued a label with the printed text “Prov. Piauhya, Brasilia tropica, Gardner, 1839” and the handwritten number “2192.”

At W there are two specimens of *Gardner 2192*. The specimen with accession number W0028587 has a label with the annotation “*Staelia aurea* m.” and the stamp “det. Schumann in Fl. Bras.” This specimen was annotated as lectotype of *S. aurea* by Kirkbride in 1979, but that choice was not published. That specimen is here designated as the second-step lectotype of this name.

The other specimen at W, with accession number W 1889-0113605, has a small label with the handwritten annotation “*Staelia*, 2192. Piauhya, Gardner”. This specimen was not annotated by Schumann and is an isoelectotype.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1324:

“58-2. *Staelia capitata* K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 72. 1888. Brasil, Minas Gerais, “inter Alegre et fluvium São Francisco”, IX/1834, *Riedel 2880* (holótipo, B, destruído; lectótipo, K, aqui designado; isoelectótipo, BR; foto-B em CTES).”

Accepted name: *Planaltina capitata* (K.Schum.) R.M.Salas & E.L.Cabral, J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 4(1): 199. 2010b.

Type: BRAZIL. Minas Gerais: “inter Alegre et fluvium São Francisco”, Sep. 1834, *L. Riedel 2880 pro parte* (B†; first-step lectotype designated by Salas & Cabral (Jul. 2010b: 199); K [barcode K000470402], **second-step lectotype here designated**; isoelectotype, BR *pro parte* [barcode 000000574157, specimen on the right side of the sheet]; photo-B in CTES and F).

Notes: In the protologue of *Staelia capitata* K.Schum., Schumann (1888: 72–73) cited the material

studied as “*Habitat in campis arenosis inter Alegres et fluvium S. Francisco: Riedel n. 2880 ex p.; in herb. Monacensis quoque exemplum a cl. Pohl loco haud indicato collectum asservatur.*” The original material at B has been destroyed, and only a photograph of the B specimen of *Riedel 2880* is available at F (barcode F0BN000899). The lectotype citation of *Staelia capitata* on a K sheet was first published by Salas and Cabral (2010b: 199) on 29 July 2010. The same lectotype designation was republished in the FGT by Salas and Souza (in Delprete, 2010c: 1320) in November 2010. On the K sheet cited by Salas and Cabral, there are two labels and two different specimens of a mixed collection of *Riedel 2880*. Because Salas and Cabral (2010b: 199) in their publications did not indicate which of the two specimens is the lectotype, a second-step designation is necessary.

On the K specimen cited by Salas and Cabral (2010b: 199), there are two labels. One label has the heading “Ex herbario horti Petropolitani” and the annotation “*Staelia capitata* K. Schum., Brasilia, In campis arenosis inter Alegres et R. St. Francisco, IX. 1834. Riedel.” The other label has the following annotation “522. no. 2880. Borreria. C. erecto 2 ped. villosus, fol. rigidis, jubit. villosis, flor. albis verticill. In campibus arenosis Alegres et R. St. Francisco, sept 1834. Riedel” handwritten by Riedel. Near the left margin of the sheet, specimen with barcode K000470402 is *Riedel 2880 pro parte*, which is original material of *Staelia capitata*. On the right side of that specimen, there is a small plant of *Mitracarpus* sp., with barcode K000470403, which is not original material. Specimen with K000470402 is here designated the second-step lectotype of *Staelia capitata*.

On the sheet of *Riedel 2880* at BR with barcode 000000574157, there is also a mixed collection. The label on the sheet has the heading “Ex herbario horti Petropolitani”, the stamp “Herb. Hort. Bruxell. – Coll. Martii” and the handwritten annotation (author unknown) “219. No. 2880. Borreria. In camp. arenosis inter Alegres et Rio St. Francisco. Sept. 34. Brasilia. Riedel.” The specimen affixed on the left side of the sheet is a species of *Mitracarpus*. The specimen on the right side of the sheet is original material of *Staelia capitata* and is an isolectotype.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1328:

“58-3. *Staelia galioides* DC., Prodr. 4: 573. 1830. Tipo: Brasil, Goiás, “inter Goyas et Santa Rita” [entre as cidades de Goiás e Santa Rita], s.d. [1818-1819], *Pohl 2013-822* (holótipo, G-DC; isotipos, BR, K, W).”

Accepted name: *Staelia galioides* DC.

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: “inter Goyas et Santa Rita” [between the towns of Goiás and Santa Rita], s.d. [1818–1819], *J.B.E. Pohl 2013 (822d)* (holotype, G-DC [barcode G00667501 (without collection number)]; isotypes, BR [barcode 000000532747 (without collection number)], K [3 sheets, barcodes K000470398, K000470399, K000470400], M [barcode M-0189332 (without collection number), W [2 sheets, barcode W0028585, W0028586]].

Notes: In the protologue *Staelia galioides* DC., Candolle (1830: 573) cited the material studied as “In Brasiliã legit cl. Pohl.” and “(v.s.)” which means that he saw a specimen in G-DC. In G-DC there is a sole specimen associated with this name, with barcode G00667501. On the lower right corner of the sheet is affixed a label with the annotation “Spermacoce galioides Pohl! – *Stælia*_____ [galioides] DC.” handwritten by Candolle. At the base of the specimen is affixed a label with the annotation “Spermacoce galioides, Brésil, m. Pohl 1828” handwritten by an unknown author. The number “1828” is the year that Pohl’s specimen was integrated in Candolle’s herbarium, and is not a collection number. The specimen consists of a plant with two branches with numerous nodes with linear leaves and axillary brachyblasts with numerous linear leaves. At the terminal portion of the branches are present numerous small capitate inflorescences. This specimen is the holotype of *S. galioides*.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1331:

“58-4. *Staelia lanigera* (DC.) K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 73. 1888. - *Tessiera lanigera* DC., Prodr. 4: 574. 1830. Tipo: Brasil, “prope Maria da Souza”, s.d. [1818-1819], *Pohl 5085* (holótipo, G-DC, isotipo, W).”

Accepted name: *Planaltina lanigera* (K.Schum.) R.M.Salas & E.L.Cabral, J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 4(1): 202. 2010.

Type: BRAZIL. “prope Maria da Souza”, s.d. [1818–1819], *J.B.E. Pohl 5085* (holotype, G-DC [barcode G00667492 (without collection number)], isotype, W [Acc. No. W0028588])

Notes: In the protologue of *Tessiera lanigera* DC., Candolle (1830: 574) cited the material studied as “In Brasiliã legit cl. Pohl. (v.s.)”. In G-DC there is a sole sheet associated with this name, with barcode G00667492. On the lower right corner of the sheet there is a label with the annotation “*Tessiera lanigera* DC.”

handwritten by Candolle. At the base of the specimen is affixed a label with the annotation “*Diodia!* Spermaceo! lanigera, Brésil, m. Pohl 1828” handwritten by an unknown author. The number “1828” is the year that Pohl’s specimen was integrated in Candolle’s herbarium, and is not a collection number. Pohl’s collection number of this gathering is not indicated on this sheet. The specimen consists of a long branch with numerous leaf pairs and numerous axillary inflorescences. The whole plant is lanate, hence the specific epithet. This specimen is the holotype of this name.

On a sheet in W with Accession No. W0028588 are affixed several labels. One of them has the annotation “5085, Hb. Bras., *Tessiera* [sic!] lanigera DC, Ad Mar da Sonza, Pohl”. Another label on the sheet has the annotation “*Staelia lanigera* m.” and the stamp “det. Schumann in Fl. Bras.” On the sheet are affixed two branches with numerous leaf pairs and numerous axillary inflorescences, with the characteristic lanate vestiture. This specimen is an isotype of *Tessiera lanigera* DC.

A species of *Planaltina* to be added to FGT:

Planaltina myndeliana R.M.Salas & E.L.Cabral, J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 4(1): 204. 29 Jul. 2010.

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: Mun. São João da Aliança, Córrego das Brancas, próximo a barra do Jacaré, 9 Feb. 1994, G. Hatschbach & J.M. Silva 60266 (holotype, MBM n.v.; isotype, CTES n.v.).

Accepted name: *Planaltina myndeliana* R.M.Salas & E.L.Cabral

Paratypes: BRAZIL. Goiás: Chapada dos Veadeiros, 21 Dec. 1967, A.P. Duarte 10670 (HB, RFA, UB, US).

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1334:

“58-5. ***Staelia tocantinsiana*** Salas & E.L. Cabral, Rev. Biol. Netrop. 3: 1, fig. 1. “2006” [2007]. Tipo: Brasil, Tocantins, Mun. Lagoa da Confusão, Ilha do Bananal, Parque Nacional do Araguaia, Praia do Gado, 10°24’S, 50°29’W, 180 m, 23/III/1999 (fl, fr), M.A. Silva, J.T. dos Santos, A.D. dos Santos, N.R. Oliveira, R.C. Mendonça & E. Cardoso 4091 (holótipo, IBGE; isótipos, CTES, CH, NY, RB).”

Accepted name: *Staelia tocantinsiana* R.M.Salas & E.L.Cabral

Type: BRAZIL. Tocantins: Mun. Lagoa da Confusão, Ilha do Bananal, Parque Nacional do Ara-

guaia, Praia do Gado, 10°24’2”S, 50°29’7”W, 180 m, 23 Mar. 1999 (fl, fr), M.A. Silva, J.T. dos Santos, A.D. dos Santos, N.R. Oliveira, R.C. Mendonça & E. Cardoso 4091 (holotype, IBGE [Acc. No. 046561, barcode 00046561]; isotypes, CH n.v., CTES n.v., NY [barcode 01085902]).

Notes: Salas and Cabral (2007: 1) stated that one of the isotypes of *Staelia tocantinsiana* Salas & E.L. Cabral is at RB, but after exhaustive searches (pers. obs.) it was concluded that no such specimen is there.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1335:

“58-6. ***Staelia vestita*** K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 78. 1888. Tipo: Brasil. Goiás, Rio Parana a Talaya, *Burchell* 7989 (Lectotipo, BR, aqui escolhido; isolectotipos, K, P n.v.).”

Accepted name: *Staelia vestita* K.Schum.

Type: BRAZIL. Goias: “Rio Paranan a Talaya, Riacho Atalaia [ca. 13°30’–25’S, 46°53’W]”, W.J. Burchell 7989 (BR [barcode 000000532714], lectotype designated by Salas and Souza in Delprete (2010c: 1335); isolectotypes, K [barcode K000470394], P [barcode P02285109]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Staelia vestita* K.Schum., Schumann (1888: 78) cited three gatherings from Brazil: *Gardner* 2187 from the state of Piauí, *Burchell* 7989 from the state of Goias, and *Martius s.n.* without locality.

Salas and Souza in Delprete (Dec. 2010c: 1335) designated as the lectotype of *Staelia vestita* the BR specimen with barcode 000000532714. The specimen has a label with the stamp “Herb. Hort. Bruxell. Coll. Martii” and the handwritten annotation “Brasilia: ad Cavalcante, prov. Goyaz – H. Kewenses 1869, Burchell no. 7989.” On the sheet is handwritten in pencil by Joseph H. Kirkbride Jr., “Rio Paranan to Talaya, Goias.” The penciled information about the locality was extracted from Smith and Smith’s (1967) study on the itinerary of Burchell in Brazil. In that study, Smith and Smith listed Burchell’s collection numbers between 7986 and 7991 as collected at “Rio Paranan to Talaya (Riacho [! sic; Riacho] Atalaia, AGS: S 13°30’–25’, W 46°53’).”

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1338:

“58-7. ***Staelia virgata*** (Willd. ex Roem. & Schult.) K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 76. 1888. - *Spermaceo virgata* Willd. ex Roem. & Schult., Syst. Veg. 3: 281,

531. 1818; Mant. 3: 205. 1827. - *Mitracarpus virgatus* (Willd. ex Roem. & Schult.) Cham. & Schltdl., *Linnaea* 3: 363. 1828. Tipo: Brasil, sem localidade, F.W. Sieber in *Hoffmannsegg s.n.* (holótipo, B-Willd 2634; isótipo, BR; foto-B-Willd em NY).”

Accepted name: *Staelia virgata* (Willd.) K.Schum.

Staelia virgata (Willd.) K.Schum. in Mart., *Fl. Bras.* 6(6): 76. 1888. - *Spermacoce virgata* Willd., *Syst. Veg.* 3: 281, 531. 1818; Mant. 3: 205. 1827. - *Mitracarpus virgatus* (Willd.) Cham. & Schltdl., *Linnaea* 3: 363. 1828.

Type: BRAZIL. Pará: Without locality, s.d., F.W. Sieber in J.C. Hoffmannsegg s.n. (holotype, B-W 2634 [barcode B-W 02634-01 2]; isotypes, BR [barcode 000000532683], HAL [barcode HAL9113954], W [Acc. No. 1889-0192932]; photo-B-W in NY).

Notes: The names *Spermacoce virgata* Link ex Roem. & Schult. (*Syst. Veg.* 3: 281. 1818) and *S. virgata* Willd. (*Syst. Veg.* 3: 531. 1818) were published simultaneously in the same volume. Article 6.3 Note 2 of the *Code* (Turland et al. 2018) states, “When the same name, based on the same type, has been published independently at different times, perhaps by different authors, then only the earliest of these “isonyms” has nomenclatural status. The name is always to be cited from its original place of valid publication, and later isonyms may be disregarded (but see Art. 14.14).” Hence, according to Note 2 the two *S. virgata* names are not isonyms. Gandhi (pers. comm. 2023), in the IPNI website (<https://www.ipni.org/n/60470144-2>), presented the following remarks “The names *S. virgata* Link ex Roem. & Schult. (p. 281) and *S. virgata* Willd. (p. 531) have equal priority; both names were based on specimens sent by Hoffmannsegg; Cham. & Schltdl. (in *Linnaea* 3: 363. 1828) and DC. (*Prodr.* 4: 572. 1830) cited Willdenow as the author of the name on both pages; therefore, the Willdenow name is treated as having priority.” Following this logic, the first sentence of Art. 53.5 is critical in solving this situation, “When two or more legitimate homonyms have equal priority (see Note 1), the first of them that is adopted in an effectively published text (Art. 29–31) by an author who simultaneously rejects the other(s) is treated as having priority.” The key part of the sentence is, “... an author who simultaneously rejects the other ...” The second sentence is also critical, “Likewise, if an author in an effectively published text replaces with other names all but one of these homonyms, the homonym for the taxon that is not renamed is treated as having priority (see also Rec. F.5A.2).” The key part of the sentence is, “... other

names all but one of these homonyms ...” Hence, the name and authority accepted for the basionym of this species is *Spermacoce virgata* Willd.

59. **TOCOYENA** Aubl., *Pl. Guiane* 1: 131, pl. 50. 1775.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1346:

“59-1. *Tocoyena arenicola* Delprete, *J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas* 2: 988, fig. 2. 2008. Tipo: Brasil, Tocantins, Mun. Miracema do Tocantins, estrada a 3 km da cidade em direção de Lajeado (TO-070), área de cerrado em solo de areia branca, 9°35'13”S, 48°24'32”W, 230 m, 3/XI/2005 (fl, fr), P.G. Delprete, H. Lorenzi, J.A. Lombardi & E.G. Gonçalves 9249 (holótipo, UFG; isótipos, HPL, K, MO, NY, RB, UB).”

Accepted name: *Tocoyena arenicola* Delprete

Type: BRAZIL. Tocantins: Mun. Miracema do Tocantins, estrada a 3 km da cidade em direção de Lajeado (TO-070), área de cerrado em solo de areia branca, 9°35'13”S, 48°24'32”W, 230 m, 3 Nov. 2005 (fl, fr), P.G. Delprete, H. Lorenzi, J.A. Lombardi & E.G. Gonçalves 9249 (holotype, UFG [Acc. No. 43350]; isotypes, HPL [2 sheets, Acc. Nos. HPL10948, HPL10950], HTO [Acc. No. unknown], K [barcode K000265571], MO [Acc. No. 6149085], NY [barcode 01182949]).

Notes: Delprete (2008c: 988; 2010c: 1346) wrote that one of the isotypes of *Tocoyena arenicola* Delprete is at RB. In 2009, unmounted duplicates of *Delprete et al.* 9249 were left at UFG to be distributed to the herbaria indicated in the protologue. However, the duplicates to be sent to RB and UB were not sent to those institutions, and one of them was sent to HTO instead.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1351:

“59-2. *Tocoyena brasiliensis* Mart., *Flora* 24(2), suppl. 2: 82. 1841. Tipo: Brasil, Rio de Janeiro, Monte Telegraphi prope Sebastianopolis, s.d., *Luschnath* in *Martius Herb. Fl. Brasil. s.n.* (holótipo, BR).”

Accepted name: *Tocoyena brasiliensis* Mart.

Type: BRAZIL. Rio de Janeiro: Sebastianopolis, “Monte Telegraphi prope Sebastianopolis”, Jun. 1834 (fl), *B. Luschnath s.n.* [*in Martius Herb. Fl. Brasil.*] (holotype, BR [barcode 000000578046]).

Notes: In the protologue of *Tocoyena brasiliensis* Mart., Martius (1841: 82–83) cited the locality and phenology of the material studied as “Crescit in Monte Telegraphi prope Sebastianopolin; Junio floret” without citing the collector and herbarium of deposit. Schumann (1889: 348), among other collections studied of *T. brasiliensis*, cited the specimen “in monte Telegraphenberg: Luchnath, floret Junio”. Most likely, Schumann’s citation refers to the original specimen cited by Martius. The BR specimen, barcode 000000578046, has a label with heading “Herbarium Martii” and the handwritten information “Tocoyena brasiliensis Mart., frutex arborescens; – flores viridis-lutei. Thelegraphenberg, Brasil: Leg. Luschnath, Juny 1834.” The BR specimen is the holotype of *Tocoyena brasiliensis*. A second label on this specimen has the annotation “Tocoyena brasiliensis Mart.” by K. Schumann, and a third label has the annotation “Tocoyena sellowiana (Cham. & Schltld.) Schum., det. A.L. Prado, 25.04.1984.” Prado (1987), in an unpublished Master Dissertation, treated *T. brasiliensis* and *T. sellowiana* as synonymous. However, *T. sellowiana* is recognized as a distinct species by Siberbauer-Gottsberger et al. (1992) and Delprete (2010c). Siberbauer-Gottsberger et al. (1992: 160) differentiated *T. brasiliensis* in having “Corolla tube entrance villous; leaves obovate, 15–17 x 6–7.5 cm (NE. to E. Brazil)”, whereas *T. sellowiana* has “Corolla tube entrance glabrous; leaves oblong-lanceolate, smaller, mostly 7–9 x 2.5–5.5 cm (E. Brazil).” This distinction between the two species is followed here. *Tocoyena brasiliensis* occurs throughout the Cerrado Biome and not just “NE to E Brazil”, as stated by Siberbauer-Gottsberger et al. (1992: 160). As Delprete (2010c: 1352–1354) wrote, *T. brasiliensis* occurs from the state of Amapá to the state of São Paulo, and the holotype specimen is from the state of Rio de Janeiro.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1354:

“59-3. *Tocoyena formosa* (Cham. & Schltld.) K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 347. 1889. - *Gardenia formosa* Cham. & Schltld., Linnaea 4: 200. 1829. Tipo: Brasil meridional, s.d., *Sellow s.n.* (holótipo B, destruído; frag-B at F).”

Accepted name: *Tocoyena formosa* (Cham. & Schltld.) K.Schum.

Type: BRAZIL. Goiás: Mun. Monte Alegre, rod. Monte Alegre - Campos Belos (BR-010), km 137,

arvoreta de 4 m com tronco principal contorto e copa umbeliforme, botões florais verdes, corola branca e muito perfumada durante a antese, tornando-se amarela após a antese, 13°9'5"S, 46°45'57"W, 580 m, 1 Nov. 2005 (fl), P.G. Delprete, H. Lorenzi, J. Lombardi & E. Gonçalves 9228 (GB [barcode GB-0210435], **neotype here designated**; isoneotypes, CAY [barcode CAY223351], HPL [Acc. No. 10984], HTO [Acc. No. 9991], UFG [Acc. No. 40686]).

Notes: Chamisso and Schlechtendal (1829b: 200–201) cited the material studied of *Gardenia formosa* Cham. & Schltld. as “E Brasilia aequinocalis misit Sellowius unicum, prohdor, specimen floriferum, fructu deficiente.” (Equatorial Brazil, unfortunately a sole flowering specimen collected by Sellow, without fruit). According to Stafleu and Cowan (1976: 482; 1985: 190, respectively) “Chamisso’s own herbarium was also acquired by LE.” and “Diederich Franz Leonard von Schlechtendal - Herbarium and types: HAL. Several of the types of his Berlin period were at B (mainly destroyed). - Plants for the botanical gardens of Berlin and Halle, and material from his herbarium (but collected by others) are e.g. at CAS, E, FI, G, L, M, MW, WAG.” The original material at B was destroyed during WWII.

After exhaustive search, no original specimen of *Gardenia formosa* was found at HAL (Marcus Lehnert, HAL Curator, pers. comm., 5 Sep. 2022). A fragment of the original specimen at B was reported in previous literature (e.g., Delprete 2010c) to be present at F; however, after exhaustive search, there is no original fragment of *G. formosa* at F (Lucia Kawasaki, pers. comm., 2 Aug. 2022).

A specimen at K, barcode K000424433, has a label with the heading “Ex Museo botanico Berolinensi”, the printed text “Brasilia, leg. Sellow”, the handwritten annotation “Tocoyena formosa K. Schum.”, and the stamp “determ. C. Schumann”. A smaller label has the printed information “Brasilia. Reliquiae d. Sello. No.”, the stamp “15 nov. 1907” and the annotation “25 III”. It has been annotated as “*Tocoyena formosa* var. pseudobrasiliensis Gottsb. & Ehrend.” by Siberbauer-Gottsberger in 1998. This specimen consists of a small branch with several leaves and an immature fruit. Because it has a fruit, it cannot be original material, as Chamisso and Schlechtendal wrote that they only studied a flowering specimen.

In absence of original material, a neotype needs to be selected, and possibly with duplicates distributed in several herbaria. The gathering Delprete et al. 9228 has duplicates distributed in five herbaria, and the specimen at GB is here designated as the neotype of *Gardenia formosa*.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1355:

Synonym:

Tocoyena formosa var. *pseudobrasiliensis* Gottsb. & Ehrend., Pl. Syst. Evol. 181: 162. 1992.

Type: BRAZIL. São Paulo: Mun. Botucatu, 18 km N, 14 km E of São Manuel, along São Manuel-Piracicaba Hwy, near ex-railway station Treze de Maio, 22°45'S, 48°25'W, 550 m, 1 Nov. 1971 (fl), *I. Gottsberger* & *G. Gottsberger 11-11171* (holotype, UB [barcode UB0040411, Acc.No. 12034]; isotypes, K [barcode K000172525], MBM [barcode MBM267187], NY [barcode 00581278], SP [barcode SP001575] UB [UB1063124, Acc. No. 11696 (the only specimen in SpeciesLink)]).

Notes: Silberbauer-Gottsberger et al. (1992: 162) for *Tocoyena formosa* var. *pseudobrasiliensis* Gottsb. & Ehrend. cited the holotype at UB and one of the isotypes at UB, but they did not specify which of the two specimens is the holotype. In UB there is only one specimen, with barcode UB0040411 and Acc. No. 12034, with the authors' original label specifying that it is the holotype, which is followed here.

Silberbauer-Gottsberger et al. (1992: 162) cited an isotype specimen at GI, but Martin de Jong (GI Curator, pers. comm., 28 August 2022) reported that there is no isotype specimen there, nor the paratype. The same authors also cited an isotype specimen at BOTU, but Henrique Borgatto (BOTU Curator, pers. comm., 12 September 2022) reported that there is no isotype specimen there, nor the paratype.

This variety has not been recognized by Deprete (2010c: 1354–1371), who treated *Tocoyena formosa* as a variable species, without any infraspecific taxa, and is here maintained as such.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1372:

“59-4. *Tocoyena viscidula* Mart., Flora 24, suppl. 2: 80-81. 1841. Tipo: Brasil, Bahia, in silvis Caatinga mediterraneis, s.d., *Martius s.n.* (holótipo, M n.v., foto-M em UEC n.v., UFMT).”

Accepted name: *Tocoyena viscidula* Mart.

Type: BRAZIL. Bahia: “in silvis Caatinga mediterraneis”, s.d., *C.F.P. Martius 3301* (holotype, M [barcode M-0189486], photo in UEC, UFMT).

Notes: In the protologue of *Tocoyena viscidula* Mart., Martius (1841: 80–81) cited the material studied

as “In silvis Caatinga mediterraneis prov. Bahiensis.” At M there is a specimen, barcode M-0189486, with the label with the printed text “Dr. Martius Iter Brasil” and the handwritten annotation “[Habitat in] sylv. Catinga indes., [Provinciae] Bahiensis, Nov.” This specimen is the holotype of *T. viscidula*.

60. **UNCARIA** Schreber, *nom. cons.*, Gen. 125. 1789.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1377:

“60-1. *Uncaria guianensis* (Aubl.) Gmel., Syst. Nat. 2: 370. 1791. - *Ouroouparia guianensis* Aubl., Hist. Pl. Guiane 1: 177, t. 68. 1775. - *Uncaria aculeata* Willd. in Usteri (baseado em *Ouroouparia guianensis*), Delect. Opusc. Bot. 2: 200. 1793. - *Nauclea guianensis* (Aubl.) Poir. in Lam., Encycl. Mét. 4: 436. 1797. - *Uruparia versicolor* Raf. (baseado em *Ouroouparia guianensis*), Sylv. Tell. 148. 1830. - *Uruparia guianensis* (Aubl.) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 1: 301. 1891. Tipo: Guiana Francesa, *Aublet s.n.* (holótipo, BM).”

Accepted name: *Uncaria guianensis* (Aubl.) Gmel.

Type: FRENCH GUIANA: Galibi Creek, at 40 miles from the coast, “in silvis ad ripas amnis Galibiensis, quadraginta milliaribus, & ampliùs à maris littore” and “bord de la crique des Galibis [...] en plein fleur dans le mois de Mai”, s.d. [Apr–May 1763], *J.B.C.F. Aublet s.n.* (P-JJR 8: 270, lectotype designated by Lanjouw and Uittien (1940: 154); isolectotype, BM [barcode BM001008725]).

Notes: For further information about the typification of *Ouroouparia guianensis* Aubl., see Delprete (2015).

61. **WARZEWICZIA** Klotzsch., Flora 36: 716. 1853.

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1383:

“61-1. *Warszewiczia longistaminea* K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 218. 1889. Tipo: Brasil, Tocantins, Porto Nacional [“Goyaz, prope Porto Real”], s.d., *Burchell 8607* (holótipo, B, destruído; foto-B em NY e US; lectótipo, NY, aqui escolhido; isolectótipo F).”

Accepted name: *Warszewiczia longistaminea* K.Schum.

Type: BRAZIL. Tocantins: Porto Nacional [“Goyaz, prope Porto Real”], s.d., *W.J. Burchell 8607* (B†; NY [barcode 00259228], lectotype designated by

Delprete (2010c: 1383); isolectotypes, BR [barcode 000000532717], GH [barcode 00094780], K [3 sheets, barcodes K0001173867, K0001173868, K000173900], US [barcode 00137681]; isolectotype fragment F [ex P, Acc. No. 971120]; photo-B in F [F0BN000069] and NY).

Notes: In the protologue of *Warszewiczia longistaminea* K.Schum., Schumann (1889: 218–219) cited the material studied as “*Habitat in provincia Goyaz prope Porto Real: Burchell n. 8607*.” The material examined by Schumann, at B, was destroyed during WWII. The specimen of *Burchell 8607* at NY was designated as the lectotype of this name by Delprete (2010c: 1383).

FGT, vol. 40(3), p. 1386:

“61-2. *Warszewiczia schwackei* K. Schum. in Mart., Fl. Bras. 6(6): 219. 1889. Tipo: Brasil, Amazonas, Manaus, II/1855 (fl), *Spruce 3840* (holótipo, B, destruído; lectótipo, NY, aqui escolhido; isolectótipo K; foto-K em NY).”

Accepted name: *Warszewiczia schwackei* K.Schum.

Type: BRAZIL. Amazonas: [Rio Negro, Manaus] “*Habitat in provincia Alto Amazonas prope Manáos*”, Feb. 1855 (fl), *R. Spruce 3840* (B†; NY [barcode 00259229], lectotype designated by Delprete (2010c: 1386); isolectotypes, BR [barcode 000000532706], E [barcode E00285370], F [Acc. No. 767832], G [2 sheets, barcodes G00436823, G00436824], GH [barcode 00057422], K [2 sheets, barcodes K000173865, K000173866], LD [Acc. No. 1816690]; isolectotype fragment F [ex G, Acc. No. 635234]; photo-K in NY).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to thank the following Herbarium Curators and Researchers for searching for original material and for sending valuable digital images: Juraj Paule (B, B-W), Raneer Prakash (BM), Olivier Lachenaud (BR), Gerardo Aymard (COL), Lucia Kawasaki (F), Nicolas Fumeaux (G, G-DC), Martin de Jong (GI), Marcus Lehner (HAL), Hajo Esser and Andreas Fleischmann (M), Eva García Ibáñez and Javier Fuertes Aguilar (MA), Esther Garcia Guillen (MA-RJB Archives), Amy Weiss and Matthew Pace (NY), Odile Poncy, Cécile Aupic, and Sovanmoly Hul (P, P-Bonp., P-J, P-JJR, P-Lam), Maria Candida Mamede (SP), Vera Lucia Gomes Klein, Danielle Diniz and Carmen Monteiro (UFG), Joseph

H. Kirkbride Jr. (US), and Christian Bräuchler (W). I wish to express my gratitude to the staff members of the projects Jstor Global Plants (<https://plants.jstor.org/>), Reflora – Brazilian Plants: Historic Rescue and Virtual Herbarium for knowledge and conservation of the Brazilian Flora (<http://reflora.jbrj.gov.br/reflora/PrincipalUC/PrincipalUC.do>), and INCT – Herbário Virtual da Flora e Fungos (<http://inct.splink.org.br/>) for making the images of millions of herbarium specimens available to the general public. Important help regarding nomenclatural issues was supplied by Joseph H. Kirkbride Jr., John H. Wiersema (both at the Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C., U.S.A.), Kanchi Gandhi (Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, U.S.A.), and John McNeill (Royal Botanic Garden, Edinburgh, Scotland), who made considerable contributions in solving numerous nomenclatural problems, and interpreting the *Code*. Last, but no less important, I wish to express my gratitude to Joseph H. Kirkbride Jr. and two anonymous reviewers for the careful revision of the manuscript and their significant comments and corrections. Finally, I am very grateful to the Centro Studi Erbario Tropicale (FT herbarium), University of Florence (Italy) for financially supporting this publication.

REFERENCES

- Adams CD, Taylor CM. 2012. *Spermacoce*. In: Davidse G, Sousa MS, Knapp S, Chiang F. and Collaborators (eds.), *Flora Mesoamericana*, vol. 4, part 2, Rubiaceae a Verbenaceae. Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Missouri Botanical Garden & The Natural History Museum (London); St. Louis, Missouri Botanical Garden Press, pp. 275–282.
- Andersson L. 1992. A provisional checklist of Neotropical Rubiaceae. *Scripta Botanica Belgica*. 1: 1–230.
- Andersson L. 1997. Synopsis of the genus *Ladenbergia* (Rubiaceae). *Nordic Journal of Botany*. 17: 255–299. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1756-1051.1997.tb00316.x>
- Applequist W L. 2013. Report on the Nomenclature Committee of Vascular plants: 65. *Taxon*. 62: 1315–1326. <https://doi.org/10.12705/626.49>
- Applequist WL. 2023. Report on the Nomenclature Committee of Vascular plants: 73. *Taxon*. 72: 179–204. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.12871>
- Aublet JBCF. 1775. *Histoire des plantes de la Guiane française*. 4 vols. Paris. P. F. Didot Jeune.
- Bacigalupo NM, Cabral EL. 1999. Revisión de las especies del género *Diodia* (Rubiaceae, Spermacoceae). *Darwiniana*. 37: 153–165. <https://doi.org/10.14522/darwiniana.2014.371-2.348>

- Barbosa MR. 2007. *Guettarda*. In: MGL Wanderley (Coord.), *Flora Fanerogâmica do Estado de São Paulo*, vol. 5, pp. 346–348. São Paulo, Instituto de Botânica, Imprensa Oficial do Estado de São Paulo.
- Bentham G. 1841. XVIII. Contribution towards a Flora of South America. – Enumeration of plants collected by Mr. Schomburgk in British Guiana. *Journal of Botany* (Hooker). 3: 212–221.
- Bentham G. 1850. *Plantae regnellianae - Rubiaceae*. *Linnaea*. 23: 443–466.
- Bentham G. 1853. On some new genera and species of Brazilian Rubiaceae. *Hooker's Journal of Botany Kew Garden Miscellaneous*. 5: 229–236.
- Bentham G. 1857. Notes on Loganiaceae. *Journal of the Proceedings of the Linnean Society, Botany*. 1: 52–114.
- Bolingbroke H. 1807. *Voyage to the Demerary, containing a statistical account of the settlements there and those on the Essequibo, the Berbice, and other contiguous rivers of Guyana*. London, R. Phillips.
<https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/164274#page/13/mode/1up>
- Bolingbroke H. 1809. *Voyage to the Demerary, containing a statistical account of the settlements there and those on the Essequibo, the Berbice, and other contiguous rivers of Guyana*. London, R. Phillips.
https://books.google.com.br/books?id=UNpCAAAAcAAJ&printsec=frontcover&hl=fr&source=gbs_ge_summary_r&cad=0#v=onepage&q&f=false
- Borgmeier T. 1961. A historia da “Flora Fluminensis” de Frei Vellozo. *Vellozia*. 1: 36–44.
- Borhidi, A. 2017. La circumscripción de *Palicourea* subgen. *Heteropsychotria* (Rubiaceae Palicoureeae). *Acta Botanica Hungarica*. 59: 25–61.
- Bremekamp, CEB. 1934. Notes on the Rubiaceae of Surinam. *Recueil des Travaux Botaniques Néerlandais*. 31: 248–308.
- Bremekamp CEB. 1937. The Malaysian species of *Ixora* (Rubiaceae). *Bulletin du Jardin Botanique de Buitenzorg, ser. 3*. 14: 197–367.
- Bridson D., Verdcourt B. 1988. *Coffea*. In: Polhill RM, *Flora of Tropical East Africa, Rubiaceae (Part 2)*. Rotterdam, Published in the behalf of the East African Governments by A.A. Balkema, pp. 703–723.
- Browne P. 1756. *Civil and Natural History of Jamaica in three parts*. London, Published by the Author, 503 pp., 49 pls.
- Cabaña Fader AA, Salas RM, Dessein S, Cabral EL. 2016. Synopsis of *Hexasepalum* (Rubiaceae), the priority name for *Diodella* and a new species from Brazil. *Systematic Botany*. 41: 408–422. <https://doi.org/10.1600/036364416X691803>
- Cabral EL. 1992 [“1991”]. Rehabilitación del género *Galianthe* (Rubiaceae). *Boletín de la Sociedad Argentina de Botánica*. 27: 235–249.
- Cabral EL. 2004. Dos especies nuevas de *Galianthe* (Rubiaceae) para Brasil. *Bonplandia*. 13: 15–19.
- Cabral EL. 2009. Revisión sinóptica de *Galianthe* subgen. *Galianthe* (Rubiaceae: Spermaceae), con una sección nueva. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden*. 96: 27–50. <https://doi.org/10.3417/2006193>
- Cabral EL, Bacigalupo NM. 2000. Novedades taxonómicas en *Galianthe* y *Borreria* (Rubiaceae-Spermaceae). *Bonplandia*. 10: 119–128.
- Cabral EL, Bacigalupo NM. 2005. Novelties in Spermaceae (Rubiaceae) from Bolivia and Paraguay. *Brittonia*. 57: 129–140. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4099007>
- Cabral EL, Cabaña Fader AA. 2022. *Diodia*. In: Zuloaga FO, Zanotti CA (Eds.), *Flora Argentina - Flora Vasculare de la República de Argentina*, vol. 19(3). Pasaje Garro, Talleres Trama S.A., pp. 254–255.
- Cabral EL, Salas RM. 2022a. *Chomelia*. In: Zuloaga FO, Zanotti CA (Eds.), *Flora Argentina - Flora Vasculare de la República de Argentina*, vol. 19(3). Pasaje Garro, Talleres Trama S.A., pp. 239–240.
- Cabral EL, Salas RM. 2022b. *Coccocypselum*. In: Zuloaga FO, Zanotti CA (Eds.), *Flora Argentina - Flora Vasculare de la República de Argentina*, vol. 19(3). Pasaje Garro, Talleres Trama S.A., pp. 240–242.
- Cabral EL, Salas RM. 2022c. *Coussarea*. In: Zuloaga FO, Zanotti CA (Eds.), *Flora Argentina - Flora Vasculare de la República de Argentina*, vol. 19(3). Pasaje Garro, Talleres Trama S.A., pp. 246–247.
- Cabral EL, Salas RM. 2022d. *Hamelia*. In: Zuloaga FO, Zanotti CA (Eds.), *Flora Argentina - Flora Vasculare de la República de Argentina*, vol. 19(3). Pasaje Garro, Talleres Trama S.A., pp. 300–301.
- Cabral EL, Medina WA, Souza E B. 2009. Novedades en el género *Mitracarpus* (Spermaceae-Rubiaceae) para la flora del Paraguay. *Candollea*. 64: 152–156. <https://ri.conicet.gov.ar/handle/11336/36634>
- Cabral EL, Miguel LM, Salas RM. 2011. Dos especies nuevas de *Borreria* (Rubiaceae), sinopsis y clave de las especies para Bahía, Brasil. *Acta Botanica Brasílica*. 25: 255–276. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-33062011000200002>
- Candolle AP de [Sep.] 1830. Rubiaceae. *Prodromus ...*, vol. 4, pp. 341–622. Paris, Treuttel & Würtz.
- Carauta JPP. 1969. A data efetiva da “Flora Fluminensis”. *Vellozia*. 7: 26–33.
- Carauta JPP. 1973. The text of Vellozo’s *Flora Fluminensis* and its effective date of publication. *Taxon*. 22: 281–284. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.2307/1218138>

- Carmo JAM, Sala RM, Sobrado SV, Simões AO. 2019. (2707) Proposal to conserve the name *Psyllocarpus* against *Tapanhuacanga* (Rubiaceae: Spermaceae). *Taxon*. 68: 869–871. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.12109>
- Chamisso A. 1834. *Spicilegium plantarum e familis jam prius recesitis, praesertim Brasiliensium*. Rubiaceae. *Linnaea*. 9: 214–261.
- Chamisso A, Schlechtendal D. 1828. De plantis in expeditione speculatoria Romanzoffiana observatis disserere pergut. Rubiaceae. Sectio I. Stellatae & Sectio III. Spermaceae. *Linnaea*. 3: 309–366.
- Chamisso A, Schlechtendal D. 1829a. De plantis in expeditione speculatoria Romanzoffiana observatis disserere pergut. Rubiaceae: Machaonia. – Sectio IV. Psychotriaceae. *Linnaea*. 4: 1–36.
- Chamisso A, Schlechtendal D. 1829b. De plantis in expeditione speculatoria Romanzoffiana observatis disserere pergut. Rubiaceae. Quae supersunt. *Linnaea*. 4: 129–434.
- Chodat R, Hassler É. 1904. *Plantae Hasslerianae* soit Enumeration des plantes récoltées au Paraguay par le Dr Émile Hassler, d'Aarau (Suisse) de 1885 à 1902. *Bulletin de l'Herbier Boissier*. 2: 61–92.
- Coelho GF. 2008. *Expedição histórica nos sertões de Goiás: São José do Duro*. Goiânia, ICBC, Reedição ampliada, 168 pp.
- Corner EJH. 1941. Notes on the systematy and distribution of Malayan Phanerogams, IV. *Ixora*. *The Gardens' Bulletin, Straits Settlements*. 21: 177–236.
- Costa CB. 2004. *Revisão taxonômica de Coccocypselum P. Br. (Rubiaceae)*. Unpublished Doctoral Thesis. São Paulo, Universidade de São Paulo, 154 pp.
- Costa CB, Delprete PG. 2010. *Coccocypselum*. In: Delprete PG, Rubiaceae, Parte 1: Introdução, Gêneros A-H. In: Rizzo JA (Coord.), *Flora dos Estados de Goiás e Tocantins*, vol. 40. Goiânia, Universidade Federal de Goiás, IRD and UFG, Editora e Grafica Vieira, pp. 174–211.
- Cruls L. 1894. *Relatório da Comissão exploradora do Planalto Central do Brazil*. Rio de Janeiro, H. Lombaerts & C., Impressores do Observatorio.
- D'Arcy WG. 1970. Jacquin names, some notes on their typification. *Taxon*. 19: 554–560. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1218948>
- Delprete PG. 1997. Revision and typification of Brazilian *Augusta* (Rubiaceae, Rondeletieae), with ecological observation on riverine vegetation of Cerrado and Atlantic Forest. *Brittonia*. 49: 487–497. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2807739>
- Delprete PG. 1999a. Rondeletieae (Rubiaceae), Part I (*Rustia*, *Tresanthera*, *Condaminea*, *Picardaea*, *Pogonopus*, *Chimarrhis*, *Dioicodendron*, *Molopanthera*, *Dolichodelphys*, and *Parachimarrhis*). *Flora Neotropica Monographs* vol. 77. Bronx, New York Botanical Garden Press, 226 pp.
- Delprete PG. 1999b. Rubiaceae-Condamineae. In: G. Harling & L. Andersson (Eds.), *Flora of Ecuador* vol. 62. Copenhagen, Council for Nordic Publications in Botany: 3–52.
- Delprete PG. 2001. The Neotropical Rubiaceae collected by Humboldt and Bonpland and described by Bonpland, Kunth, and Roemer and Schultes. In: Aymard GA (Ed.), *Alexander Von Humboldt: Homemaje al Bicentenario de su Llegada a Tierras Venezolanas*. *BioLlania, Edición Especial N° 7*: 22–51.
- Delprete PG. 2003. Revision and typification of some species of *Ixora* (Rubiaceae) from central and southern Brazil. *Sida*. 20: 1471–1480. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41961005>
- Delprete PG. 2007. New combinations and new synonyms in the genus *Spermace* (Rubiaceae) for the Flora of Goiás and Tocantins (Brazil) and the Flora of the Guianas. *Journal of the Botanical Research Institute of Texas*. 1: 1023–1030. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41971535>
- Delprete PG. 2008a. A new species of *Chomeilia* (Rubiaceae, Guettardeae) from the Brazilian Planalto. *Blumea*. 53: 393–398. <https://doi.org/10.3767/000651908X608034>
- Delprete PG. 2008b. Three new species of *Ixora* (Rubiaceae) from the state of Tocantins, Brazil. *Journal of the Botanical Research Institute of Texas*. 2: 455–462. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41971659>
- Delprete PG. 2008c. Revision of *Tocoyena* (Rubiaceae: Gardenieae) from the states of Goiás and Tocantins and a new species endemic to the white-sand areas in the Brazilian Cerrado. *Journal of the Botanical Research Institute of Texas*. 2: 983–993. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41971744>
- Delprete PG. 2010a. Rubiaceae, Parte 1: Introdução, Gêneros A-H. In: Rizzo JA (Coord.), *Flora dos Estados de Goiás e Tocantins*, vol. 40. Goiânia, Universidade Federal de Goiás, IRD and UFG, Editora e Grafica Vieira, pp. 1–580.
- Delprete PG. 2010b. Rubiaceae, Parte 2: Gêneros I-R. In: Rizzo JA (Coord.), *Flora dos Estados de Goiás e Tocantins*, vol. 40. Goiânia, Universidade Federal de Goiás, IRD and UFG, Editora e Grafica Vieira, pp. 581–1098.
- Delprete PG. 2010c. Rubiaceae, Parte 3: Gêneros S-W, Índices. In: Rizzo JA (Coord.), *Flora dos Estados de Goiás e Tocantins*, vol. 40. Goiânia, Universidade Federal de Goiás, IRD and UFG, Editora e Grafica Vieira, pp. 1099–1610.

- Delprete PG. 2015. Typification and etymology of Aublet's Rubiaceae names. *Taxon*. 64: 595–624. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/taxon.64.3.595>
- Delprete PG. 2022. Monograph of tribe Sipaneeae (Rubiaceae, Ixoroideae) – a Neotropical group with its center of diversity on the Guiana Shield. *Webbia*. 77(1), suppl. 1: 1–284. <https://doi.org/10.36253/jopt-13963>
- Delprete PG, Cortés-B R. 2007 [“2006”]. A synopsis of the Rubiaceae of the states of Mato Grosso and Mato Grosso do Sul, central-western Brazil, with a key to genera, and a preliminary species list. *Revista de Biologia Neotropical*. 3: 13–96. <https://doi.org/10.5216/rbn.v3i1.2813>
- Delprete PG, Kirkbride JH Jr. 2016. New combinations and new names in *Palicourea* (Rubiaceae) for species of *Psychotria* subgenus *Heteropsychotria* occurring in the Guianas. *Journal of the Botanical Research Institute of Texas*. 10: 409–442. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/44858580>
- Delprete PG, Persson C. 2010. *Alibertia*. In: Delprete PG, Rubiaceae, Parte 1: Introdução, Gêneros A-H. In: Rizzo JA (Coord.), *Flora dos Estados de Goiás e Tocantins*, vol. 40. Goiânia, Universidade Federal de Goiás, IRD and UFG, Editora e Gráfica Vieira, pp. 69–88.
- Delprete PG, Persson C. 2011. *Alibertia* Group. In: Taylor CM, Sanchez-Gonzalez J, Hammel B, Lorence DH, Persson C, Delprete PG, Gereau RE, Rubiacearum americanarum magna hama pars XXVIII: new taxa, new combinations, new names, and lectotypification for several species found in Mexico and Central America. *Novon*. 21: 133–148. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23018508>
- Delprete PG, Persson C. 2017. *Alibertia*. Pp. 57–118. In: Persson CH, Delprete PG. The *Alibertia* group (Gardenieae - Rubiaceae) – Part 1. *Flora Neotropica Monographs* vol. 119. Bronx, New York Botanical Garden Press.
- Delprete PG, Smith LB, Klein RB. 2004. Rubiaceae, Volume 1 - Gêneros de A-G: 1. *Alseis* até 19. *Galium*. In: Reis A (Ed.), *Flora Ilustrada Catarinense*. Itajaí, Herbário Barbosa Rodrigues, pp. 1–344.
- Delprete PG, Smith LB, Klein RB. 2005. Rubiaceae, Volume 2 - Gêneros de G-Z: 20. *Gardenia* até 46. *Tocoyena*. In: Reis A (ed.), *Flora Ilustrada Catarinense*. Itajaí, Herbário Barbosa Rodrigues, pp. 345–843.
- Dempster LT. 1990. The genus *Galium* in South America. *IV. Allertonia*. 5: 283–345. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23188164>
- Devecchi, M. F. & J. R. Pirani. 2021. Simaroubaceae. In: Rizzo JA, Gomes Klein VL (Coords.), *Flora dos Estados de Goiás e Tocantins*, vol. 50. Goiânia, Cegraf UFG (Universidade Federal de Goiás), 45 pp.
- Dillenius JJ. 1732. *Hortus Elthamensis*, 2 vols. London, published by the Author, 437 pp. + 324 pls.
- Don G. 1834. A general history of the dichlamydeous plants, vol. 3. London, J.G. & F. Rivington, 867 pp.
- Dwyer JD. 1980. In: Woodson E et al. (eds.), *Flora of Panama*, part IX. Family 179. Rubiaceae. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden*. 67: 1–522. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2398954>
- Ehrendorfer F. 1955. Revision of the genus *Relbunium* (Endl.) Benth. & Hook. (Rubiaceae-Galieae). *Botanische Jahrbücher für Systematik*. 76: 516–553.
- Ek RC. 1990. Index of Guyana plant collectors. In: ARA Görts-van Rijn (ed.), *Flora of the Guianas*, Supplementary Series, Fascicle 1. Koenigstein, Koetz Scientific Books, 85 pp.
- Elias TS. 1976. A monograph of the genus *Hamelia* (Rubiaceae). *Memoirs of the New York Botanical Garden*. 26: 81–144.
- Ellis J. 1761. LXXXII. An account on the plants *Halesia* and *Gardenia*: In a letter from John Ellis, Esq; F.R.S. to Philip Carteret Webb, Esq; R.R.S. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*. 51: 929–935, pls. 22–23.
- Estrella E. 1991. La Naturaleza Americana y las Expediciones Botánicas Españolas del Siglo XVIII. In: Cevallos IC (ed.), *Flora Huayaquilensis*, La Expedición Botánicas de Juan Tafalla a la Real Audiencia de Quito, 1799–1808. Quito, Abya-Yala Editing.
- Eschwege WL. 1822. *Geognostisches Gemälde von Brasilien und wahrscheinliches Muttergestein der Diamanten*. Weimar, Landes-Industrie-Comptoir, 44 pp.
- Fleischmann A, Gonella PM. 2020. Typification and authorship of *Drosera intermedia* (Droseraceae). *Taxon*. 69: 153–160. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.12158>
- Florentín JE, Cabral EL, Salas RM. 2022. Lectotypificaciones de nombres ligados a *Galianthe* (Rubiaceae) para la flora de Argentina. *Darwiniana*, n.s. 10: 29–34. <https://doi.org/10.14522/darwiniana.2022.101.1007>
- Florentín MN, Florentín JE, Salas RM. 2020. Integrative taxonomic analyses sheds light on three historically disputed American *Spermacoce* species, and a key to the American species of *Spermacoce* (Spermacoceae, Rubiaceae). *Systematic Botany*. 45: 585–606. <https://doi.org/10.1600/036364420X15935294613464>
- Forsskål P. 1775. *Flora Aegyptiaco-Arabica: sive descriptiones plantarum*. Hauniae, Ex Officina Mölleri.
- Fosberg FR, Sachet H.-H. 1989a. Three cultivated *Ixoras* (Rubiaceae). *Baileya*. 23: 74–85.

- Fosberg FR, Sachet H.-H. 1989b. Lectotypification of *Ixora coccinea* L. (Rubiaceae). *Taxon*. 38: 486–489. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1996-8175.1989.tb00989.x>
- Franck AR, Gann GD, Salle J, Farid A. 2021. Sharpening plant taxonomy in South Florida: *Baccharis* and *Melanthera* (Asteraceae), *Borreria* and *Chiococca* (Rubiaceae), and *Lantana* (Verbenaceae). *Phytologia*. 103: 29–68.
- Fürnrohr, A. E. 1832. [*Cinchona cujabensis* Manso, nom. nud.] Nachricht die Sammlungen des Hrn. Dr. Lhotsky betreffend. *Flora*. 15(2), Beibl. 3: 25–[26]–40.
- Galli U. 2017. Professor José Ângelo Rizzo: Mistura de árvore e gente. Goiânia, Editora Kelps, 190 pp.
- Gardner G. 1975. Viagem ao interior do Brasil, principalmente nas provincias do Norte e nos distritos do ouro e do diamante durante os anos de 1836–1841; tradução de Milton Amado, apresentação de Mário Ferri. Belo Horizonte, Ed. Itatiaia; São Paulo, Ed. de Universidade de São Paulo. Reconquista do Brasil, vol. 13, 260 pp.
- Gauto SY, Florentin MN, Gonzalez AM, Salas RM. 2022. Tipificación de nombres en *Manettia* (Rubiaceae, Spermatoceae) para la Flora de Argentina y Paraguay. *Darwiniana*. 10: 429–434. <https://doi.org/10.14522/darwiniana.2022.102.1078>
- Glaziou AFM. 1905. Liste des plantes du Brésil Central recueillies en 1861–1865. *Bulletin de la Société Botanique de France* 56, Mémoires 3a: 1–112 (Introduction, Fam. 1: Renonculacées to Fam. 42: Ampélidées). <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/page/3461395#page/120/mode/1up>
- Glaziou AFM. 1906. Liste des plantes du Brésil Central recueillies en 1861–1865. *Bulletin de la Société Botanique de France* 56, Mémoires 3b: 113–200 (Fam. 42: Ampélidées to Fam. 50: Crassulacées).
- Glaziou AFM. 1907. Liste des plantes du Brésil Central recueillies en 1861–1865. *Bulletin de la Société Botanique de France* 56, Mémoires 3c: 201–296 (Fam. 51: Droséracées to Fam. 56: Mélastomacées).
- Glaziou AFM. 1909a. Liste des plantes du Brésil Central recueillies en 1861–1865 *Bulletin de la Société Botanique de France* 56, Mémoires 3d: 297–328 (Fam. 56: Mélastomacées to Fam. 67: Ombellifères).
- Glaziou AFM. 1909b. Liste des plantes du Brésil Central recueillies en 1861–1865. *Bulletin de la Société Botanique de France* 56, Mémoires 3d: 329–392 (Fam. 67: Ombellifères to Fam. 74: Composées [Fam. 71: Rubiacées pp. 334–365]).
- Glaziou AFM. 1910. Liste des plantes du Brésil Central recueillies en 1861–1865. *Bulletin de la Société Botanique de France* 56, Mémoires 3e: 393–488 (Fam. 74: Composées to Fam. 93. Convolvulacées).
- Glaziou AFM. 1911. Liste des plantes du Brésil Central recueillies en 1861–1865. *Bulletin de la Société Botanique de France* 56, Mémoires 3f: 489–661 (93. Convolvulacées to Fam. 129. Cératophyllacées, Errata, Table Alphabetique des familles).
- Gomes BA. 1801. Memoria sobre a ipecacuanha fusca do Brasil, ou cipó das nossas boticas. Typographia Chalcographica, Typoplastica e Litteraria do Arco do Cego, Lisboa. <https://www.abebooks.com/Plantas-Medicinais-Brasil-Bernardino-Antonio-Gomes/30592978589/bd#&gid=1&pid=4>
- Gomes M. 2003. Reavaliação taxonômica de algumas espécies dos gêneros *Coussarea* Aubl. e *Faramea* Aubl. (Rubiaceae). *Acta Botanica Brasilica*. 17: 449–466. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-33062003000300011>
- Gray A. 1860. Notes upon some Rubiaceae collected in the United States South-Sea Exploring Expedition under Captain Wilkes, with Characters of New Species, &c. *Proceedings of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences*. 4: 33–50.
- Grisebach AHR. 1861. Rubiaceae. *Flora of the British West Indian Islands*, Part. 4. London, Loell Reeve & Co., pp. 315–410.
- Grisebach AHR. 1879. *Symbolae ad Floram Argentinam*. *Abhandlungen der Königlichen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen*. 24: [3]–345.
- Gustafsson CGR. 1998. The neotropical *Rosenbergiodendron* (Rubiaceae, Gardenieae). *Brittonia*. 50: 452–466.
- Heyne B. 1814. *Tracts, historical and statistical, on India; with journals of several tours through various parts of the peninsula: also, An account of Sumatra, in a series of letters*. London, printed for Robert Baldwin. <https://archive.org/details/in.ernet.dli.2015.41907>
- Hiepko P. 2006. Humboldt, his botanical mentor Willdenow, and the fate of the collections of Humboldt & Bonpland. *Botanische Jahrbücher für Systematik*. 126: 509–516. <https://doi.org/10.1127/0006-8152/2006/0126-0509>
- Howard RA. 1989. *Dicotyledoneae – Part 3. Flora of the Lesser Antilles, Leeward and Windward Islands*, vol. 6. *Arnold Arboretum*, Harvard University, Jamaica Plain, 658 pp.
- Husain T, Paul SR. 1989. Taxonomic studies on Indian species of genus *Ixora* L. (Rubiaceae). *Journal of Economic and Taxonomic Botany, Additional Series*. 6: 1–205.
- Jacquin NJ. 1760. *Enumeratio Systematica Plantarum, quas in insulis Caribaeis... Lugduni Batavorum*, Apud Theodorum Haak, 41 pp.
- Jacquin NJ. 1763. *Selectarum stirpium americanarum historia*. Wien, ex Officina Krausiana, viii + 284 pp.
- Jarvis C. 2007. *Order out of Chaos: Linnaean plant names and their types*. London, Linnean Society of London & Natural History Museum, 1016 pp.

- Judkevich MD, Salas RM, González AM. 2015. Revisión de *Randia* (Rubiaceae) en Argentina, taxonomía y morfoanatomía. *Boletín de la Sociedad Argentina de Botánica*. 50: 607–625. <https://doi.org/10.31055/1851.2372.v50.n4.12920>
- Kainulainen K, Persson C, Eriksson T, Bremer B. 2010. Molecular systematics and morphological character evolution of the Condamineae. *American Journal of Botany*. 97: 1961–1981. <https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1000090>
- Kirkbride JH Jr. 1976. A revision of the genus *Declieuxia* (Rubiaceae). *Memoirs of the New York Botanical Garden*. 28: 1–87.
- Kirkbride JH Jr. 1979. Revision of the genus *Psyllocarpus* (Rubiaceae). *Smithsonian Contributions to Botany*. 41: 1–52.
- Kirkbride JH Jr. 1982. Rubiaceae types in the Triana collections at the Instituto de Ciencias Naturales, Universidad Nacional, Bogota, Colombia. *Taxon*. 31: 303–307. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1219996>
- Kirkbride JH Jr., 1997. Manipulus rubiacearum–VI. *Brittonia*. 49: 354–379. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2807836>
- Kirkbride JH Jr. 2014. *Hexasepalum teres* (Rubiaceae), a new combination. *Journal of the Botanical Research Institute of Texas*. 8: 17–18.
- Kirkbride JH Jr., Delprete PG. 2012. A new variety of *Declieuxia cacuminis* (Rubiaceae) from the state of Tocantins, Brazil, and a review of the varieties recognized in the species. *Journal of the Botanical Research Institute of Texas*. 6: 391–396. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41972427>
- Kirkbride JH Jr., Delprete PG. 2015. New combinations in *Hexasepalum* (Rubiaceae, Spermatoceae). *Journal of the Botanical Research Institute of Texas*. 9: 103–106. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/24621250>
- Kirkbride JH Jr., Wiersema JH. 2020. Nomenclature anomalies among Kunth's Rubiaceae. *Taxon*. 69: 605–612. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.12183>
- Kirkbride JH Jr., Wiersema JH, Delprete PG. 2012. Request for a binding decision on whether *Ferdinanda* (*Compositae*) and *Ferdinanda* (*Rubiaceae*) are sufficiently alike to be confused. *Taxon*. 61: 479. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.612030>
- Kissmann KG, Groth D. 1995. *Plantas Infestantes e Nocivas*, Tomo III. 1st Ed. São Bernardo do Campo, BASF S.A.
- Knapp S, Barboza GE, Romero MV, Vignoli-Silva M, Giacomini LL, Stehmann JR. 2015. Identification and lectotypification of the Solanaceae from Vellozo's *Flora Fluminensis*. *Taxon*. 64: 822–836. <https://doi.org/10.12705/644.14>
- Kuhlmann JG. 1925. Contribuição para o conhecimento de algumas plantas novas, contendo também um trabalho de crítica e novas combinações. *Archivos do Jardim Botânico do Rio de Janeiro*. 4: 347–365. <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/97751#page/9/mode/1up>
- Kunth CS. [21 Nov.] 1819. Rubiaceae. Nova genera et species plantarum quas in plagam aequinoctialem orbis novi collegerunt, descripserunt, partim adumbraverunt Amat. Bonpland et Alex. Humboldt. Ex schedis autographis Amati Bonplandi in ordinem digesset Carol. Sigismund Kunth. Vol. 3, Fasc. 12, pp. 335–384 (quarto ed.). In: Humboldt A & Bonpland A, *Voyage de Humboldt et Bonpland*. Sixième partie, Botanique. Paris, Sumptibus librariae graeco-latini-germanicae.
- Kunth CS. [13 Mar.] 1820. Rubiaceae. Nova genera et species plantarum quas in plagam aequinoctialem orbis novi collegerunt, descripserunt, partim adumbraverunt Amat. Bonpland et Alex. Humboldt. Ex schedis autographis Amati Bonplandi in ordinem digesset Carol. Sigismund Kunth. Vol. 3, Fasc. 13, pp. 385–423 (quarto ed.). In: Humboldt A & Bonpland A, *Voyage de Humboldt et Bonpland*. Sixième partie, Botanique. Paris, Sumptibus librariae graeco-latini-germanicae.
- Lacerda AL. 2003. Fluxos de emergência e banco de sementes de plantas daninhas em sistemas de semeaduras diretas e convencional e curvas de dose-resposta ao Glyphosate. Unpublished Doctoral Thesis. Piracicaba, Escola Superior de Agricultura Luiz de Queiroz.
- Lack HW. 2004a. Botanische Feldarbeit: Humboldt und Bonpland im tropischen Amerika (1799–1804). *Annalen des Naturhistorischen Museums in Wien, Serie B, Botanik und Zoologie*. 105: 493–514.
- Lack HW. 2004b. The botanical field notes prepared by Humboldt and Bonpland in tropical America. *Taxon*. 53: 501–510. <https://doi.org/10.2307/4135629>
- Lack HW. 2009. *Alexander von Humboldt and the Botanical Exploration of the Americas*, 1st ed. Munich, Prestl.
- Lack HW. 2018. *Alexander von Humboldt and the Botanical Exploration of the Americas*, 2nd ed. Munich, Prestl.
- Lack HW, Böhme K, Callmander MW. 2021. Augustin-Pyramus de Candolle's L'Heritier Reliquiae: A volume of miscellaneous prints kept in Geneva. *Candollea*. 76: 145–165. <https://doi.org/10.15553/c2021v761a15>
- Lamarck JBAPM. de. 1789. *Encyclopédie Méthodique, Botanique*, vol. 3, part 1. Paris, Chez Panckoucke, pp. 1–360.
- Lanjou J, Uittien H. 1940. Un nouvel herbier de Fusée Aublet découvert en France. *Recueil des Travaux Botaniques Néerlandais*. 37: 133–170.

- Lewis WH, Oliver RL. 1974. Revision of *Richardia* (Rubiaceae). *Brittonia*. 26: 271–301. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2805730>
- Lima HD. 1995. Leguminosas da Flora Fluminensis–J.M. da C. Vellozo–Lista actualizada das espécies arbóreas. *Acta Botanica Brasilica* 9: 123–146. <http://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-33061995000100006>
- Linnaeus C. 1737. *Hortus Cliffortianus*. Amsterdam, unknown publisher, 518 pp.
- Linnaeus C. 1753. *Species Plantarum, exhibentes plantas rite cognitatas, ad genera relatas, cum differentiis specificis, nominum trivialibus, synonymis selectis, locis natalibus, secundum Systema Sexuale digestas*. 2 vols. Holmiae [Stockholm], Laurentii Salvii, 1200 pp.
- Linnaeus C. 1759. *Systema Naturae, per regna tria naturae, secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis*. Ed. 10, vol. 2 (Vegetabilia), pp. 825–1384. Holmiae [Stockholm], Impensis direct. Laurentii Salvii.
- Linnaeus C. 1762. *Species plantarum, exhibentes plantas rite cognitatas, ad genera relatas, cum differentiis specificis, nominibus trivialibus, synonymis selectis, locis natalibus, secundum systema sexuale digestas*, 2nd ed., vol. 1. Holmiae [Stockholm], Impensis Direct. Laurentii Salvii, 784 pp.
- Linnaeus C. 1767. *Systema Naturae, per regna tria naturae, secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis*. 12th Ed., vol. 2 (Regnum Vegetabile, Systema Part). Holmiae [Stockholm], Impensis direct. Laurentii Salvii, 736 pp.
- Lorence DH. 1999. A nomenclator of Mexican and Central American Rubiaceae. *Monographs in Systematic Botany from the Missouri Botanical Garden*. 73: 1–177.
- Lorenzi H. 2000. *Plantas Daninhas do Brasil*. 3rd ed. Nova Odessa, Instituto Plantarum de Estudo da Flora Ltda.
- Martens M, Galeotti H. 1844. *Enumeratio synoptica plantarum phanerogamarum ab Henrico Galeotti, in regionibus Mexicanis collectarum*. *Bulletin de l'Académie Royale des Sciences et Belles-Lettres de Bruxelles*. 11: 121–137.
- Martius, CPF. 1824. *Specimen materiae medicae brasiliensis, exhibens plantas medicinales in itinere annis 1817–1820*. *Denkschriften der Königlich Akademie der Wissenschaften zu München* 9: [77]–96, plates.
- Martius CPF. 1841. *Martius, Herbarium Florae brasiliensis*. *Flora*. 24(2), suppl. 2: 1–112.
- Martius CPF. 1843. [*Cinchona cujabensis* Manso, nom. nud.] *Systema materiae medicae vegetabilis brasiliensis* [p. 57]. Frid. Fleischer, Lipsiae.
- Mérat FV. 1846. [*Cinchona cujabensis* Manso, nom. nud.] *Supplément au Dictionnaire Universel de Matière Médicale et de Thérapeutique Générale; ... de F. V. Mérat et A. J. de Lens*, vol. 7. Chez J.-B. Baillièrre, Paris, Libraire de L'Académie Royale de Médecine, [p. 614].
- Merrill ED. 1917. *An interpretation of Rumphius's Herbarium Amboinense*. Manila, Bureau of Printing, 595 pp.
- Mertens C. 1844. Professor Dr. Franz Carl Mertens, Vorsteher der Handelsschule in Bremen. In: Vereine, A. (Ed.), *Biographische Skizzen verstorbener Bremischer Aerzte und Naturforscher*. Bremen, Johan Georg Heyse, pp. 239–392.
- Meyer GFW. 1818. *Primitiae Florae Essequeboensis adjectis descriptionibus centum circiter stirpium novarum, observationibusque criticis*. Göttingae, Sumptus Henrici Dietrich, 316 pp. <https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.49397>
- Miers J. 1864. Plants sent home by Mr. Meir from New Grenada; with descriptions of the new species. *Proceedings of the Royal Horticultural Society (London)*. 4: 185–186. <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/43460#page/2/mode/1up>
- Miguel LM, Sobrado SV, Cabral EL. 2022. Aportes para la flora de Argentina: lectotipificaciones, nuevos sinónimos y registros en *Borreria* (Rubiaceae). *Darwiniana*, s.n. 10: 404–416. <https://doi.org/10.14522/darwiniana.2022.102.1053>
- Moore SLM. 1895. III. The Phanerogamic Botany of the Matto Grosso Expedition, 1891–92. *Transactions of the Linnean Society of London*, 2nd ser. 4: 265–384 + 1 pl.
- Moraes PLR. 2011. (2022) Proposal to conserve the name *Spermacoce capitata* Ruiz & Pav. against *S. capitata* P.J. Bergius (Rubiaceae). *Taxon*. 60: 911–912. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.603033>
- Moraes PLR. 2018. Notes on the identities of the new genera and species published by Domenico (Domingos) Vandelli in the *Florae Lusitanicae et Brasiliensis specimen*. *Feddes Repertorium*. 130: 19–64. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fedr.201800009>
- Müller Argoviensis J. [Oct.] 1875. Rubiaceae brasilienses novae [*Guettarda*, *Malanea*, *Ixora*]. *Flora*. 58 (29): [449]–459.
- Müller Argoviensis J. [Oct.] 1876a. Rubiaceae brasilienses novae (Continuatio) [*Declieuxia*, *Congdonia*] *Flora*. 59 (28): [433]–438.
- Müller Argoviensis J. [Oct.] 1876b. Rubiaceae brasilienses novae (Continuatio) [*Rudgea*, *Mapouria*] *Flora*. 59 (29): [449]–466.
- Müller Argoviensis J. [Dec.] 1876c. Rubiaceae brasilienses novae (Conclusio) [*Psychotria*] *Flora*. 59 (35): [547]–554.
- Müller Argoviensis J. [1 Jul.] 1881. Rubiaceae, tribus I. Retiniphyllae, tribus II. Guettardeae, tribus III. Chi-

- ococceae, tribus IV. Ixoroideae, tribus V. Coussareae, tribus VI. Psychotriaceae. In: Martius CFP, Eichler AG, Urban I (Eds.), *Flora Brasiliensis*, vol. 6, pars 5. Leipzig, Fleischer, pp. 1–470.
- Nicolson DH. 1977. Typification of names vs. typification of taxa: proposal on article 48 and reconsideration of *Mitracarpus hirtus* vs. *M. villosus* (Rubiaceae). *Taxon*. 26: 569–574. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1219653>
- Ochoterena H. 2012. *Coutarea*. In: Davidse G, Sousa Sánchez M, Knapp S, Chiang Cabrera F. (Eds.), *Flora Mesoamericana*, vol. 4, part 2, Rubiaceae a Verbenaceae. 4(2). Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Instituto de Biología, Missouri Botanical Garden, The Natural History Museum (London). Saint Louis, Missouri Botanical Garden Press, pp. 69–70.
- Pastore JFB, Mota M, Menezes HF de & Trovó M. 2021. Vellozo's *Florae Fluminensis*: A new assessment of the São Paulo part of his collecting itinerary, its vegetation, and species list. *Taxon*. 70: 1078–1095. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.12509>
- Pellegrini MOO, Forzza RC, Sakuragui CM. 2015. A nomenclatural and taxonomic review of *Tradescantia* (Commelinaceae) species described in Vellozo's *Flora fluminensis* with notes on Brazilian *Tradescantia*. *Taxon*. 64: 151–155. <https://doi.org/10.12705/641.3>
- Persoon CH. 1805. [*Coccocypselum condalia* Pers.] Synopsis Plantarum, vol. 1, p. 132. Paris, Apud C. Fr. Cramer, & Tubingen, Apud J.G. Cotta.
- Persson CH, Delprete PG. 2010. *Cordia*. In: Delprete PG, Rubiaceae, Parte 1: Introdução, Gêneros A-H. In: Rizzo JA (Coord.), *Flora dos Estados de Goiás e Tocantins*, vol. 40. Goiânia, Universidade Federal de Goiás, IRD and UFG, Editora e Grafica Vieira, pp. 212–265.
- Persson CH, Delprete PG. 2017. The *Alibertia* group (Gardenieae - Rubiaceae) - part 1 (*Agouticarpa*, *Alibertia*, *Cordia*, *Melanopsidium*, *Riodocea*, and *Stenosepala*). *Flora Neotropica Monographs* vol. 119: 1–241. Bronx, New York Botanical Garden Press.
- Piso W, Marcgrave G. 1648. *Historia Naturalis Brasiliae*. Lugdun. Batavorum, apud Franciscum Hackium, et Amstelodami, Apud Lud. Elzevirium [Elzevir]. 293 pp. <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/10338#page/4/mode/1up>
- Plumier C. 1703. *Nova Plantarum Americanarum Genera*. Paris, Joannem Boudot, 22 pp., 40 plates.
- Pohl JBE. 1828 [“1831”]. *Plantarum Brasiliana icones et descriptiones*, vol. 2, part 1. Wien, Jussu et auspici Francisci primi, pp. 1–40, plates 101–125.
- Pohl JBE. 1976. *Viagem no interior do Brasil*. Tradução de Milton Amado e Eugênio Amado ; apresentação e notas de Mário Guimarães Ferri. São Paulo, Editoria da Universidade de São Paulo.
- Prado AL. 1987. Revisão taxonômica do gênero *Tocoyena* Aubl. (Rubiaceae) no Brasil. Unpublished Master Dissertation, State University of Campinas.
- Rheede HA van. 1679. *Hortus Indicus Malabaricus*, pars segunda. Amsterdam, Sumptibus van Someren et Joannis van Dick, Henrici et Viduae Boom.
- Rizzo JA. 1981. Plano de Coleção. *Flora do Estado de Goiás-Coleção Rizzo*. Vol. 1. Editora da Universidade Federal de Goiás, Goiânia, 35 pp.
- Rodshied EK. 1794. *Medizinische und chirurgische Bemerkungen über das Klima, die Lebensweise und Krankheiten der Einwohner der hollaendischen Kolonie Rio Essequibo...* Frankfurt, in der Jaegerschen Buchhandlung, 320 pp.
- Roemer JJ, Schultes JA. 1818. *Caroli a Linné equitis Systema Vegetabilium secundum Classes Ordines Genera Species* (ed. 16), vol. 3. Stuttgart, Sumptibus J.G. Cottae, vi + 584 pp.
- Roemer JJ, Schultes JA. 1819. *Caroli a Linné equitis Systema Vegetabilium secundum Classes Ordines Genera Species* (ed. 16), vol. 5. Stuttgart, Sumptibus J.G. Cottae, i–viii + i–lii + 637 pp.
- Rudge E. [Jun.] 1805. *Plantarum Guianae rariorum icones et descriptiones hactenus ineditae*, vol. 1, part 3, pp. 21–28, pls. 25–36. London, Typis Richardi Tylor et Soc.
- Ruiz Lopez H, Pavón y Jiménez JA. 1798. *Flora Peruviانا, et Chilensis*. Vol. 1. Madrid, typis Gabrielis de Sacha, i–vi, pp. 1–78, pls. 1–106.
- Ruiz Lopez H, Pavón y Jiménez JA. 1799. *Flora Peruviانا, et Chilensis*. Vol. 2. Madrid, typis Gabrielis de Sacha, i–ii, pp. 1–76, pls. 107–222.
- Ruiz Lopez H, Pavón y Jiménez JA. 1801. *Suplemento a la Quinologia...* Madrid, imprenta de la viuda e hijo de Martin, i–viii + 154 pp.
- Rumphius GE. 1743. *Herbarium amboinense*, vol. 4. Amsterdam, Apud Franciscum Changuion, Joannem Catuffe, Hermannum Uytwerf.
- Rusby HH. 1893. On the collections of Mr. Miguel Bang in Bolivia - I. *Memories Torrey Botanical Club*. 3: 1–67. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/43390490>
- Saint-Hilaire A de. 1824. *Histoire de plantes les plus remarquables du Brésil et du Paraguay; comprenant leur description, et des dissertations sur leurs rapports, leurs usages*. Paris, A. Belin, lxxvii + 355 pp.
- Saint-Hilaire A de. 1833. *Voyage dans le District des Diamans*. Vol. 2. Paris, Librairie-Gide, 456 pp.
- Saint-Hilaire A de. 1944. *Viagem às nascentes do Rio São Francisco e pela Província de Goiás*. Tradução e notas de C. Ribeiro de Lessa. *Brasiliana* (Biblioteca

- Pedagógica Brasileira), série 5, vol. 78. 2 volumes. São Paulo, Companhia Editora Nacional.
- Salas RM. 2021. Sinopsis de *Randia* (Rubiaceae) de Bolivia. Boletín de la Sociedad Argentina de Botánica. 56: 575–598. <https://doi.org/10.31055/1851.2372.v56.n4.32565>
- Salas RM, Cabral EL. [29 Jul.] 2010a. Rehabilitación y lectotipificación del género *Tessiera*, su relación con *Diphragmus* y *Staelia* (Rubiaceae: Spermaceae): una nueva combinación y un nuevo sinónimo. Journal of the Botanical Research Institute of Texas. 4: 181–192. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41971982>
- Salas RM, Cabral EL. [29 Jul.] 2010b. *Planaltina* nuevo genero de la tribu Spermaceae (Rubiaceae), endémico del Planalto Central del Brasil y una nueva especie del estado de Goiás, Brasil. Journal of the Botanical Research Institute of Texas. 4: 193–206. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41971983>
- Salas RM, Cabral EL. [16 Aug.] 2010c. The species of the genus *Staelia* (Rubiaceae) from Paraguay, a new species, and new synonyms. Blumea. 55: 123–128. <https://doi.org/10.3767/000651910X526681>
- Salas RM, Souza EB. 2010. *Staelia*. In: Delprete PG, Rubiaceae, Parte 3: Gêneros S-W, Índices. In: Rizzo JA (Coord.), Flora dos Estados de Goiás e Tocantins, vol. 40. Goiânia, Universidade Federal de Goiás, IRD and UFG, Editora e Grafica Vieira, pp. 1315–1342.
- Salas RM, Souza EB, Cabral EL. 2015. Sobre la identidad de *Spermaceae polygonifolia* y *Spermaceae perijaensis* (Spermaceae-Rubiaceae). Rodriguésia. 66: 919–922. <https://doi.org/10.1590/2175-7860201566319>
- Sampaio T. 1901. O Tupi na Geographia Nacional. Memoria lida no Instituto Historico e Geographico de S. Paulo. São Paulo, Typ. da Casa Eclectica, 164 pp.
- Santos K dos. 2016. Brazilian plant specimens at the Regnellian herbarium: history and repatriation. Rodriguésia. 67: 879–892. <https://doi.org/10.1590/2175-7860201667403>
- Schultes JA, Schultes JH. 1827. Mantissa in Volumen Tertium Systematis Vegetabilium Caroli a Linné, vol. 3. Stuttgartiae, sumtibus J.G. Cottae.
- Schultes JA, Schultes JH. 1829. [*Amaioua intermedia* Mart.] Systema Vegetabilium (ed. 15 bis), vol. 7(1), pp. 90–91. Stuttgartiae, sumptibus J.G. Cottae.
- Schomburgk MR. 1848 [1849]. Reisen in Britisch-Guiana in den Jahren 1840-1844. Part 3. pp. [531]–1260. Leipzig, Verlagsbuchhandlung von J.J. Weber.
- Schumann K. [15 Feb.] 1888. Rubiaceae, tribus VII. Paederieae, tribus VIII. Spermaceae, tribus IX. Stellatae. In: Martius CFP, Eichler AG, Urban I (Eds.), Flora Brasiliensis, vol. 6, pars 6. Leipzig, Fleischer, pp. 1–124.
- Schumann, K. [15 Jun.] 1889. Rubiaceae, tribus X. Naucleaeae, tribus XI. Henriquezieae, tribus XII. Cinchoneae, tribus XIII. Rondeletieae, tribus XIV. Condamineae, tribus XV. Hedyotideae, tribus XVI. Mussaenidae, tribus XVII. Catesbaeeae, tribus XVIII. Hamelieae, tribus XIX. Gardenieae. In: Martius CFP, Eichler AG, Urban I (Eds.), Flora Brasiliensis, vol. 6, pars 6. Leipzig, Fleischer, pp. 125–466.
- Siberbauer-Gottsberger I, Gottsberger G, Erhendorfer F. 1992. Hybrid speciation and radiation in the Neotropical woody genus *Tocoyena*. Plant Systematics and Evolution. 181: 143–169. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23674737>
- Sleumer H. 1954. Proteaceae americanae. Botanische Jahrbücher für Systematik, Pflanzengeschichte und Pflanzengeographie. 76: 139–211.
- Sloane H. 1725. A Voyage to the Islands Madera, Barbados, Nieves, S. Christophers and Jamaica, vol. 2. London, Printed by B.M. for the author, 499 pp., pls. 5–11, 157–274.
- Small JK. [Apr.] 1913. Flora of Miami. New York, Published by the author, 206 pp.
- Small JK, Carter JJ. [Sep.] 1913. Flora of Lancaster County. New York, J. K. Small & J. J. Carter.
- Smith LB. 1966. The great bromeliad hoax. The Bromeliad Society Bulletin. 16: 4–5.
- Smith LB, Smith RC. 1967. Itinerary of William John Burchell in Brazil, 1825–1830. Phytologia. 14: 492–506.
- Sobrado S, Cabral EL. 2015. Intraspecific variation of insertion/length of stamens in homostylous flowers of a new species and three other species of *Borreria*: an unusual case in Rubiaceae. Phytotaxa. 206: 53–73. <http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.206.1.9>
- Souza EB, Cabral EL. [Dec.] 2010. *Mitracarpus*. In: Delprete PG, Rubiaceae, Parte 1: Introdução, Gêneros I-R. In: Rizzo JA (Coord.), Flora dos Estados de Goiás e Tocantins, vol. 40. Goiânia, Universidade Federal de Goiás, IRD and UFG, Editora e Grafica Vieira, pp. 664–702.
- Souza EB, Cabral EL, Zappi DC. [Apr.-Jun.] 2010. Revisão de *Mitracarpus* (Rubiaceae - Spermaceae) para o Brasil. Rodriguésia. 61: 319–352. <https://doi.org/10.1590/2175-7860201061213>
- Spix JB, Martius CFP. 1828. Reise in Brasilien auf Befehl Sr. Majestät Maximilian Joseph I. Königs von Baiern in den Jahren 1817 bis 1820 gemacht und beschrieben von Dr. John. Bapt. von Spix ... und Dr. Carl Friedr. Phil. von Martius, vol. 2. München, Gedruckt bei I.J. Lentner, pp. [413]–884.
- Spix JB, Martius CFP. 1976. Viagem pelo Brasil 1817–1820. Tradução de L. Furquim Lahmeyer, revista por B.F. Ramiz Galvão e B. de Magalhães, que anotou. 3ª Edição, revista por E. Winkler. 3 vols., São Paulo,

- Edições Melhoramentos, vol. 1: 231 pp. + 1 map; vol. 2: 270 + 4 pp. + 1 map; vol. 3: 294 + 3 pp.
- Sprengel KPJ. 1820. XIX. Species plantarum minus cognitae. Neue Entdeckung im ganzen Umfang der Pflanzenkunde. 1: 213–306.
- Sprengel KPJ. 1821. 3. Species plantarum minus cognitae. Neue Entdeckung im ganzen Umfang der Pflanzenkunde. 2: 95–175.
- Sprengel KPJ. 1825 [“1824”]. Caroli Linnaei, ...Systema vegetabilium, 16th Ed., vol. 1. Gottingae [Göttingen], sumtibus Librariae Dieterichianae, 992 pp.
- Sprengel KPJ. 1827. Caroli Linnaei, ...Systema vegetabilium, 16th Ed., vol. 4(2), Gottingae [Göttingen], sumtibus Librariae Dieterichianae, 410 pp.
- Stafleu FA, Cowan RS. 1976. Taxonomic Literature, Second Edition, Vol. 1: A-G. Utrecht, Bohn, Scheltema & Holkema, 1136 pp.
- Stafleu FA, Cowan RS. 1979. Taxonomic Literature, Second Edition, Vol. 2: H-Le. Utrecht, Bohn, Scheltema & Holkema, 991 pp.
- Stafleu FA, Cowan RS. 1981. Taxonomic Literature, Second Edition, Vol. 3: Lh-O. Utrecht, Bohn, Scheltema & Holkema, 980 pp.
- Stafleu FA, Cowan RS. 1983. Taxonomic Literature, Second Edition, Vol. 4: P-Sak. Utrecht, Bohn, Scheltema & Holkema, 1214 pp.
- Stafleu FA, Cowan RS. 1985. Taxonomic Literature, Second Edition, Vol. 5: Sal-Ste. Utrecht, Bohn, Scheltema & Holkema, 1066 pp.
- Stafleu FA, Cowan RS. 1986. Taxonomic Literature, Second Edition, Vol. 6: Sti-Vuy. Utrecht, Bohn, Scheltema & Holkema, 926 pp.
- Stafleu FA, Cowan RS. 1988. Taxonomic Literature, Second Edition, Vol. 7: W-Z. Utrecht, Bohn, Scheltema & Holkema, 653 pp.
- Standley PC. 1931. Studies on American Plants - V. Publications of Field Museum of Natural History, Botanical Series. 8: 295–398.
- Standley PC. 1936. Studies on American Plants - VI. Publications of Field Museum of Natural History, Botanical Series. 11: 143–276.
- Stauffer FW, Stauffer J, Dorr LJ. 2012. Bonpland and Humboldt specimens, field notes, and herbaria; new insights from a study of the monocotyledons collected in Venezuela. *Candollea*. 67: 75–130.
- Stearn WT, Williams LHJ. 1957. Martin's French Guiana plants and Rudge "Plantarum Guianae Icones." *Bulletin du Jardin Botanique de l'État à Bruxelles*. 27: 243–265.
- Steyermark JA. 1965. Rubiaceae. In Maguire B, Wurdak JJ & Collaborators, The Botany of the Guayana Highlands, Part VI. *Memoirs of the New York Botanical Garden*. 12: 178–285.
- Steyermark JA. 1967. Rubiaceae. In: Maguire B, Wurdak JJ & Collaborators, The Botany of the Guayana Highland-Part VII. *Memoirs of the New York Botanical Garden*. 17: 230–436.
- Steyermark JA. 1972. Rubiaceae. In: Maguire B, Wurdak JJ & Collaborators, The Botany of the Guayana Highlands, Part IX. *Memoirs of the New York Botanical Garden*. 23: 227–832.
- Sucre D. 1971. Estudo das Rubiaceae Brasileiras - III. Cinco novas espécies da tribo Spermaceae. *Rodriguésia*. 38: 253–256, 4 figs.
- Swartz O. 1788. Nova Genera & Species Plantarum seu Prodomus...Holmiae [Stockholm], Upsaliae [Uppsala] & Aboae [Abo], In Bibliopoliis Acad. Swederi, 158 pp.
- Taubert P. 1895. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Flora des centralbrasilianischen Staates Goyaz. *Botanische Jahrbücher für Systematik*. 21: 402–457, 2 tabs.
- Taylor CM. 1989. Revision of *Palicourea* (Rubiaceae) in Mexico and Central America. *Systematic Botany Monographs* (St. Louis). 26: 1–102.
- Taylor CM. 1999a. 162(18). Rubiaceae-Psychotrieae (1). In: Harling G, Andersson L (Eds.), *Flora of Ecuador* 62, 162 (part 3), Göteborg, University of Göteborg, Stockholm, Section of Botany, Riksmuseum, & Quito, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador; Trelleborg, Berlings, Skogs AB, pp. [133]–241.
- Taylor CM. 1999b. 162(20). Rubiaceae-Coussareae. In: Harling G, Andersson L (Eds.), *Flora of Ecuador* 62, 162 (part 3). Göteborg, University of Göteborg, Stockholm, Section of Botany, Riksmuseum, & Quito, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador; Trelleborg, Berlings, Skogs AB, pp. [245]–314.
- Taylor CM. 2005. *Margaritopsis* (Rubiaceae, Psychotrieae) in the Neotropics. *Systematics and Geography of Plants*. 75: 161–177. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3668574>
- Taylor CM. 2007. *Psychotria* (Rubiaceae). In: Wanderley MGL et al. (eds.), *Flora Fanerogâmica do Estado de São Paulo*, Vol. 5. São Paulo, FAPESP, Editora Hucitec, pp. 389–412, tab. 19.
- Taylor CM. 2012a. *Coccocypselum*. In: Davidse G, Sousa Sánchez M, Knapp S, Chiang Cabrera F (ed.), *Flora Mesoamericana*, vol. 4, part 2, Rubiaceae a Verbenaceae. Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Instituto de Biología, Missouri Botanical Garden, The Natural History Museum (London), Saint Louis, Missouri Botanical Garden Press, pp. 57–60.
- Taylor CM. 2012b. *Palicourea*. In: Davidse G, Sousa Sánchez M, Knapp S, Chiang Cabrera F (ed.), *Flora Mesoamericana*, vol. 4, part 2, Rubiaceae a Verbenaceae. Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Instituto de Biología, Missouri Botanical Garden, The

- Natural History Museum (London), Saint Louis, Missouri Botanical Garden Press, pp. 163–181.
- Taylor CM. 2012c. *Posoqueria*. In: Davidse G, Sousa Sánchez M, Knapp S, Chiang Cabrera F (ed.), *Flora Mesoamericana*, vol. 4, part 2, Rubiaceae a Verbenaceae. Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Instituto de Biología, Missouri Botanical Garden, The Natural History Museum (London), Saint Louis, Missouri Botanical Garden Press, pp. 188–191.
- Taylor CM. 2012d. *Psychotria*. In: Davidse G, Sousa Sánchez M, Knapp S, Chiang Cabrera F (ed.), *Flora Mesoamericana*, vol. 4, part 2, Rubiaceae a Verbenaceae. Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Instituto de Biología, Missouri Botanical Garden, The Natural History Museum (London), Saint Louis, Missouri Botanical Garden Press, pp. 192–241.
- Taylor CM. 2015. Rubiacearum Americanarum Magna Hama Pars XXXIV: The new group *Palicourea* sect. *Tricephalum* with eight new species and a new subspecies (Palicoureeae). *Novon*. 24: 55–95. <https://doi.org/10.3417/2015001>
- Taylor CM. 2017. Rubiacearum Americanarum Magna Hama Pars XXXVI: New species and taxonomic changes in *Palicourea* (Palicoureeae). *Novon*. 25: 239–258. <https://doi.org/10.3417/2015045>
- Taylor CM, Hollowell VC. 2016. Rubiacearum americanarum magna hama pars XXXV: The new group *Palicourea* sect. *Nonatelia*, with five new species (Palicoureeae). *Novon*. 25: 69–110. <https://doi.org/10.3417/2015012>
- Taylor CM, Jardim JG. 2020. Rubiacearum americanarum magna hama pars XLVI: New species and taxonomic changes in *Faramea* of Central and South America (Rubiaceae, Coussareeae). *Novon*. 28: 108–142. <https://doi.org/10.3417/2019552>
- Taylor CM, Neill DA, Gereau RE. 2011. Rubiacearum americanarum magna hama pars XXIX: Overview of the neotropical genus *Schizocalyx* (Condamineae) and description of two new species. *Novon*. 21: 496–507. <https://doi.org/10.3417/2008095>
- Taylor CM, Gereau RE, Callmender M. 2020. The identity of *Mapouria* (Rubiaceae, Psychotrieae). *Taxon*. 69: 1072–1084. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.12278>
- Taylor CM, Razafimandimbison SG, Barrabé L, Jardim JG., Barbosa MRV. 2017. *Eumachia* expanded, a pantropical genus distinct from *Psychotria* (Rubiaceae, Palicoureeae). *Candollea*. 72: 290–318. <https://doi.org/10.15553/c2017v722a6>
- Tepe EJ. 2018. A series of unfortunate events: the forgotten botanist and the misattribution of a type collection. *Phytokeys*. 109: 33–39. <http://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.109.28144>
- Turland NJ, Wiersema JH, Barrie FR, Greuter W, Hawksworth DL, Herendeen PS, Knapp S, Kusber W-H, Li D-Z, Marhold K, May TW, McNeill J, Monro AM, Prado J, Price MJ, Smith GF (Eds.) (2018) International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (Shenzhen Code) adopted by the Nineteenth International Botanical Congress Shenzhen, China, July 2017. *Regnum Vegetabile*, vol. 159. Glashütten: Koeltz Botanical Books, 254 pp. <https://doi.org/10.12705/Code.2018>
- Turner IM. 2021. Heyne, Roth, Roemer and Schultes, and the plant names published in *Novae plantarum species praesertim Indiae orientalis*. *Taxon*. 70: 365–428. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.12449>
- Ule E. 1894. Relatório do Dr. Ernesto Ule. Botânico da Comissão. Anexo VI. In L. Cruls (Ed.) Comissão exploradora do Planalto central do Brazil. Governo do Brasil, Rio de Janeiro: 339–365.
- Ule E. 2003. Relatório do Dr. Ernesto Ule. Botânico da Comissão. Anexo VI. Comissão Exploradora do Planalto Central do Brasil. Edição fac-similar. Edições do Senado Federal, vol. 22. Conselho Editorial, Brasília, DF, 380 pp.
- Vandelli D. 1788. *Florae Lusitanicae et Brasiliensis Specimen*. Coimbra, Ex Typografia Academico-Regia, 96 pp.
- Vegter IH. 1976. *Index Herbariorum Part II Collectors M* (4). Utrecht, M. Bohn, Scheltema & Holkema.
- Vellozo JMC. 1829 [“1825”] *Florae Fluminensis, seu descriptionum plantarum praefectura fluminensi sponte nascendum...* Rio de Janeiro: Ex Typographia Nationali.
- Vellozo JMC. 1831 [“1827”] *Florae Fluminensis Icones nunc primo eduntur*. Paris, Oficina Litográfica Senefelder, 11 vols.
- Verdcourt B. 1975. Studies in the Rubiaceae–Rubioidae for the ‘Flora of Tropical East Africa’: I. *Kew Bulletin*. 30: 247–326. <https://doi.org/10.2307/4102911>
- Verdcourt B. 1976. Rubiaceae (Part 1). In: Pohlill RM (Ed.), *Flora of Tropical East Africa*. Published on behalf of the East African Community by the Crown Agents for Oversea Governments, London, Whitefriars Press LTD., 414 pp.
- Walpers WG. 1843. Rubiaceae. *Novorum Actorum Academiae Caesareae Leopoldino-Carolinae Naturae Curiosorum* 19, Suppl. 1: 349–357.
- Walpers WG. 1846. [*Cinchona kujabensis* Manso, nom. nud.] *Repertorium Botanices Rystematicae* 6: 67. Friderici Hofmeister, Leipzig.
- Ward DB. 2008. Thomas Walter typification project, V: Neotypes and epitypes for 63 Walter names of genera D through Z. *Journal of the Botanical Research Institute of Texas*. 2: 475–486. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41971664>

- Weddell HA. 1854. Notice sur quelques Rubiacées de l'Amérique tropicale. Annales des Sciences Naturelles, Botanique, sér. 4. 1: 65–80, 10 pls.
- Wernham HF. 1914. A monograph of the genus *Sabicea*. London, Longmans & Co., B. Quatrach, and Dulau & Co., and British Museum (Natural History), 82 pp.
- Wiersema JH. 2015. Application of the name *Lemna punctata* G. Mey., the type of *Landoltia* Les & D. J. Crawford. Plant Biology (Stuttgart) 1: 5–9 (Epubl. 18 Jun. 2014). <https://doi.org/10.1111/plb.12209>
- Wiersema JH, Delprete PG, Kirkbride JH Jr, Franck AR. 2017. A new weed in Florida, *Spermacoce latifolia*, and the distinction between *S. alata* and *S. latifolia* (Spermacoceae, Rubiaceae). Castanea. 82: 114–131. <https://doi.org/10.2179/17-124>
- Willdenow CL. 1798. Caroli a Linné Species Plantarum, Editio Quarta, vol. 1, part 2. Berlin, Impensis G.C. Nauk, pp. [497]–1568.
- Wurdack JJ. 1970. Erroneous data in Glaziou collections of Melastomataceae. Taxon. 19: 911–913. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1218309>
- Zappi D. 2003. Revision of *Rudgea* (Rubiaceae) in south-eastern and southern Brazil. Kew Bulletin. 58: 513–596. <https://doi.org/10.2307/4111145>
- Exostema formosum* Cham. & Schltdl. ex DC. [= *Rustia formosa* (Cham. & Schltdl. ex DC.) Klotzsch].
- Exostema formosum* var. β *laeve* DC. [= *Rustia formosa*].
- Faramea bracteata* Benth.
- Faramea contracta* Walp. [= *Coussarea contracta* (Walp.) Benth. & Hook. f. ex Müll. Arg.].
- Faramea hydrangeaeifolia* Benth. [= *Coussarea hydrangeifolia* (Benth.) Benth. & Hook. f. ex Müll. Arg.].
- Faramea multiflora* A.Rich. ex DC.
- Faramea nitida* Benth.
- Ferdinandusa elliptica* Pohl
- Ferdinandusa ovalis* Pohl [= *Ferdinandusa elliptica* Pohl].
- Ferdinandusa pubescens* Wedd. [= *Ferdinandusa speciosa* Pohl].
- Ferdinandusa speciosa* Pohl
- Galium megapotamicum* Spreng.
- Gardenia longiflora* Ruiz & Pav. [= *Rosenbergiodendron longiflorum* (Ruiz. & Pav.) Fagerl.].
- Gardenia sessilis* Vell. [= *Cordia sessilis* (Vell.) Kuntze].
- Guagnebina luteorubra* Vell. [= *Manettia luteorubra* (Vell.) Benth.].
- Guettarda burchelliana* Muell.Arg. [= *Guettarda pohliana*].
- Guettarda burchelliana* var. *opaca* Muell.Arg. [= *Guettarda pohliana*].
- Guettarda pohliana* Muell.Arg.
- Guettarda viburnoides* var. *genuina* Muell.Arg. [= *Guettarda viburnoides* Cham. & Schltdl.].
- Guettarda viburnoides* var. *pannosa* Muell.Arg. [= *Guettarda viburnoides* Cham. & Schltdl.].
- Guettarda viburnoides* var. *viburnoides* Muell.Arg. [= *Guettarda viburnoides* Cham. & Schltdl.].
- Ixora chinensis* Lam.
- Ixora pubescens* var. *glabrifolia* Muell.Arg. [= *Ixora pubescens* Willd. in Schult. & Schult. f.].
- Ladenbergia cujabensis* Klotzsch
- Malanea macrophylla* Bartl. ex Griseb.
- Malanea parviflora* Muell.Arg. [= *Chomelia parviflora* (Muell.Arg.) Muell. Arg.].
- Malanea ribesioides* var. *tomentosa* Muell. Arg. [= *Chomelia ribesioides* Benth. ex A.Gray].
- Malanea ribesioides* var. *villosula* Muell. Arg. [= *Chomelia ribesioides* Benth. ex A.Gray].
- Ophiorrhiza lanceolata* Forssk. [= *Pentas lanceolata* (Forssk.) Deflers].
- Pagamea plicata* Spruce ex Benth.
- Palicourea marcgravii* A.St.Hil.
- Palicourea marcgravii* var. *pubescens* A.St.Hil. [= *Palicourea marcgravii* A.St.Hil.].
- Palicourea urbaniana* Standl.
- Psychotria crocea* Sw. [= *Palicourea crocea* (Sw.) Roem. & Schult.].

APPENDIX 1. NEW TYPIFICATIONS IN THE PRESENT ARTICLE. ACCEPTED NAMES ARE IN **BOLD**

Lectotypifications (81):

- Amaioua intermedia*** Mart.
- Borreria angustifolia* Cham. & Schltdl. [= ***Galianthe angustifolia*** (Cham. & Schltdl.) E.L.Cabral].
- Borreria ovalifolia* M.Martens & Galeotti [= ***Spermacoce ovalifolia*** (M.Martens & Galeotti) Hemsl.].
- Borreria suaveolens* G.Mey. [= ***Spermacoce suaveolens*** (G. Mey.) Kuntze].
- Borreria umbellata* Spreng. [= ***Emmeorhiza umbellata*** (Spreng.) K.Schum.].
- Borreria vulpina* Standl. [= ***Spermacoce vulpina*** (Standl.) Govaerts].
- Borreria warmingii* K.Schum. [= ***Spermacoce warmingii*** (K.Schum.) Kuntze].
- Chomelia pohliana*** Muell.Arg.
- Chomelia ribesioides*** Benth. ex A.Gray
- Coccocypselum condalia*** Pers.
- Coccocypselum erythrocephalum*** Cham. & Schltdl.
- Coccocypselum hasslerianum*** Chodat
- Condalia lanceolata* Ruiz & Pav. [= ***Coccocypselum lanceolatum*** (Ruiz & Pav.) Pers.].
- Coussarea platyphylla*** Muell.Arg.
- Dialypetalanthus fuscescens*** Kuhlmann

Psychotria macrobotrys Ruiz & Pav. [= *Palicourea macrobotrys* (Ruiz & Pav.) DC.].
Psychotria microcephala (Willd. ex Roem. & Schult.) Muell.Arg. var. *tripotamica* Muell. Arg. [= *Palicourea prunifolia* (Kunth) Borhidi].
Psychotria racemosa Rich. [= *Palicourea racemosa* (Aubl.) G.Nicholson].
Psychotria rupestris Muell.Arg. [= *Palicourea rupestris* (Müll.Arg.) Delprete].
Psychotria schuechiana Müll.Arg. [= *Palicourea subtriflora* (Muell.Arg.) Delprete].
Psychotria stachyoides Benth. [= *Palicourea rupestris* (Müll.Arg.) Delprete].
Psychotria stipulosa Muell. Arg. [= *Palicourea stipulosa* (Müll.Arg.) Borhidi].
Psychotria subtriflora Muell. Arg. [= *Palicourea subtriflora* (Muell.Arg.) Delprete].
Psychotria vellosiana Benth. [= *Palicourea sessilis* (Vell.) C.M.Taylor].
Psychotria villosa Vell., *nom. illeg.* [= *Palicourea tenerior* (Cham.) Delprete & J.H.Kirkbr.].
Psychotria viridis Ruiz & Pav.
Psychotria xanthocephala Muell.Arg. [= *Palicourea prunifolia* (Kunth) Borhidi].
Retiniphyllum kuhlmannii Standl.
Richardia brasiliensis Gomes
Richardsonia grandiflora Cham. & Schltdl. [= *Richardia grandiflora* (Cham. & Schltdl.) Steud.].
Rubia noxia A. St. Hil. [= *Galium noxium* (A.St.Hil.) Dempster].
Rudgea burchelliana Muell.Arg.
Rudgea erioloba Benth.
Rudgea goyazensis Muell.Arg.
Rudgea jacobinensis Muell.Arg. [= *Rudgea erioloba* Benth.].
Rudgea longiflora Benth.
Rudgea myrsinifolia Benth.
Rudgea tomentosa Rusby
Sabicea brasiliensis Wernham
Sabicea grisea Cham. & Schltdl.
Sabicea humilis var. *lanceolata* Wernham [= *Sabicea humilis*].
Sabicea moorei Wernham [= *Sabicea humilis* S.Moore].
Spermacoce peruviana Pers. [= *Galianthe peruviana* (Pers.) E.L.Cabral].
Spermacoce polygonifolia A.St.Hil. [= *Mitracarpus polygonifolius* (A.St.Hil.) R.M.Salas & E.B.Souza].
Sprucea rubescens Benth. [= *Simira rubescens* (Benth.) Bremek. ex Steyerm.].
Staelia aurea K.Schum.
Staelia capitata K.Schum. [= *Planaltina capitata* (K.Schum.) R.M.Salas & E.L.Cabral].

Neotypifications (11):

Borreria scabiosoides Cham. & Schltdl. [= *Spermacoce scabiosoides* (Cham. & Schltdl.) Kuntze].
Borreria tenuis DC. [= *Spermacoce neotenuis* Govaerts].
Gardenia formosa Cham. & Schltdl. [= *Tocoyena formosa* (Cham. & Schltdl.) K.Schum.].
Gonzalagunia dicocca Cham. & Schltdl.
Hamelia patens Jacq.
Patabea coriacea Cham. [= *Palicourea coriacea* (Cham.) K.Schum.].
Psychotria anceps Kunth
Psychotria leiocarpa Cham. & Schltdl. [= *Palicourea leiocarpa* (Cham. & Schltdl.) Delprete].
Psychotria racemosa Rich. [= *Palicourea racemosa* (Aubl.) G.Nicholson].
Psychotria stachyoides Benth. [= *Palicourea stachyoides* (Benth.) Delprete].
Schwenkfeldia aurea Spreng. [= *Coccocypselum aureum* (Spreng.) Cham. & Schltdl.].